Printed on: 22/08/2016 09:05:08 Response: **Application No: Consultees Name: Consultees Addr:** Received: **Comment: FERNCROFT** 2016/3691/P 21/08/2016 15:19:45 OBJ I object to the new garden studio. **AVENUE** 1)The studio will substantially affect the visual amenity from the rear of my property. The existing structure is more like a shed than a flat/studio, and is barely noticeable. The new building would be twice the size, stretch across the whole width of the fence boundary, and be substantially taller than the fence. . Building a 500 sq/ft structure at the end of a garden does not seem appropriate for the conversation area. 2)The new construction appears more like a one bedroom flat, than a garden studio. Its intended use maybe that of an apartment to rent and not to be used as a studio. 3) The modern design clearly does not agree with the conservation area. 4)Building such a structure in this location, given the nature of the clay soil, may have a substantial affect on the surrounding TPO trees. 5)In addition the foundation of the new construction may have an affect upon the drainage of my garden and the structural integrity of the rear of my garden, given the elevated position of the new construction. 6) The application only seems to deal with the conservation issues regarding Hollycroft Avenue. The application is very concerned about using the under utilised space at the rear of the garden. I suggest the space is used as a garden, which is the purpose of the space and not for a new property. The real issue regarding conservation relates to the properties in Ferncroft Avenue, this application does not appear to deal with this. 18,20,22,24 Ferncroft Avenue will all be affected by this proposed structure.

I have no objection to the proposal for the rear façade of the house, but I strongly object to the new

garden flat/studio. It seems totally inappropriate for this location.