



Redington Frogna Neighbourhood Development Plan 2019 - 2044

October 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

BD:	BUILDING AND DESIGN	5
BD 1	New Developments and Refurbishment of Existing Housing Stock	5
BD 2	Presumption Against Demolition	9
BD 3	Car-Free Development	12
BD 4	Redington Frogna Design Codes for Development Sites, Including New Buildings, Extensions and Alterations	18
BD 5	Infill and Extension Development	26
BD 6	Retention of Architectural Details in Existing Buildings	29
BD 7	Key Views Designation	32
BGI:	BIODIVERSITY AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	40
BGI 1	Biodiverse Green Habitat	46
BGI 2	Front and Side Gardens / Front Boundary Treatments for New Developments	55
BGI 3	Tree Planting and Preservation	58
BGI 4	Light Pollution	63
BGI 5	Local Green Spaces	67
BGI 6	Basements	85
CF:	CULTURAL, LEISURE, TERTIARY EDUCATION AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES	98
CF 1	Protecting Cultural, Leisure and Tertiary Education Facilities	98
CF 2	New Cultural, Leisure and Tertiary Education Facilities to Support a Growing Population	100
CF 3	New Facilities to Support Home Working	103
CF 4	Community Infrastructure Priorities	106
DS:	ASPIRATIONAL DEVELOPMENT SITES	109
	Application	111
FR:	FINCHLEY ROAD: RESIDENTIAL AND RETAIL ENVIRONMENT	135
UWF:	UNDERGROUND WATER FEATURES AND BASEMENT EXCAVATION	137
UWF 1	SCREENING	137
UWF 2	BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENTS	142

Appendices	145
BD 1	145
BD 3	145
BD 4	145
BGI 1	145
BGI 2	145
BGI 3	145
BGI 4	145
BGI 5	145
CF 1	145
CF 2	145
CF 3	145
FR 1	145
FR 2	145
UWF 1	145
UWF 2	145
Glossary	146

0. FOREWARD

0.1 Statutory Requirements

0.1.1 The Neighbourhood Plan has been written to be in conformity with the National Planning Policy Framework and the stated Policies in Camden's Local Plan, adopted on 3 July 2017, and Supplementary Planning Guidance.

0.1.2 Camden's Local Plan is open to interpretation by Camden officers and the Development Control Committee. Consistent with the wishes of Forum residents, the Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Plan sets out its own interpretation of these policies, in order to ensure that development policies are applied in such a way as to preserve and / or enhance the Plan Area, which is also the Redington Frognal Conservation Area. A considerable body of evidence has been developed in support of the Neighbourhood Plan policies.

0.1.3 The incorporation of design codes aims to ensure that the Redington Frognal Conservation Area is not blighted by, in the words of Heath and Hampstead Society, "architecturally uninspiring, corporate looking development" of "luxury flats with double basement garages.....".

Development of the Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum

0.1.4 Redington Frognal Association, the umbrella group for street representatives and tenants' associations, in the Redington Frognal Conservation Area, began to consider developing a neighbourhood plan in 2014. The boundaries and constitution of the Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum were formally adopted by Camden on 5 September 2014 under the 2011 Localism Act.

Development of the Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Plan

0.1.5 Work commenced on Policy drafting in 2016, following the Vision and Objectives survey, which ran from April to September 2015 and attracted almost 100 responses from an Area of 2,000 households.

0.1.6 A first draft of the policies, informed by the Vision and Objectives survey, was presented to the Neighbourhood Forum at the June 2016 AGM. Policies were redrafted in the light of feedback generated from a public consultation in September 2016 and further revisions ensued, following advice from Camden officers and other professionals.

0.1.7 Policies are supported by a detailed Evidence Base, funded by Redington Frognal Association, government grants and CIL money. The Evidence Base is published on the Neighbourhood Forum website.

0.1.8 The draft Plan underwent a Health Check and Evidence Base Review by AECOM in July and August 2018, and further drafting revisions ensued.

Involvement of Professionals

0.1.9 Preparation of the neighbourhood plan would have been impossible without the involvement of professionals. We are grateful to many independent consultants but, particularly, to AECOM, Create Streets, Arup, the Ecology Network, Hampstead Conservation Area Advisory Committee and Paul McKenzie Studio, in addition to Frogna and Fitzjohn's ward councillors and a large number of resident volunteers.

0.1.10 The Forum wishes also to record its gratitude to Camden Council senior planners for extensive and detailed comments received on three drafts.

0.2 VISION AND OBJECTIVES

0.2.11 The Forum recognises that the area is likely to evolve over time as a result of changes to the climate, existing buildings, the occasional introduction of new buildings and careful and positive changes to the streetscape and public realm. However, certain aspects of the area are sensitive and successive individual changes may cumulatively erode its character.

0.2.12 The Neighbourhood Plan therefore aims to provide a clear framework for future development through a robust set of Policies. While complying with the Local Plan, the Policies are also distinct to the Plan Area, offering a vision of sustainable development which is appropriate, strikes a reasonable balance between private interests and social amenity and is supported by Forum residents.

0.2.13 We seek a future for the RedFrog Neighbourhood which preserves its green character and serves as an area available to a wide range of family types and ages who live here rather than invest here. We believe that the RedFrog neighbourhood should celebrate its heritage and history and should continue to be a delightful area for anyone to stroll and enjoy.

0.2.14 Our six Objectives are:

1. To preserve and enhance RedFrog characteristics as a picturesque Edwardian suburb with a diverse population
2. Protecting and improving green space and bio-diversity
3. The enhancement of the Environment of Finchley Road
4. Identifying areas for growth of new homes, with community facilities to support home working
5. Maintaining and promoting the area as Centre for Tertiary Education the Arts and Culture
6. Basement Excavation – ensuring that basement development does not impact local hydrology or cause damage to neighbouring properties.

conversion of two or more flats into a single dwelling and will explore evidence for a case to resist such conversions. Retaining family flats will help maintain the mix of housing and family types.

Community space is required to meet the needs of: the large-scale residential developments under construction on Finchley Road and in Kidderpore Avenue; the growth of the elderly population and the population of home workers. The Neighbourhood Plan will seek to meet the need for a civic community facility through the designation of Kidderpore Hall (the White House) in Kidderpore Avenue as a civic community facility.

The opportunity to create Pocket Parks, new woodland and green verges will be taken whenever possible.

Business Growth

The area has excellent transport links and some of the fastest broadband in the UK and is a suitable environment for home working.

The Neighbourhood Plan will seek to support home working, through the development of a community facility with meeting space, and business growth by driving Finchley Road footfall through greening measures and a cleaner street.

Many properties in the section of Finchley Road between Arkwright Road and Froggnal are characterised by retail or service use at ground-floor level. Through the measures above, enhancements will be sought to the physical environment, where possible.

Objective 5

CENTRE FOR TERTIARY EDUCATION, THE ARTS & CULTURE

Club Area Three has a history as an important centre for tertiary education, the arts and culture: King's College London; Queen Mary and Westfield College; Hampstead School of Art; Craxton Studios in Kidderpore Avenue. Further examples are found in Froggnal Lane: Florian Leonhard Fine Violins and in Arkwright Road: Camden Arts Centre. These are important and established community facilities and are consistent with the cultural interests of residents. They offer the opportunity to maintain the area's tradition of adult education.

Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood Plan will seek to replace any loss of this traditional use, to maintain a community balance and to promote the development of community space in a ward where home working is on the increase and where there are many elderly residents.

5

Objective 6

BASEMENT EXCAVATION

The Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood area is situated in Claygate Member and Bagshot Formation in which aquifers are present. Basement excavation causes irreversible change to the water pressures in the ground.

Basement development beyond the building footprint results in loss of green space, endangers trees and can exacerbate surface water flooding.

Basements will be required to be contained within the footprint of the original dwelling, in order to avoid garden take-up. They are to be no more than one storey deep (i.e. the excavation is to extend less than 3.5 metres below ground-floor level), in order to minimise interference with the water table.

Pavements should not be removed from use for more than a few weeks.

PROJECTS TO BE UNDERTAKEN

- 1) The designation of Kidderpore Hall (the white building in Kidderpore Avenue) as a civic community facility to help address the unmet and growing need for a community centre (like Burgh House).
- 2) A project to mark the courses of the underground rivers Westbourne, and Tyburn will be examined.
- 3) Develop a register of street trees and trees with TPOs.

PLEASE COMPLETE OUR QUESTIONNAIRE
online (before 31 August 2015) at:
[HTTPS://WWW.SURVEYMONKEY.COM/S/REDFROG](https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/redfrog)
Please also come along to our **Forum meeting** on:
SUNDAY 15 MARCH AT 4 PM
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE SCHOOL REFECTORY, (FIRST FLOOR)
FROGNAL, LONDON NW3 6XH

6

Objective 1

PRESERVE AND ENHANCE REDFROG CHARACTERISTICS

The Neighbourhood Plan will adopt design and conservation principles to preserve and enhance the characteristics of this green and 'picturesque Edwardian suburb', with a mixed range of population in terms of socio-economic and age groups.

The Neighbourhood Plan will incorporate a presumption against demolition. It is a condition that any new buildings are to respect existing rooflines, i.e. not greater than 3-4 storeys in height, with gaps between neighbouring buildings, with front and rear gardens and with a footprint which does not exceed the one it is replacing.



A 'green design' policy for front and rear gardens will see gardens maintained as green, soft-landscaped surfaces. Side gardens are required, in order to preserve gaps between buildings and views to rear gardens and trees.

Front boundary treatments of low retaining walls and hedges are to be retained and, where lost, reinstated, as the opportunity arises.

On-street residents' parking space is to be retained, with no further losses to crossovers and front garden parking. New development is to be car free, in line with Camden policy elsewhere in the borough, and a project to lobby for increased car club places will be launched.



2

Objective 2

GREENERY AND NEW, GREEN PUBLIC REALM

The gardens, trees and hedges within Redington Froggnal constitute an important green lung, for the health and wellbeing of residents. They also provide habitat for wildlife and form an important green corridor at ground and tree canopy level used by wildlife, linking Hampstead Cemetery and the King's College Site of Importance for Nature Conservation with Hampstead Heath.

The area has suffered some loss of tree cover, private garden space and hedges over recent years. The replacement of green space by hard surface, in an area characterised by hills and bends, inevitably causes surface water run-off problems, places strain on the drainage system, creates blockages and reduces water availability for gardens.

There will be a presumption against conversion of front gardens to parking space.

The Neighbourhood Plan will require the retention of soft surfacing and trees, taking all opportunities to expand the area of soft surfacing and amount of tree cover.

Private gardens, open space, trees and veteran trees are to be retained, including those which form part of a habitat corridor, back-to-back, between rear gardens. A project will be launched to consider a succession-planting programme for the area's trees (private and street) and hedges, to ensure that greenery is retained.

These measures will aid biodiversity, help maintain a carbon sink, mitigate air pollution and surface water run-off, provide cooling and aid health and wellbeing.

A high quality and green public realm is important to wellbeing and to promote a sense of civic pride. This will be achieved by retaining existing open space, for the benefit of all residents, by taking opportunities to green neglected areas, and by developing new locally protected green space, including woodland and pocket parks. The existing Borough Grade II Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (behind Kidderpore Hall in Kidderpore Avenue) is to be preserved and enhanced for the benefit of wildlife and biodiversity and designated as locally protected green space.

Where the width allows, pavements will incorporate grass / wildflower verges and shrubbery; and the provision of benches, particularly on streets with a steep gradient.

Additional locally protected green space is to include West Heath Lawn Tennis Club and a community-supported nature reserve, on the site of the covered water reservoir in Platts Lane, in the event that the reservoir should be declared redundant. The reservoir is situated on backland, surrounded by private gardens and the West Heath Lawn Tennis Club.

3

Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood Development Plan

The Localism Act 2011 grants local communities the right to guide and shape development in their areas through the formation of a Neighbourhood Forum and the creation of a Neighbourhood Plan. These must accord with Camden's Local Development Framework, national planning policy and the London Plan. If our Plan is successfully adopted, it will be used alongside Camden's own plans to assess planning applications in the Redington Froggnal Conservation Neighbourhood Area.

VISION & OBJECTIVES

Our vision is a set of principles developed by Redfrog residents which help Camden implement current policy and inform and help change future policy. We seek a future for the Redfrog area which preserves its green character and continues to serve as an area available to a wide range of family types and ages who live here rather than invest here.



1

Civic pride is to be promoted through Conservation Area signage and wall plaques commemorating famous residents and architects.

Objective 3

ENHANCEMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT OF FINCHLEY ROAD

The Neighbourhood Plan will seek to work with TfL to promote safer conditions for pedestrians and cyclists. The planned construction of TfL of Cycle Superhighway 11 between Swiss Cottage and Hendon Way provides a key opportunity to promote community cohesion and mitigate some of the destructive impacts from six lanes of traffic.

The Neighbourhood Plan will encourage landscaping, physical improvements and greening measures, in order to provide shade to pedestrians and cyclists and to help filter vehicle pollutants. Specific measures to be sought will include:

- I. Construction of a trench between the road and the pavement for laying a common utilities duct, in order to enable tree planting.
- II. Prioritisation of walkability and the provision of wide tree-lined, pedestrian-friendly pavements with good-quality street furniture, level pavements and the removal of unnecessary railings.

These actions will facilitate the development of Finchley Road as a community resource, promote walking and will benefit both Finchley Road residents and traders.

Objective 4

SUSTAINABLE GROWTH

A neighbourhood plan is required to support sustainable growth of homes and jobs.

Residential Growth

Camden's Local Development Framework policy identifies a 'very high' need for properties with two bedrooms (Development Policy 5.4). Considerable development under way in Kidderpore Avenue and along Finchley Road will help meet this need.

The Neighbourhood Forum notes the cumulative loss of dwellings through the



4

0.3 POLICIES AND APPENDICES

0.3.1 The Neighbourhood Plan is comprised of the following Policies and Appendices:

Policies

BD: Building and Design

BGI: Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure

CF: Cultural, Leisure, Tertiary Education and Community Facilities

DS: Aspirational Development Sites

FR: Finchley Road: Residential and Retail Environment

UWF: Underground Water Features and Basement Excavation:

Appendices

[Appendix BD 1 Modern](#) Suburban Houses by CHB Quennell

[Appendix BD 2 Sub Area 2 Streetscapes](#)

[Appendix BD 3 Buildings for which](#) status as Non-Designated Heritage Assets is sought

[Appendix BD 4 Design Codes](#)

[Appendix BGI](#)

[Appendix CF](#)

[Appendix FR](#)

[Appendix UWF](#)

BD: BUILDING AND DESIGN

BD 1 NEW DEVELOPMENTS AND REFURBISHMENT OF EXISTING HOUSING STOCK

Intent

1. For designated heritage assets, such as the Redington Frogнал Conservation Area, paragraph 185 of the NPPF requires that local planning authorities should give great weight to the asset’s conservation when considering the impact of proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset.
2. The Conservation Area status of the Plan Area, mostly comprising heritage housing stock, and the scarcity of available development sites, limits opportunities for new construction. Nevertheless, the Plan aims to accommodate part of the need for housing in the Frogнал and Fitzjohn’s ward, as projected by the GLA over the period to 2041. This will be achieved through development which conforms to Policies BD 1 to BD 4.

Table BD 1 GLA Population Projections for Frogнал and Fitzjohn’s Ward, 2016 to 2041

Age band	Number of persons				Increase or decrease per period			Cumulative
	2016	2021	2031	2041	2016-21	2021-31	2031-41	2016-2041
0-3 years	599	608	582	576	9	(26)	(6)	(23)
4-10 years	1,131	1,096	1,036	999	(35)	(60)	(36)	(132)
11-18 years	1,096	1,219	1,213	1,173	122	(6)	(39)	77
19-29 years	2,492	2,530	2,430	2,476	37	(100)	46	(17)
30-59 years	5,540	5,877	5,679	5,476	337	(198)	(203)	(64)
60-74 years	1,249	1,207	1,584	1,741	(41)	376	157	492
75-90 years	841	912	913	1,026	71	0	113	184
Total	12,949	13,449	13,436	13,467	500	(13)	31	518

Source: Redfrog based on GLA 2015 Round Population Projections 'Camden Development', Capped AHS Perso

3. Redington Frogнал supports sustainable growth for the provision of a variety of homes and jobs¹.
4. All development in the Area, whether residential or commercial, must “adopt design and conservation principles to preserve and enhance the characteristics of [Redington Frogнал’s] green and picturesque” Victorian and Edwardian suburb, “with a mixed range of population in terms of socio-economic and age groups.”² New development must be designed to complement and respect the existing character of the area and its heritage buildings.
5. The phased disposal of King’s College Hampstead Campus, between 2000 and 2014, led to considerable new residential development, totalling some about 450 units in Kidderpore Avenue alone, and densification.
6. Other nearby developments include the ZEN development (21 dwellings) on Heath Drive³ and the Viridium Apartments at 264 Finchley Road (11 flats and 2 penthouses), The Cascades at 368-372 Finchley Road, Clark House at 328 Finchley Road and at 252 Finchley Road. It is likely that these developments have enabled the population of the Plan Area to increase by 2,000 residents since 2000.
7. Notwithstanding this increased housing provision, the mean house price in the Redington Frogнал Area increased by 250%⁴ over the ten years to 2015. ONS data on Housing Affordability⁵ indicate that the ratio of median house price to median earnings also continued to rise in the London Borough of Camden. By 2015, median house prices had reached 20 times median earnings, a deterioration of 23% in just two years.

1 Objective 4 of the Redington Frogнал Vision and Objectives Brochure

2 Objective 1 of the Redington Frogнал Vision and Objectives Brochure

3 <http://www.zenddevelopments.co.uk/current-developments/heath-drive-hampstead-nw3/>

4 ONS HPSSA Dataset 3 <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/housing/datasets/hpsdataset3meanhousepricebymsaquarterlyrollingyear>

5 ONS Ratio of median house price to lower quartile earnings by Local Authority, 2013 to 2015, table 577

<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/housing/adhocs/006557ratioofhousepricetoearningslowerquartileandmedianbylocalauthoritydistrictenglandandwales1997to2015>

8. As a result of such price growth, the area has become particularly attractive to property developers, while the high prices of new developments and existing housing have become unaffordable to all but high earners.
9. ONS Census data for 2011 for the Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Plan Area indicate that 47.2% of household spaces are in converted housing⁶. Maintaining the number of dwellings in converted housing is a key element in the provision of accommodation for a range of household sizes, from single persons to families.
10. The Census data also show the average rooms per household as 5.47 and 2.82 bedrooms per household, compared with an average household size of 2.41 persons⁷. This implies that larger-sized dwellings are well supplied. Of residents aged 16 to 74 years, 31% are economically inactive. Among those who are economically active, 78% are occupied as managers, directors, senior officials, in professional occupations or associate professional and technical occupations. These data confirm the heavy bias towards higher socio-economic groups.
11. A growing trend to increase single dwelling house size has been observed, either through demolition and rebuild or through substantial extensions, including basements. However, consents for residential building extensions and conversions have not yielded an increase in dwellings and, on the contrary, have brought about a cumulative loss of homes, through the conversion of two or more flats to a single house. An analysis of planning consents for the Redington Frognal Area between 1 January 2010 and 19 August 2017 indicates that a total of 21 consents were granted for refurbishment of existing residential buildings, resulting in a net loss of 13 units⁸.
12. The Plan seeks to retain existing flats and to ensure that development provides a variety of home sizes and prices. In particular, it aims to enhance accessibility for a range of socio-economic groups, including through the provision of smaller-sized units, from studio flats and starter homes (at a price of no more than £450,000 at 2015 prices⁹) to two bedrooms.
13. London-wide projections of specialist housing needs for the elderly, published by the London Assembly Housing Committee¹⁰, expect that London might need an additional 80,000 sheltered housing spaces and 67,000 more care home places by 2041. Indeed, GLA population projections by age for the London Borough of Camden¹¹ forecast that Camden’s population of 85 and over will increase by 138.8% between 2016 and 2041, compared with an overall Camden population increase of 16.8%.
14. This policy additionally intends that, in accordance with the five principles of sustainable development¹², to help to ensure a “strong, healthy and just society”.

6 Includes shared houses and bedsits

7 2011 Census Key Statistics and Quick Statistics, ONS © Crown Copyright, Open Government Licensed. Created by: Corporate Strategy, © LB Camden, 2011

8 RedFrog conversions, from Camden Open Data portal, accessed 19.8.17: <https://opendata.camden.gov.uk/Environment/Planning-Applications/2eiu-s2cw/data>

9 MHCLG Starter Homes Guidance <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/starter-homes>

10 London Assembly Planning Committee *Homes for older Londoners: Building healthy homes for a comfortable and independent retirement*, November 2013

11 GLA 2015 Round of Demographic Projections Local authority population projections - SHLAA-based population projections, Capped Household Size model

12 living within the planet’s environmental limits; ensuring a strong, healthy and just society; achieving a sustainable economy; promoting good governance; and using sound science responsibly: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/achieving-sustainable-development>

BD 1 New Developments and Refurbishment of Existing Housing Stock

- i. Development of new residential units, in accordance with the 2017 Camden Local Plan policies H6 and H7, is to be prioritised. This should take into account the characteristics of the site, and current evidence in relation to housing need.
- ii. Where single houses have been sub-divided into flats, the number of residential units is to be maintained or increased, except where they do not meet or exceed London Plan private internal space standards.
- iii. Where units meet or exceed London Plan private internal space standards, a cumulative loss of two or more units will not be permitted. This applies to all development of a site since 2010 (when planning applications first became available on the Camden website), whether by different applicants or by the same applicant.
- iv. Apartments that do not meet London Plan private internal space standards may be amalgamated to provide fewer units.
- v. In order to maximise the provision of new dwellings, developments providing one or more additional homes should focus on units offering one to four bedrooms, in accordance with London Borough of Camden Strategic Housing Market Assessment, 5.2.16.
- vi. The retention of existing and the creation of new development and building extensions will be supported, if they are in accordance Policies BD 1 to BD 4, and:
 - maintain the Conservation Area’s green and verdant character;
 - minimise losses to biodiversity and habitat capable of supporting biodiversity;
 - maximise the area of soft landscaping, to act as a carbon sink and help mitigate against climate change and the urban heat island effect;
 - encourage/seek large tree and shrub planting;
 - retain existing trees and vegetation, especially large species / canopy trees and native trees.
- vii. Rear garden boundaries are strongly encouraged to include trees selected from the list shown in Appendix BGI 4.
- viii. Front garden boundary walls and hedges should be preserved or reinstated for new developments and refurbishments of existing housing stock.
- ix. The Plan strongly encourages the use of hedges (as in Appendix BGI 3) as front side and rear garden boundaries, to enhance amenity, biodiversity and streetscapes.

Application

15. Refurbishment of the existing housing stock, which does not cause loss of soft surface or garden area will be supported, particularly if accompanied by biodiversity enhancing measures, such as tree and hedge planting, as specified in Policy BGI and Appendices BGI 3, BGI 4 and BGI 6.
16. New developments, including building extensions, are to conform to the Redington Froggnal Design Codes, as set out in Policy BD 4 and Appendix BD 4.

BD 2 PRESUMPTION AGAINST DEMOLITION

Intent

1. The NPPF requires that local planning authorities should take account of: the desirability of sustaining and enhancing heritage significance, putting assets to viable uses consistent with their conservation; the positive contribution that conservation of heritage assets can make to sustainable communities and economic vitality; and, the desirability for new development to make a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness (paragraph 192).
2. National Planning Practice Guidance notes, with respect to unlisted conservation area buildings that:

“If the building is important or integral to the character or appearance of the conservation area then its demolition is more likely to amount to substantial harm to the conservation area, engaging the tests in paragraph 133 ¹ of the National Planning Policy Framework.”
3. Historic England notes that total loss of a heritage asset, or substantial harm, may be justified if all of the following tests are met:
 - a) there is no viable use of the heritage asset that can be found in the medium term, including through marketing to find alternative owners;
 - b) the heritage asset is preventing all reasonable uses of the site;
 - c) public support for or ownership of the asset it demonstrably not possible; and
 - d) the harm or loss is outweighed by the benefits of bringing the site back into use.

Source: page 7 of Historic England “National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and Heritage Assets”.

4. The Redington Froggnal area has a rich social history and has been home to many notable residents, e.g. General de Gaulle and Tomas Mazaryk. It is also defined by fine Edwardian and Victorian architecture and notable post-War buildings by eminent architects, set in large gardens planted with mature trees and vegetation, which make a particularly strong contribution to the character of the area.
5. Camden’s Redington Froggnal Conservation Area Statement of 2003 had already noted a number of infill developments. Since then, infill development has accelerated and many properties have been demolished. Where properties have been demolished they are replaced by much larger properties and smaller gardens (as in the photo below) and a mixed assortment of architecture. The cumulative impact of this development is to erode the unique characteristics of the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area and to harm the settings of historic buildings.

Example of Modern Architecture which Detracts from the Conservation Area



6. The new development above, at 38 Redington Road, lacks detailing to the façade, fenestration and roofscape. It is without a front garden, side garden, trees or hedges, and incorporates excessive hard surfacing.
7. Redington Froggnal strongly supports a presumption against demolition and this policy aims to preserve the Area’s Victorian and Edwardian buildings, in addition to post 1930 buildings of high architectural value, and their green settings.

13 Redington / Froggnal Conservation Area Statement 2003

¹ Para. 195 of NPPF July 2018

14 Redington Frogna Vision and Objectives questionnaire, questions 1 and 2.

BD 2 Presumption Against Demolition

- i The plan incorporates a presumption against total or substantial demolition for buildings, including those which are:
 - identified in the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area Statement as positive or neutral contributions, either on their own, or as a group of buildings; or
 - included in the list of heritage assets, for which the Forum requests local listing, as shown as shown at Appendix BD 3; or
 - identified as non-designated heritage assets.
- i Buildings forming a positive contribution to the Conservation Area are to be sensitively adapted and extended, as necessary. This is to ensure that the building and its setting are retained that they remain an integral part of the Area's streetscape and character.
- i Demolition may be justified where a scheme delivers public benefits which outweigh the harm. Where there is substantial harm, the public benefits will need to be substantial.

Application

9. New development will be supported where this is achieved without demolishing a:
 - i. Victorian or Edwardian building
 - ii. building considered to be of architectural merit
 - iii. building which, on its own, or as a group, forms a positive or neutral contribution to the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area
 - iv. locally listed heritage asset, or heritage building for which the Forum seeks listing as non-designated heritage assets.
10. Demolition is unlikely to be outweighed by public benefits, except where it delivers public benefits which outweigh the harm. Where there is substantial harm, the public benefits will need to be substantial.
11. Applications for demolition must attach sufficient weight to consideration of the building's retention and its ability to deliver affordable housing.
12. Where an applicant claims that no viable use of a heritage asset can be found and therefore proposes demolition, the applicant first will be required to market the heritage asset at fair market value to potential buyers for a medium period of time of five years.
13. Policy BGI 2 applies in respect of applications to demolish front garden walls and hedges.

BD 3 CAR-FREE DEVELOPMENT


Intent

1. With the population of Redington Froggnal forecast to grow further during the lifetime of the Plan, the challenge is to ensure that growth is supported by healthy and sustainable transport choices.

Connectivity

2. Section 5 of Camden’s Local Plan Evidence Report on car-free development (February 2016) demonstrates that Camden has high and improving public transport accessibility levels (PTAL) and connectivity¹⁵. New methods of assessing connectivity (e.g. Access To Opportunities and Services (ATOS) and time mapping (TIM) have become available, showing that levels of connectivity within the borough are higher than had been represented in 2010 using PTAL as the sole indicator. It is expected that connectivity will continue to rise due to the delivery of infrastructure improvements.
3. Furthermore, Camden’s 2017 Local Plan Evidence Base has successfully demonstrated that, when using combined PTAL and ATOS measures “there are very few areas where there is a combined low PTAL and low ATOS score (blue areas)”¹⁶ in the map below and “although some parts of the borough may not have high PTAL ratings (green), these areas are still well provided by essential local services within a relatively short distance on foot or by public transport”.

Map BD 1 Combined PTAL and ATOS Levels for Redington Froggnal, 2001



Source: Camden data from 2001 Census

4. Car-free development is supported by planning appeal decisions, even relating to Camden’s earlier Core Strategy and Development Policies¹⁷. These have found that off-street parking provision would prejudice the achievement of sustainable travel by undermining attempts to promote and encourage cycling, walking and public transport use and that off-street parking spaces within an area of high PTAL rating did not amount to sustainable development.
5. The Local Plan Evidence Report observes that, whereas trips by car in Camden declined by 31%, and total motor vehicle trips fell by 27% over the period between 2006 and 2014, the Froggnal & Fitzjohn’s ward experienced an opposite trend.
6. Although vehicle ownership per household is in decline in Camden, Froggnal and Fitzjohn’s is the one ward in which vehicle ownership increased between 2001 and 2011.

¹⁵ Connectivity is much broader in its scope than public transport accessibility as it includes elements such as the proximity to jobs, shopping opportunities or essential services.

¹⁶ It should also be noted that large parts of the blue areas cover Hampstead Heath, which is largely unpopulated.

¹⁷ APP/X5210/A/14/2222537 and APP/X5210/A/14/2213004

Table BD 1 Change in Vehicle Ownership by Ward, 2001 to 2011

	House-holds 2001	All cars or vans 2001	House-holds 2011	All cars and vans in 2011	% change in house-holds	% change in total cars or vans	% change in cars or vans per house-hold
Belsize	6,151	3,689	6,131	3,532	-0.3	-4.3	-3.9
Bloomsbury	3,977	1,165	4,819	1,134	21.2	-2.7	-19.7
Camden Town with Primrose Hill	5,371	3,119	5,905	3,004	9.9	-3.7	-12.4
Cantelowes	4,718	2,504	5,094	2,226	8.0	-11.1	-17.7
Fortune Green	4,768	3,267	5,324	3,015	11.7	-7.7	-17.4
Frognaal and Fitzjohns	5,303	4,338	4,940	4,081	-6.8	-5.9	1.0
Gospel Oak	4,815	2,630	4,912	2,370	2.0	-9.9	-11.7
Hampstead Town	4,988	3,964	5,200	3,856	4.3	-2.7	-6.7
Haverstock	5,052	2,442	5,254	2,232	4.0	-8.6	-12.1
Highgate	4,844	3,494	4,788	3,316	-1.2	-5.1	-4.0
Holborn and Covent Garden	5,259	1,849	6,114	1,836	16.3	-0.7	-14.6
Kentish Town	5,204	2,752	5,793	2,535	11.3	-7.9	-17.3
Kilburn	5,223	2,375	5,758	2,105	10.2	-11.4	-19.6
King's Cross	4,394	1,385	4,594	1,072	4.6	-22.6	-26.0
Regent's Park	5,292	2,333	5,602	2,046	5.9	-12.3	-17.2
St Pancras and Somers Town	5,313	1,984	5,588	1,768	5.2	-10.9	-15.3
Swiss Cottage	5,843	3,847	5,860	3,662	0.3	-4.8	-5.1
West Hampstead	5,088	2,824	5,858	2,811	15.1	-0.5	-13.5
All	91,603	49,961	97,534	46,601	6.5	-6.7	-12.4


Source: Camden Annual Parking Report, 2014

Air Quality

7. The Redington Frognaal Plan Area suffers from high NO₂ levels in excess of the permitted EU maximum: the European Emissions Standard for NO₂ is 40 µg/m³, averaged over one year.
8. Movements of private vehicles are encouraged and exacerbated by the grant of planning consents for specific off-street parking areas, both above and below ground. In Kidderpore Avenue, for example, basement parking allocations from three planning consents will result in 423 vehicles across three developments in one narrow street by end 2018.
9. Redington Frognaal Association¹⁸ undertook diffusion tube monitoring for a period of three months from November 2015 to January 2016 at a variety of location types, from a quiet backwater to busy commuter routes. For almost all locations, average NO₂ readings were above permitted levels, ranging from 36 µg per cubic metre for a tube sited in a well-vegetated front garden with trees, close to the junction of Finchley Road and Frognaal Lane, to as high as 62 µg per cubic metre for Arkwright Road, a very busy school-run and commuter route.
10. It should be noted that these readings were taken prior to the completion of several recent consents for large underground car parks, notably Mount Anvil's Kidderpore Avenue north site and Barratt's Kidderpore Avenue south site. Air quality will since have deteriorated further.

18 Umbrella group for street and residents' associations in the Redington Frognaal area.
<http://search3.openobjects.com/kb5/camden/cd/results.page?pollingdistrict=17&borough=1&communitychannel=2-3-21>

Figure BD 1 Average NO2 Concentrations, November 2015 to January 2016



Source: Redington Froggnal Association

- Camden’s Local Plan, adopted in July 2017, recognises the benefits of car-free development and the need to create “more welcoming environments that increase the likelihood of people making healthier and more sustainable transport choices”.

Conservation Area Status

- The Plan Area is virtually congruent with the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area (except for a handful of properties on Finchley Road). Because of this, the Plan must give special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the Conservation Area¹⁹.
- Off-street (and basement) car parking is noted as a key source of harm to the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area. For example, Camden’s Redington Froggnal Conservation Area Statement and Guidelines stated²⁰, as long ago as January 2003, that,

“Alterations to the front boundaries between the pavement and houses can dramatically affect and harm the character of the Conservation Area.” ... “Where there are low walls alongside the road and within properties they add to the attractive appearance of the front gardens and architectural setting of buildings. Proposals should respect the original style of boundary and these should generally be retained and reinstated where they have been lost. Particular care should be taken to preserve the green character of the Conservation Area by keeping hedges. The loss of front boundary walls where it has occurred detracts from the appearance of the front garden by reducing the area for soft landscaping in this urban residential area. Furthermore, the loss of front boundary walls facilitates the parking of vehicles in part of the property, which would adversely affect the setting of the building and the general street scene.”

and,

“The Council will resist any further loss of front boundary walls and conversion of front gardens into hardstanding parking area.”

¹⁹ as required by Section 72(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990

20 Redington Frogna Conservation Area Guidelines RF 8 and RF 9

14. Similarly, Local Plan Policy T2 10.21 affirms that,
“Parking can cause damage to the environment. Trees, hedgerows, boundary walls and fences are often the traditional form of enclosure on Camden’s streets, particularly in conservation areas, contributing greatly to their character, as recognised in Camden’s Conservation Area Appraisals and Management Strategies. This form can be broken if garden features are replaced by areas of paving or hard standing. Development of boundary treatments and gardens to provide on-site private parking often requires the loss of much needed public on-street parking bays to create vehicle crossovers. Areas of paving can also increase the volume and speed of water run-off. This adds to the pressure upon the drainage system and increases the risk of flooding from surface water”.
15. Despite Policy T2 and the Conservation Area appraisal, off-street parking has continued to proliferate, front gardens have been lost and street scenes have become degraded to the extent that Redington Froggnal Association had presented to Camden in 2011 a case for the imposition of an Article 4 Direction²¹. The case was accepted in June 2011 by (redacted) Camden’s Conservation and Historic Buildings Advisor²² and further photographic evidence was compiled, as requested, and presented to (redacted) Camden’s Conservation and Historic Buildings Advisor²³ in 2013.
16. An example of a planning consent granted since Policy T2 was introduced is 2017/1229/P for off-street parking in relation to new development at 5 Templewood Avenue. Officers chose to apply a very narrow interpretation of the Policy, whereby the Policy is to be applied only where a completely new building is planned. As a result of this interpretation, consent was granted for a car lift, off-street / basement parking for eight vehicles, to be shared between two flats²⁴.
17. A planning inspectorate decision, APP/X5210/W/17/3178421 of 10 October 2017 in relation to 13 Fitzjohn’s Avenue, further supports the need to retain front-boundary treatments. In this appeal, one of the main issues was whether the proposed development would preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the [Fitzjohn’s/Netherhall] Conservation Area. The planning inspector concluded that “the partial loss of the boundary wall would unacceptably harm the character and appearance of the FNCA” (conservation area).

Financial Viability

18. A Financial Viability Study, commissioned as part of the evidence to support Camden’s Local Plan, tested the ability of a range of development types throughout the borough to viably meet planning policy requirements of the Local Plan. This concluded that overall, the car-free development policy “would have only a minor impact on the viability of development across the borough”. Moreover, by omitting the provision of car parking, more space would become available to deliver “larger residential units and more communal and/or amenity space” and that there “would also be cost savings associated with not delivering car parking, which in the case of basements in particular could be very significant”.

21 RedFrog Article 4 Direction presentation, dated 13 April, 2011
 22 Redington Froggnal Association and Camden meeting note of 22 June 2011
 23 Redington Froggnal Association letter to (redacted) dated 24 June, 2013
 24 Paras. 6.37-6.36, page 447 of Members’ Briefing of 14.12.17:
[http://democracy.camden.gov.uk/documents/g7276/Agenda frontsheet 14th-Dec-2017 19.00 Planning Committee.pdf?T=0](http://democracy.camden.gov.uk/documents/g7276/Agenda%20frontsheet%2014th-Dec-2017%2019.00%20Planning%20Committee.pdf?T=0)

BD 3 Car-Free Development

- i. Local Plan Policy T2 Parking and Car-Free Development applies in respect of new development .
- ii. However, the neighbourhood plan policy applies to all development, i.e. the creation of new units, the amalgamation of units, refurbishment and reconfiguration of units (including development which does not involve a net gain or loss of units) and extensions to existing buildings.
- iii. Car-free development means that no parking spaces are provided within the site, including underground. The only exceptions made are for disabled users or for essential operational or servicing needs.

Application

- 19. The policy will enable the reinstatement of traditional front boundary treatments, including low retaining walls and front and side garden hedges and soft-surfaced front gardens.
- 20. Separate provisions apply to parking designated for disabled users or for essential operational or servicing needs (in accordance with Camden policy Parking and Car-Free Development).
- 21. Allocated spaces for shared electric vehicles are encouraged, along with on-street electric vehicle charging points.
- 22. The policy applies both to developments involving demolition and those without demolition.
- 23. This policy will encourage healthier and more sustainable transport choices, reduce private motor vehicle ownership and vehicle movements and congestion, leading to an improvement in air quality.

BD 4 REDINGTON FROGNAL DESIGN CODES FOR DEVELOPMENT SITES, INCLUDING NEW BUILDINGS, EXTENSIONS AND ALTERATIONS

BD 4 and Appendices BD 4.1 to 4.4 constitute the Redington Frognal Design Codes.

Intent

1. The use of codes was recommended in national policy, initially in 2006 in Planning Policy Statement 3: Housing (para.18), and subsequently in the 2012 National Planning Policy Framework (para 59). The Policy was accompanied in late 2006 by detailed guidance covering the purpose, preparation, use and utility of design coding (now withdrawn and scheduled by the Taylor Review for revision and incorporation into the new single online planning guidance resource). However, it is explicitly stated that the deleted 2006 guidance referred to below does not apply to circumstances like the Redington Frognal Conservation Area:

“Design codes may be appropriate in other circumstances as well. They may, for example, be appropriate for thematic design coding to guide the design of repetitive minor householder planning applications such as house extensions, alterations, and the like in a particular locality. However, these forms of design coding are not the focus of this guide.”

2. The paragraphs below from section 12 of the NPPF justify the use of design policies, principles or assessments and there are a number of examples where design codes have been successfully used in planning²⁵.

Paragraph 125 and 126 note that,

125 *“Plans should, at the most appropriate level, set out a clear design vision and expectations, so that applicants have as much certainty as possible about what is likely to be acceptable. Design policies should be developed with local communities so they reflect local aspirations, and are grounded in an understanding and evaluation of each area’s defining characteristics. Neighbourhood plans can play an important role in identifying the special qualities of each area and explaining how this should be reflected in development*

126 *“To provide maximum clarity about design expectations at an early stage, plans or supplementary planning documents should use visual tools such as design guides and codes. These provide a framework for creating distinctive places, with a consistent and high quality standard of design. However their level of detail and degree of prescription should be tailored to the circumstances in each place, and should allow a suitable degree of variety where this would be justified.”*

3. The role of design codes in contributing good design is recognised. DCLG Guidance: Design, published on 6 March 2014²⁶ advises that design codes may be incorporated into a neighbourhood plan, while the Housing White Paper²⁷ proposes the use of tools such as design codes that respond to local character (pages 29 and 86).

25. Baroness Williams (the Housing Minister) in the House of Lords said on floor of the House: “Indeed, as my noble friend is undoubtedly aware, national planning policy and our planning guidance encourage Design Codes where they can help to deliver high-quality outcomes” <https://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201516/ldhansrd/text/151120-0002.htm#15112061000551> (Columns 459 and 452)

and <https://matthew-carmona.com/2013/04/12/design-codes-diffusion-of-practice-in-england/>

26. MHCLG Design Guidance. <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/design-design-codes>

27 DCLG Fixing Our Broken Housing Market

4. Design codes raise the design standard of new developments and maintain that of places²⁸. Their use is supported by the Royal Institute of British Architects (RIBA)²⁹ and forming Housing Minister, Gavin Barwell, notes that” it is for local authorities and their communities, through their Local Plans and Neighbourhood plans, to set out the quality of design that they wish to see in their area.”³⁰ They are particularly appropriate for conservation areas: paragraph 200 of the NPPF requires that local planning authorities look for opportunities for new development within a conservation area to enhance or better reveal their significance. Historic England’s best practice advice note³¹ provides guidance in respect of new development in the form of design and local distinctiveness
5. The aim of the Design Codes is to provide clear parameters by defining the parameters of what is and is not acceptable to preserving and enhance the Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood Plan Area, which is virtually congruent with the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area. They are intended as an aid to the formulation and design of development proposals and change within the Plan Area.
6. The distinctiveness of the Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood Plan Area is recognised by Camden’s Redington Froggnal Conservation Area appraisals. The bulk of the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area was originally designated in June 1985. It was described in the report to the London Borough of Camden, Planning and Communications Committee as “an exceptional example of consistently distinguished Victorian and Edwardian architecture”. The report noted that the area had “already begun to lose some of its interesting buildings” and was subject to increasing pressure for unsympathetic change.
7. The Area has many positive aspects that contribute to the rich character of Redington Froggnal, which should be sustained, reinforced and enhanced. These general urban design principles are intended to guide and manage change across the Area, through the application and understanding of the context surrounding each development. To achieve high quality design, development proposals will be expected to demonstrate how they respond to the context and how the following principles have been applied.
8. It is the intention of these design codes to encourage a higher standard of design for development, in order to deliver locally distinctive architecture that contributes to, and is in keeping with, the existing character of the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area.
9. It is also intended that the design codes will prevent cumulative harm to and reverse the steady erosion of the Conservation Area / Neighbourhood Plan Area and its setting, and that they will deliver sustainable development in accordance with paragraphs 10 to 12 of the NPPF.
10. A survey by the UCL: Bartlett School of Planning³² of 311 local planning authorities in England found that design codes, revealed a range of potential headline benefits of the use of design codes, including:
 - *Better designed development, with less opposition locally, and a more level playing field for developers*
 - *Enhanced economic value derived from the positive sense of place that better quality design can deliver*
 - *Less uncertainty with the planning process and a resulting positive climate for business investment*
 - *Streamlined regulatory processes, saving time and money for developers and local authorities alike*
 - *A more coordinated development process, built on consensus instead of conflict.”*

“The assessment amongst planning authorities was overwhelmingly positive, with the vast majority of those who had previously used design codes declaring their intention to use them again in the future as and when the right opportunities arose (namely sites large enough to justify their production).”
11. The use of design codes will provide certainty for developers and avoid situations such as the unsuccessful attempt by Linton Group to construct a very unpopular new development, which would not have conformed to the Redington Froggnal Design Codes and Policy BD 2 Presumption Against Demolition³³. They will additionally obviate the need for neighbours to expend vast sums in challenging inappropriate planning applications and assertions made by developers³⁴.
12. The policy therefore builds on the Local Plan policies D1 Design and D2 Heritage, providing specific local detail. This approach is supported by the National Planning Policy Framework, which states that in

paragraph 125 that, “Neighbourhood plans can play an important role in identifying the special qualities of each area and explaining how this should be reflected in development”.

-
13. Chichester District Council Design Protocol, December 2013 <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=20823>
 14. Response of RIBA President Elect, Ben Derbyshire, to the Government’s Housing White Paper, 7 February 2017. <https://www.architecture.com/knowledge-and-resources/knowledge-landing-page/riba-responds-to-housing-white-paper>
 15. House of Commons written question 58654
<https://www.parliament.uk/written-questions-answers-statements/written-question/commons/2016-12-20/58654>
 16. Historic England, Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 2 - Managing Significance in Decision- Taking in the Historic Environment, 2015
<https://content.historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/gpa2-managing-significance-in-decision-taking/gpa2.pdf>
 17. Design Coding Diffusion of Practice in England, Matthew Carmona and Valentina Giordano Bartlett School of Planning, UCL, October 2012
<http://www.udg.org.uk/sites/default/files/publications/Design-Coding-Diffusion-of-Practice-in-England.pdf>
 18. 28 Redington Road planning application 2016/2997/P and appeal reference APP/X5210/W/3164577
 19. Neighbours at 26 and 30 Redington Road spent £25,716 to support Camden Council’s case against the development proposals. (Neighbours’ costs for 28 Redington Road.xlsx)

- 20. The vision of the design codes is to ensure that development understands and responds to the context and special qualities which make the Redington Frognal Conservation Area distinctive. Through the use of design codes, developers will be required to invest in detail and quality and display greater imagination and creativity.
- 21. New buildings are to respect the Area’s local character and history, its leisurely and spacious appearance and highly detailed grand heritage buildings. This will be achieved through high levels of detailing and decoration and well-vegetated green settings. In this way, development can preserve and enhance the Conservation Area et and reflect the identity of local surroundings and materials, while not preventing or discouraging appropriate innovation.
- 22. The Conservation Area’s eight sub areas achieve homogeneity, with differences between them based largely on the density, style and scale of buildings, the period of construction, topography and density of vegetation. Although there is some variation in architectural detailing, the common style and age of buildings generally results in a harmonious and unified structure. The limited palette of materials and the similar age, size and style create a high level of unity and cohesion and a strong local identity across most of the Plan Area. This enables application of the design codes across the eight sub areas.
- 23. By differentiating between mandatory and desirable elements, the design codes, shown in Appendix BD 4, afford sufficient flexibility for use in all eight sub areas, including the eastern side of Finchley Road.
- 24. Mandatory elements of the Redington Frognal Design Codes are to be followed in all instances, unless it can be demonstrated that a departure will not cause harm to the character of the Redington Frognal Conservation Area.

BD 4 Redington Frognal Design Codes for Development Sites, Including New Buildings, Extensions and Alterations

- i. All new building, including extensions and alterations, is to be in accordance with the design vision for the Plan Area and the mandatory elements of the Redington Frognal Design Codes, described in Appendix BD 4.

Application

- 25. The design criteria above are material to all applications in the Neighbourhood Plan Area.
- 26. Developers, community groups, businesses, property owners and architects are encouraged to follow the Design Codes to help them understand the Plan’s expectations of massing, design, layout, materials and landscape.
- 27. The Design Codes will also be used by Camden’s Planning department, the Conservation Area Advisory Committee and Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum / Association to assist in determining the appropriateness of planning applications in terms of bulk, massing and design.
- 28. The Design Codes are to be read in conjunction with the text, photos, figures and images provided in Appendix BD 4.
- 29. Examples of recent planning consents (being implemented in 2018), which would not conform to the design codes and which have compromised the streetscape of the conservation area, are shown below.

- 30. Redington Frogna Conservation Area Statement (p.9), 2003
- 31. AECOM RedFrog Character and Heritage Assessment (p.20)

Kidderpore Avenue: excessive bulk and massing of new development opposite Grade II listed church and adjacent to Arts and Crafts houses



Site of Interest for Nature Conservation: new townhouses adjacent to Grade II listed Skeele Library



Finchley Road: excessive bulk and massing of new development opposite Grade II listed banking hall and adjacent to Arts and Crafts houses



Kidderpore Avenue and Finchley Road: gaps between buildings devoid of trees and planting



BD 5 EXTENSION DEVELOPMENT

Intent

1. Policy BD 5 aims to ensure that extension development is supplementary to the use of the host building and that it maximises the preservation of gardens for the health and wellbeing of current and future occupiers.
2. The Redington Frogna Conservation Area is unique in Camden in benefitting from properties with large gardens, generally increasing in size with proximity to Hampstead Heath.
3. This policy additionally aims to guard against erosion of the Area's total garden space and, in accordance with paragraph 175 of the NPPF, it seeks to encourage “opportunities to incorporate biodiversity improvements in and around developments especially where this can secure measurable net gains for biodiversity.”
4. A number of planning permissions have been granted for development whose function is not supplementary to the use of the existing residence, notably:
 - 2011/5264/P: Erection of brick outbuilding in rear garden for use as yoga studio (granted 19-12-2011)
 - 2015/5681/P: Erection of single storey outbuilding in rear garden for use as a dog grooming salon (granted 23-02-2016).
5. The policy establishes standards for the size and impact of extension and infill developments.

BD 5 Extension Development

i. New extensions to residential buildings can be welcome, if they do not reduce the unbuilt area of the land plot by more than 15%, compared with the unbuilt area of the land plot as at 1 July 1948, or when the building was first constructed (if after 1 July 1948). Alternatively, the footprint of the proposed extension, together with the existing building, must not aggregate to more than 50% of the total area of each land plot, according to whichever measure consumes the least rear garden space.

Exceptions to the 15% rule may be applied in the case of properties without any gardens, eg at Hampstead Gate, or with minimal gardens, such as at those on Finchley Road between the Westfield development and Platt’s Lane.

ii Extensions must remain subservient to the host building and must have a character, scale and massing that adheres to Policy BD 4 Design Codes for Development Sites, Including New Buildings, Extensions and Alterations.

iii Side extensions, including the enlargement of garages and side porches, will only be permitted if they reflect the rhythm, punctuation and symmetry of the street scene, and are in accordance with the Policy BD 4 Design Codes for Development Sites, Including New Buildings, Extensions and Alterations. They will not be permitted where they risk starting or forming a terrace from existing detached or semi-detached houses.

Application

6. Rear extensions are to avoid any harm to the amenity of neighbours, as set out in the Camden’s November 2017 draft Supplementary Planning Guidance: Amenity.
7. Side extensions will only be permitted if they maintain existing gaps between houses, maintain views to rear gardens and adhere to Policy BD 4 Design Codes for Development Sites, Including New Buildings, Extensions and Alterations.
8. The removal of streetscape features, such as front and side hedges and front boundary walls, which make a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the Conservation Area, is discouraged.

Recommendation

9. Permitted development rights should be removed for building extensions planned to reduce the unbuilt area of the land plot by more than 15%, compared with the unbuilt area as at 1 July 1948³⁷. Alternat-

10. ively, the footprint of proposed extension, together with the existing building, must not aggregate to more than 50% of the total area of each land plot. The measure to be used is whichever consumes the least rear garden space.

.....
32 The NPPF defines an original building as “a building as it existed on 1 July 1948 or, if constructed after 1 July 1948, as it was built originally.” <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/annex-2-glossary>

BD 6 RETENTION OF ARCHITECTURAL DETAILS IN EXISTING BUILDINGS

Intent

1. The buildings within Redington Froggnal comprise a range of high quality architecture, mostly from the late Victorian and Edwardian periods. Many of the buildings were designed and constructed by the same architects and builders working together. As a result, there is a high degree of unity within the area. It is therefore important that original buildings and their existing architectural features are retained to preserve the original design intention and style.
2. The Redington Froggnal Area exhibits a wide variety of period architectural detailing, such as intricate brick bonds, friezes, gothic detailing, hung tiles and pargeting.
3. This policy aims to preserve many of these attributes and the character appearance of the Area. It applies to all development which falls outside of the scope of the General Permitted Development Order.

BD 6 Retention of Architectural Details in Existing Buildings

- i. Front boundary walls and original architectural details, such as chimneys and porches etc., are to be retained – notably for Locally Listed buildings
- ii. Developers are strongly encouraged to retain hedges or, where none exists, to plant new native hedgerow species, as in Appendix BGI 3.

Application

4. This policy is to be applied throughout the Conservation Area. Removal of the following Redington Froggnal character features is likely to cause harm to the Conservation Area:
 - arches over front doors
 - intricate porches
 - decorative brickwork
 - door surrounds,
 - windows and roof lights
 - timber-framed sash windows and casement windows
 - arches over windows
 - tiled footpaths
 - carved stone on building exteriors
 - arches / green arches into gardens.
5. In cases where planning consent is required, repair of original architectural details is to be prioritised over replacement, including of windows and doors. Original, traditional materials are to be retained and repaired, if necessary, and re-roofing is to be carried out in tiles matching the original.
6. Where architectural details have been removed in the past, replacement with suitable copies will be required. Photographs of some original front boundary treatments and architectural features are shown in Appendix BD 1.
7. Materials used for the repair or alteration of buildings, and for surfacing and boundaries, shall match the existing high-quality palette of materials that typifies the character of each street. Developers are encouraged to select materials to be used in conjunction with the Neighbourhood Forum or Conservation Area Advisory Committee at pre-application stage, to ensure sufficient quality at a buildable price.
8. A variety of residential door types exist across the Conservation Area, but with a consistent style within groups of houses. Where a consistent style exists, and a replacement door is necessary, exact copies of the original doors are preferable.
9. Front boundary treatments, comprising brick walls and / or hedges, are to be retained. Where these have been removed, their reinstatement is encouraged. Original photographs of some front boundary treatments are available at Appendices BD 1 and BD 2.

Example of Modern Porch on an Edwardian Property with a Gated Front Boundary Treatment.



In the photo above, both the porch and the gated front boundary detract from the setting of the Edwardian property and form a negative contribution to the street scene.

Recommendation

10. An Article 4 Direction will be sought to remove permitted development rights (as in Hampstead), requiring householders to seek planning permission for the following:
 - i. Enlarging, altering or improving the front of a property – including alterations to and replacement of windows, doors, decorative details such as porches, terracotta panels and such like;
 - ii. Erection of garden sheds and summer houses with a cubic content greater than 10 cubic metres; are greater than 2.5 metres in height (flat roofed), or 3.5 metres in height (ridged roof) and have a footprint of over 4 sq. metres. Any building with a footprint in excess of 4 sq. metres and / or 10 cubic metres will be treated as an extension for the house³⁸;
 - iii. Alterations to the front of a property;
 - iv. Additions or alterations to the roof at the front of a property, including the installation of a roof light, dormer window or solar panel;
 - v. Erecting, altering or removing a chimney;
 - vi. Making, enlarging, improving or altering a hard surface at the front of a property;
 - vii. Erecting, altering or demolishing a gate, wall, hedge or fence at the front of a property;
 - viii. Demolition of a front boundary wall or felling of a front boundary hedge; felling of front boundary trees, felling of a side or rear garden hedge; felling of trees forming part of a rear garden corridor. Trees and hedges felled will be required to be replanted in accordance with the recommendations presented in Policy BGI.

33. Based on Camden Supplementary Planning Guidance, July 2002
<http://www3.camden.gov.uk/planning/plan/spg/spg2development.pdf>

BD 7 KEY VIEWS DESIGNATION

1. The underlying landform of the Area is closely linked to its complex hydrology, defined by a series of rivers and streams. These run off Hampstead Heath from the north and were diverted underground before the area was developed. The action of these watercourses on the underlying geology has resulted in an undulating topography which differs across the area.
2. This unique topography creates many views across the Area and along valleys, where there is an increased sense of enclosure, such as Redington Road and Heath Drive, and along prominent elevated ridge lines, such as Platts Lane. Such views are important for the widely appreciated sense place, and views of the distant skyline.
3. Of particular note are the view corridors providing views from high ground towards lower ground.
4. Historic England advises that views into, through and from the Conservation Area and its surroundings, are to be taken account of³⁹ and a number of these view corridors, noted in paragraphs 5 to 15 below, are considered to be of particular merit and worthy of special protection.

BD 7 Key Views Designation

Development is encouraged to support the policy to minimise the impact on key views A to K, identified below.

A. Key view between 1: 31 – 33 Redington Road
TQ 528466 85722



Views are offered through the gaps between these two houses, towards lower ground to the west.

B. Key view 2: along Frogna, looking from south to north
TQ 26278 85038




This view corridor towards the Grade II listed University College School (by Arnold Mitchell) is enhanced by front garden boundary walls and hedges, which line the street.

34. Historic England, Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 2 - Managing Significance in Decision- Taking in the Historic Environment, 2015

C. Key view 3: along Frognal, looking from Finchley Road

TQ 26163 84960



The view into the Conservation Area here is framed by the locally-listed buildings to the north (left) and south (right).

D. Key view 4: from Arkwright Road, looking west to West Hampstead and beyond

TQ 26147 85181



This view includes the domed Victorian roof and weathervane at 38 Arkwright Road (beyond the copper beech), the Grade II listed Camden Arts Centre at the north-western end of Arkwright Road (beyond the London Planes to the right of the photo). The view over to the western side of Finchley Road is compromised, and dominated by, the end elevation of the retail and housing block at 333-339 Finchley Road, demonstrating the need to protect views around heritage assets. The view leads down to Lymington Road and to West Hampstead.

E. Key view 5: from Finchley Road, looking into Arkwright Road

TQ 26033 85111



This view from Finchley Road into Arkwright Road shows The Grade II listed Camden Arts Centre to the north (on the left) and Arkwright Mansions to the south (on the right).

F. Key view 6: from Finchley Road, looking south

TQ 26033 85111



This key view is enhanced on the eastern side by the mansion flats constructed over the period 1897 to 1899 for J.E. and E.A. Cave and, beyond, the locally listed retail facades (both are to the left of the photo). The view leads towards Regents Park and central London.

G. Key view 7: University College School and Frogna

TQ 26133 85428



H Key view 8: from Finchley Road / West End Lane to Frognal Lane

TQ 25668 85335



The view from Finchley Road / West End Lane into Frognal Lane is dominated by the Grade II listed St. Andrew's Church to the north (left) and Palace Mansions to the south (right).

I Key view 9: from Finchley Road to Languard Gardens

TQ 25904 85232



The view from Finchley Road into Languard Gardens showing the Victorian architecture, constructed from 1892.

J Key view 10: along West Heath Road towards Platt's Lane

TQ 25897 86390



The trees and hedges on the southern side of West Heath Road blend with Hampstead Heath on the north of the road to create a green arcade, buffering the Heath and providing an appropriate green connection.

K Key view 11: from Platt's Lane to Thames Water Reservoir

TQ 25253 85974



This view between the Water Reservoir houses (to the left) and Windsor Court (to the right) and into the covered Thames Water Reservoir shows the openness and unbuilt character of this part of the Conservation Area. A Portakabin is temporarily sited on the rear tennis courts behind Windsor Court.

L Key view 12: east along Ferncroft Avenue from Platt's Lane

TQ 25347 86032



M Key View 13 South along Platt's Lane with Telegraph Hill to the east

TQ 25545 86414



N Key View 14 South along Platt's Lane from Sarum Chase
TQ 25547 86425



O Key View 15 Looking along Redington Gardens towards Branch Hill Woods
TQ 25772 85942



P Key View 16 Along Croft Way from Kidderpore Avenue
TQ 25419 85764



Q Key View 17 From Redington Road into Oak Hill Way
TQ 25802 85813



R Key View 18 From Redington Road towards Frognal
TQ 25799 85818



BGI BIODIVERSITY AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

WHY DO WE NEED A BIODIVERSITY POLICY?

1. Private gardens are critical to biodiversity and green infrastructure in the Redington Frogna Area. Individually, private gardens act as ecological stepping stones and, in tandem, form an ecological network providing the green Infrastructure of the Redington Frogna Area.
2. Redington Frogna is a leafy and verdant environment, with large, generous gardens, sustaining mature and veteran trees, making it a sought-after area in which to live.
3. As evidenced below, the Area has suffered an unsustainable cumulative loss (which cannot be reversed) of soft surface, trees and hedges, and an attendant loss of biodiversity and green infrastructure, over the past 30 years.
4. Losses to biodiversity (e.g. sparrows, bats, butterflies and thrushes) have occurred as a result of garden and habitat loss due to new development, including building extensions into rear and side gardens; conversion of traditional front gardens to hard-surfaced off-street car parks; and basement developments incorporating light wells. This is despite the area’s designation as a Conservation Area and its aim to preserve or enhance the character of the Area.
5. If we value the morning chorus, the contribution of gardens to the streetscapes, the rich and varied tree canopies, then we need a firm but reasonable framework which gives clear guidance about what we, the residents, consider to be acceptable.
6. Experience suggests that lack of clarity provides planners and developers with the opportunity to degrade the environment and dilute the aspirations of the Redington Frogna Conservation Area Statement and Guidelines.

Background

7. Estimates for United Kingdom cities suggest that domestic gardens comprise 19-27% of the entire urban area. A study of five UK cities showed that domestic gardens covered more than 20% of the urban area, and ranging from 35% in Edinburgh to 47% in Leicester⁴⁰. In London, 37,900 hectares (ha), approximately 24% of the city, is comprised of private, domestic garden. Of that garden land, 57% or 22,000 ha is vegetated cover (lawn, tree canopy and other vegetation). Therefore, approximately 14% of London is garden greenspace⁴¹.
8. Urban green spaces, such as domestic gardens, are becoming increasingly important refuges for native biodiversity⁴², and play an important part in maintaining biodiversity in urban areas. Available evidence suggests that domestic gardens offer an extensive, unique and undervalued resource for enhancing urban biodiversity⁴³. In particular gardens play an important role in supporting diverse wildlife populations. However, the benefit to wildlife will depend on the composition of the garden, such as differing landcovers e.g. grass lawn, paved patio, cultivated flower beds, etc⁴⁴.

1. “Urban domestic gardens (IV): the extent of the resource and its associated features”, by Kevin J. Gaston, Philip H. Warren, Ken Thompson and Richard M. Smith , 2004
<http://www.bugs.group.shef.ac.uk/BUGS1/sources/bugs-reprint4.pdf>
2. “Blooming London” by Chloe Smith, Greenspace Information for Greater London, July 2011
<http://www.gigl.org.uk/blooming-london/>
3. “Scaling up from gardens: biodiversity conservation in urban environments” by Mark A. Goddard, Andrew J. Dougill and Tim G. Benton, February 2010
<http://homepages.see.leeds.ac.uk/~lecajd/papers/Goddardetal.TREE.pdf>
4. “Scaling up from gardens: biodiversity conservation in urban environments” by Mark A. Goddard, Andrew J. Dougill and Tim G. Benton, February 2010
<http://homepages.see.leeds.ac.uk/~lecajd/papers/Goddardetal.TREE.pdf>
5. “Urban domestic gardens (IX): Composition and richness of the vascular plant flora, and implications for native biodiversity” by R.M. Smith, K. Thompson, J.G. Hodgson, P.H. Warren and K.J. Gaston, 2005
<http://www.bugs.group.shef.ac.uk/BUGS1/sources/bugs-reprint9.pdf>

9. A study of 61 gardens in Sheffield, UK, showed that garden size plays an overwhelming role in determining garden composition: larger gardens support more landcovers, contained greater extents of three-quarters of the recorded landcovers, and were more likely to contain trees taller than 2 metres. All categories of vegetation canopy increased with garden size, and large gardens supported disproportionately greater cover above 3 metres, thus contributing more to ecosystem services. Garden area partly determines the availability of particular landcovers and thus the presence of potential habitat for wildlife⁴⁵.
10. In evidence to the London Assembly Planning Committee of March 2018⁴⁶, it was noted that greater protection is required for, “Green spaces, including small open spaces, pocket parks and gardens” (para. 9.11); protection against extension (para. 9.15) and the harmful effect on biodiversity due to loss of gardens (para. 9.18):

“In support of this concern, the Planning Committee heard from the London Wildlife Trust that further loss of gardens would have a negative effect on biodiversity. The same meeting heard that there was a lack of biodiversity expertise in the planning process at the local level, with 18 per cent of applications impacting biodiversity, but only one per cent being scrutinised for those impacts.”
11. The National Planning Policy Framework requires local authorities to take a strategic approach to biodiversity, to “plan for biodiversity at a landscape-scale across local authority boundaries; identify and map components of the local ecological networks... ; promote the preservation, restoration and re-creation of priority habitats, ecological networks and the protection and recovery of priority species populations...”
12. Biodiversity 2020: A strategy for England’s wildlife and ecosystems services, details a strategy for delivering the Government’s natural environment policy. It includes a commitment to “...take a strategic approach to planning for nature” via reform of the planning system whilst still retaining “...the protection and improvement of the natural environment as core objectives of the planning system.” Biodiversity 2020 also features a number of Priority Actions, including to “establish more coherent and resilient ecological networks on land that safeguards ecosystem services for the benefit of wildlife and people”.
13. In oral evidence provided on 16 January 2018 (QQ 197-208) to the Select Committee on the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act, the Rt. Hon. Michael Gove MP stated that,

“As you quite rightly point out, one of the striking things is that domestic gardens are some of the richest sources of biodiversity in the country. When thinking about how we meet housing need, we must be clear that it must not come at the cost of biodiversity loss.”⁴⁷
14. Moreover, the Revised NPPF, published 24 July 2018⁴⁸, states that,

Para. 70: “Plans should consider the case for setting out policies to resist inappropriate development of residential gardens, for example where development would cause harm to the local area.”

Para. 122: “Planning policies and decisions should support development that makes efficient use of land, taking into account:”

“d) the desirability of maintaining an area’s prevailing character (including residential gardens), or of promoting regeneration and change.”
15. An analysis of consented planning decisions within the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area between 2010 and mid-March 2016 indicates that Camden granted 238 consents, to the detriment of biodiversity and green infrastructure, without delivering an appreciable increase in the number of residential units.
16. Such planning applications additionally resulted in the felling of a very large number of trees. For example, consents granted to excavate a total of 80 basements caused 307 trees and a number of hedgerows to be felled, almost invariably undertaken to facilitate development. Other reasons cited included “nuisance shading” and “honeydew deposits”.


6. “Urban domestic gardens (IX): Composition and richness of the vascular plant flora, and implications for native biodiversity” by R.M. Smith, K. Thompson, J.G. Hodgson, P.H. Warren and K.J. Gaston, 2005
<http://www.bugs.group.shef.ac.uk/BUGS1/sources/bugs-reprint9.pdf>
7. London Assembly Planning Committee London Plan consultation response, March 2018
https://www.london.gov.uk/sites/default/files/london_assembly_response_to_london_plan.pdf
8. House of Lords Select Committee on NERC 2006 – written and oral evidence <http://www.parliament.uk/documents/lords-committees/NERC-Act-2006/Combined-evidence-volume-nerc.pdf>
9. NPPF Draft Consultation, March 2018
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/685289/Draft_revised_National_Planning_Policy_Framework.pdf

Table BGI 1: Consents Granted for Building Extensions and Garden Building, 2010 - June 2016

	Rear garden	Side garden	Front garden	Total gardens affected	Net change in residential units
Arkwright Road	7	2	2	11	
Bracknell Gardens	5	1	1	7	
Briardale Gardens	6		1	7	
Chesterford Gardens	4	1	2	7	-1
Clorane Gardens	5	2		7	
Ferncroft Avenue	2	2	2	6	
Finchley Road	2			2	
Frognal	10	2	2	14	2
Frognal Close	2	1		3	
Frognal Lane	3			3	
Greenaway Gardens	7	1	2	10	
Heath Drive	7	6	3	16	
Hollycroft Avenue	10	2		12	-1
Kidderpore Avenue	1			1	
Kidderpore Gardens	3	2	3	8	-1
Langland Gardens	2		2	4	
Lindfield Gardens	4		1	5	
Oakhill Avenue	4	4		8	1
Platt's Lane	9	4		13	
Redington Gardens	1			1	
Redington Road	35	12	5	52	-1
Rosecroft Avenue	8		3	11	
Telegraph Hill	4			4	
Templewood Avenue	9	5	1	15	-4
Templewood Gardens	1	1		2	
West Heath Road	7	2		9	
Total	158	50	30	238	-5

Source: Redfrog based on Socrata from LB Camden

Map BGI 1: Consented Tree Fellings in the Redington Frognal Conservation Area, 2010 to June 2016



Source: Socrata Open Data API

Note: the above exclude 41 trees felled at 23 West Heath Road, 36 trees felled at SINC CaB1109, up to 60 fellings at the Kidderpore Avenue south (Barratt) site⁴⁹ and many trees felled illegally.

17. This Policy aims to deliver enhancements to green infrastructure, in order to improve connectivity and secure improvements to local biodiversity, through the following sub policies:
- biodiverse green habitat and connectivity (BGI 1)
 - front and side gardens / front boundary treatments for new developments (BGI 2)
 - tree planting and preservation (BGI 3)
 - light pollution (BGI 4)
 - local green spaces (BGI 5)
 - basements (BGI 6).

BGI 1 BIODIVERSE GREEN HABITAT

Intent

- 18. Within London, gardens are a priority habitat for the London Biodiversity Action Plan and a core habitat focus for London Wildlife Trust’s Living Landscapes vision in the capital⁵⁰.
- 19. With no publicly-owned green space⁵¹, private gardens are critical to biodiversity and infrastructure. They are increasingly vital to wildlife⁵² and people, providing shade, absorbing carbon, filtering air particulates soaking up flood water and helping to cool buildings.
- 20. Guideline RF1 of the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area Statement and Guidelines notes that, *“Rear gardens contribute to the townscape of the Conservation Area and provide a significant amenity to residents and a habitat for wildlife. Development within gardens is likely to be unacceptable.”*
 However, the low status of the Conservation Area Statement in the planning hierarchy has meant that Camden has been powerless to enforce its Guidelines, with the result that gardens, and particularly larger gardens, have been dramatically eroded by building extensions, outbuildings and basements.
- 21. AECOM’s March 2016 study, The Contribution of Trees to the Townscape Character of the Redington Froggnal Area⁵³ notes the “opportunity to define policy that enforce or encourage homeowners and developers to retain existing trees within front and rear gardens to protect the garden setting of buildings, and the contribution that trees in these locations make to the verdant character of streets. This could be through specific policy that restricts tree removal, or by using policy to incorporate trees into development.”
- 22. Adjoining rear gardens with trees and hedges form particularly diverse and important habitat network, both at ground level and above, enabling wildlife in the in the Redington Froggnal Area to circulate and providing a refuge. Together, they form Core Sustenance Zones⁵⁴ for bats, birds and other wildlife species. The presence of bats throughout the area is confirmed by a number of bat surveys conducted by The Ecology Network⁵⁵, The Ecology Consultancy^{56,57}, Furesfen⁵⁸ and John Cromar’s arboricultural report⁵⁹. In particular, adjoining rear gardens provide links to Hampstead Heath (Metropolitan Site of Interest for Nature Conservation M072), Hampstead Cemetery (CaB101) and Camden’s Strategic Green Corridors, notably to the Nash Ramblas Link and the Hampstead Ridge Corridor, to the CaL07 Site of Interest for Nature Conservation, to Golders Hill Park and to Regent’s Park.
- 23. Hedges are of particular importance to the Redington Froggnal ecological network: they create cool, shady places in what might otherwise be hot, exposed sites, with mixed hedgerows providing food, nesting places and shelter for birds and mammals. Wild flowers can provide both ornamental value and value to biodiversity, by supporting bees and other insects.
- 24. The value of the Area’s green habitat network is being compromised by planning consents for rear garden buildings, property extensions and basements, which almost invariably lead to hedge and tree fellings, including important mature trees.

- 11. Smith, C., Dawson, D., Archer, J., Davies, M., Frith, M., Hughes, E. and Massini, P., 2011. London: Garden City? From green to grey; observed changes in garden vegetation structure in London, 1998-2008, London Wildlife Trust, Greenspace Information for Greater London, and Greater London Authority [http://downloads.giggl.org.uk/website/Garden Research Full report.pdf](http://downloads.giggl.org.uk/website/Garden%20Research%20Full%20report.pdf)
- 12. See Appendix BGI 1 and BGI 2
- 13. Scaling up from gardens/ biodiversity conservation in urban environments, Mark A Goddard, Andrew J. Dougill, Tim G. Benton <http://homepages.see.leeds.ac.uk/~lecajd/papers/Goddardetal.TREE.pdf>
- 14. See Evidence Base document BGI AECOM Contribution of Trees to the Townscape FINAL 160505
- 15. Spaces Wild, London Wildlife Trust, October 2015 <http://www.wildlondon.org.uk/sites/default/files/spaces-wild-london-wildlife-trust-oct2015.pdf>
- 16. Ecology Network Bat Activity Survey, September 2016
- 17. Ecology Consultancy Kidderpore Avenue Bat Surveys, December 2012
- 18. Ecology Consultancy Kidderpore Avenue King’s College Halls, Bat Presence or Likely Absence Surveys, September 2014
- 19. Furesfen 25B Froggnal Bat Survey, July 2012
- 20. Arboricultural report for 5 Templewood Avenue, 24.1.17

21. Email from Janet Gompertz, 29.10.17 and planning objection from Linda Robson

- 25. The permission granted for planning application 2015/3936/P to provide for a double-storey underground car park, building extensions and new buildings at the former King’s College campus SINC CaB1109, has had a profound impact on the north side of Kidderpore Avenue. It led to the felling of 36 mature trees, the disappearance of 103 square metres of native woodland and 80 square metres of tall herbs, and a 130% increase in the area of bare artificial habitat (from 968 square metres to 2,225 square metres)⁶¹.
- 26. At the time of writing in March 2018, it appeared that up to 60 trees had been felled at the King’s College south site in Kidderpore Avenue for the Barratt development (which includes building refurbishments, extensions and some replacement buildings). The Ecology Consultancy planting plans^{62, 63}, which had been drawn up for the purpose of securing planning consent, have not implemented. Instead, the Phase 1 Habitat Survey Map, shown in Figure 1 of The Ecology Consultancy report, has been primarily replaced by hard surface and car parking.
- 27. Three planning consents at Sarum Chase, 23 West Heath Road (2005/3118/T, 2006/0371/T and 2006/2143/T) saw the felling of some 41 trees, including 7 Lombardy Poplars, 3 Scots Pines, an Oak and numerous other native species, for the purpose of various building extensions. Although Camden had imposed a requirement for some replanting, this was never enforced and was unenforceable⁶⁴. As a result, another formerly wooded site has been lost.
- 28. Policy BGI 1 therefore addresses the need to restore ecological networks and to provide potential foraging, roosting and nesting sites. New development in gardens must take the opportunity to strengthen existing green infrastructure and wildlife habitat, and reinforce the protection of gardens and green spaces, above and beyond that afforded by Camden Local Plan policies.

Photo BGI 1: Rear Garden Corridor Between Hollycroft Avenue, Ferncroft Avenue and Platts Lane, Sub Area 2



- 22. The Ecology Consultancy response to questions raised by community groups, dated 13.6.2017
- 23. Appendix BGI 6 Recommended Planting Plan, Phase I Habitat Survey, by The Ecology Consultancy 13.12.12
- 24. Murdoch and Wickham Planting Plan, 30.1.15
- 25. Enforcement notice EN16/0144 and emails from (redacted), Tree and Landscape Officer, dated 6.9.16 and 7.9.16

BGI 1 Biodiverse Green Habitat

- i. Gardens in the Plan Area are to be regarded as part of an ecological network.
- ii. The Plan supports development within gardens, which is planned so as to minimise tree, hedge and biodiverse habitat loss, by:
 - a) maximising the amount of soft landscaping, with minimal coverage of the unbuilt area of the land plot by hard landscaping;
 - b) maximising the permeability of the surface, where hard landscaping is needed;
 - c) developing or restoring planting and hedgerow habitats at the edges of plots;
 - d) providing areas of high biodiversity value on the site;
 - e) maintaining rear garden tree corridors and filling gaps in rear garden tree corridors with trees with a high biodiversity value.
- iii. All applications for new building into, around, over or under a garden (including underground development, extensions, outbuildings and swimming pools) must incorporate provision for tree and hedge planting, unless it can be demonstrated to the Council's satisfaction that this is not feasible or appropriate.
- iv. For applications which cause loss of front, rear and / or side garden area (for example, due to an increased building footprint), tree and hedge planting will be required to offset the loss of soft surface. Where replacement tree planting would not be appropriate or feasible, tree planting should be undertaken within the vicinity of the site.

Application

- 29. Due regard is to be given to the importance of the Area's private gardens, as an ecological green network, when assessing applications for new development which consumes gardens and open space.
- 30. The location of all extensions or new development should take account of leaving the unaffected portion of garden connected to other unaffected gardens and open space immediately adjoining the site, to ensure connectivity of these spaces is protected.
- 31. All gardens within the Plan Area lie on bat foraging and commuting routes, and many hedges and trees support nesting birds. A bat and bird survey screening assessment is therefore required to be conducted by a company which is a member of the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management for all planning applications involving the loss of gardens, which provide wildlife foraging and / or commuting habitat.
- 32.

Map BGI 2: Redington Frogmal Private Gardens Forming an Ecological Network



Map BGI 3: Redington Frogna Private Gardens Forming an Ecological Network, by Conservation Area Sub Area



Map BGI 4: Redington Frogna Private Gardens with Trees



Source: Rosie Donnelly based on OS maps

Recommendations

33. Recommendations to create areas with high biodiversity value are:
- structure planting with high biodiversity value to provide nest sites, winter shelter and food for birds
 - wild flower or ornamental meadows with an abundance of flowers to encourage pollinators
 - natural ponds
 - undisturbed wild patches.
34. Hedgerow species should include evergreen and thorny plants for winter shelter and protection from predators. A good hedgerow planting mix is shown at Appendix BGI 3
35. It is recommended that fences and garden walls should incorporate small gaps to ensure connectivity between gardens for small mammals such as hedgehogs.
36. Where practicable, ponds should be re-instated and underground rivers “daylighted” (i.e. uncovered and exposed). Reference may be made to the Arup Red Frog Sub Surface Water Features Mapping Report (latest edition).

BGI 2 FRONT AND SIDE GARDENS / FRONT BOUNDARY TREATMENTS FOR NEW DEVELOPMENTS

Intent

- 37. The garden settings of buildings create a buffer between the buildings and the street and are a central element of the original design of the area. Front gardens afford an attractive transition between the public realm of the street and the private areas of dwellings, in addition to providing space for planting, sitting outside and informal social interaction.
- 38. The traditional front boundary treatment in the Forum area typically comprises retaining walls in combination with hedges (Local Plan Policy T1 10.21). In many streets, gardens have been converted to hard-surfaced car parks and boundary treatments removed, causing the street scenes to become degraded.
- 39. Soil types are predominantly clay, and the removal of front gardens exacerbates water run-off and flood risk. Camden’s Local Plan Policy T1 10.20 notes that,

“Areas of paving can also increase the volume and speed of water run-off. This adds to the pressure upon the drainage system and increases the risk of flooding from surface water. Developments seeking to replace garden areas and/or boundary treatments for the purposes of providing on-site parking will therefore be resisted.”
- 40. Front gardens additionally provide important public amenity value, their trees and hedges contributing positively to the streetscape and to biodiversity.
- 41. Side gardens. The Area is characterised by significant and well-preserved gaps between buildings, providing views through to rear gardens. These gaps contribute greatly to the verdant streetscapes (as noted in Camden’s Local Plan Policy A2 6.38). However, despite the apparent support for maintaining such gaps, gaps have continued to be closed. and it is therefore the intention of this policy to strengthen the protection afforded to their preservation.
- 42. BGI 2 seeks to re-green streets, to preserve traditional front boundary treatments and to enhance the street scenes.

Photo BGI 2: Front Garden Hedge and Retaining Wall, Bracknell Gardens, Sub Area 6



Photo BGI 3: Front Garden Hedge and Retaining Wall, Platts Lane, Sub Area Two



BGI 2 Front and Side Gardens / Front Boundary Treatments

- i. Camden Planning Guidance applies to front boundaries and must be enforced for all types of development (including refurbishment and reconfiguration, extension and infill).
- ii. The Plan encourages front gardens which provide for:
 - a) re-instatement of front gardens, hedges and original boundary treatments, where these have been lost through previous developments and alterations;
 - b) removal of space allocated for vehicle parking in front and side gardens, or reduction to no more than 50% of any front garden;
 - c) minimal hard surface. But, where hard surfaces are desired, the materials should be permeable.
- iii. Where front gardens have been lost to car parking, applications involving developments causing any loss of garden (front side and / or rear) space will be strongly encouraged to allocate at least 50% of the plot frontage to soft-surfaced front garden, with a traditional boundary treatment and hedge.

Application

- 43. Applications should demonstrate their compliance with this policy through detailed design plans for planting, hedging and soft surfaces for front gardens and materials for boundary treatments.
- 44. Where side extensions would not result in the loss of an existing gap between buildings, they should be single storey and set back from the front building line.

Recommendation


- 45. Planting and hedges should be used to screen parking, refuse, recycling bins and other facilities, in order not to negatively affect the streetscape.

BGI 3 TREE PLANTING AND PRESERVATION

Intent

- 46. The Redington Frogmal Area was developed as a verdant Victorian and Edwardian suburb, whose character is strongly determined by the presence of many trees lining pavements and adorning the front and back gardens of private properties.
- 47. The prominence given to tree planting is apparent from the 1866 Ordnance Survey Map. Forum members have surveyed the remaining veteran trees and trees with developing veteran features and have identified more than 30 remaining within the Plan area. Their co-ordinates are provided in BGI Appendix 5. Veteran trees provide a unique, high-value contribution to the area’s biodiversity, as well as to its character and heritage. It is particularly important to protect these veteran trees from avoidable felling: it would take many decades before trees planted to replace them could provide a similar contribution.
- 48. Trees in front gardens contribute greatly to the setting of streets and buildings, while trees in rear gardens are often visible from the street through gaps between buildings.
- 49. The aesthetic value of trees substantially enhances the townscape, while shade and shelter provided by their canopies helps to cool urban areas in summer and prevent heat loss, by buffering the impact of cooling winds, in winter.
- 50. Trees contribute to ecosystems by providing food and habitat for birds and other animals, and improve air quality by absorbing a range of toxic gases and particulates. Larger, native trees, in particular, provide valuable foraging and potential roosting or nesting sites for a range of bird, bat, insect and lichen species.
- 51. With trees making such a large contribution to the Area’s character and providing multiple benefits to ecological and human health, it is of great importance that the Area’s tree canopy is maintained.

Map BGI 5: Redington Frogmal Tree Canopy Map, 2010



Source: AECOM based on ProximiTree data (2010).


Photo BGI 4 Line of Veteran Hedgerow Trees between Platts Lane and Telegraph Hill, Sub Area Two



52. However, as a result of development, and the conversion of front gardens to car parks, the tree canopy has been considerably eroded, with widespread loss of trees, notably:
- to the east of Finchley Road, at the former King’s College Hampstead Campus in Kidderpore Avenue
 - to the south east of the Forum area, from University College School to Netherhall Gardens
 - the eastern end of Redington Road and in the south west from Arkwright Road up to and including at the Hampstead Gate office development
 - over the underground River Westbourne at University College School, Frognal.
53. The felling of water-loving trees, such as poplar and weeping willow, which were historically planted in close proximity to underground rivers, has caused basements to flood and has created many soggy gardens⁶⁵, even requiring the installation of pumps (e.g. University College School and 262 Finchley Road). The location of soggy gardens [60](#) and underground rivers has been researched and mapped by Arup in association with the Neighbourhood Forum (Arup Red Frog Sub-Surface Water Features Mapping Report, April 2016).
54. Between 2010 and mid-June 2016, Camden granted consents for 307 trees to be felled, just for basement excavation applications alone, within the Redington Frognal Conservation Area. Replanting efforts have fallen greatly behind. These incremental losses of trees have had a major cumulative negative effect on the verdant character of the area and the tree canopy is now reduced compared to the 2010 ProximiTree data (in maps BGI 4 and BGI 5).

26. These are gardens where wet ground conditions are observed, at least on a seasonal basis.

Map BGI 6 Consented Tree Fellings in the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area, 2010 to June 2016



Source: Socrata Open Data API

- 55. Policy BGI 3 seeks to close gaps in the tree canopy and to provide a healthy mix of tree species to support health and well-being, to benefit biodiversity and to maintain and improve the Area's heritage character.

BGI 3 Tree Planting and Preservation

- i. Development will protect trees that are important to biodiversity, rear garden tree corridors, local character and / or the Conservation Area.
 - a) Development proposals, where appropriate, should include measures to protect and assist in the restoration of tree lines and biodiversity corridors, reducing the incidents of breaks and the length of gaps. Trees selected for planting should have a high value to insects and lichens, as in the list at Appendix BGI 4, arranged in order of biodiversity value;
 - b) Any development that proposes removal of a tree should provide justification for the proposed tree removal(s) and details of replacement tree planting to mitigate against any loss of canopy cover, included within the application. Any trees removed to facilitate development shall be replaced by two or more trees with a high value to insects and lichens, from the list at Appendix BGI 4, arranged in order of biodiversity value;
 - c) notifications of intent to fell are to be accompanied by plans for replacement planting of trees with a high value to insects and lichens, from the list at Appendix BGI IV, arranged in order of biodiversity value. If Camden's tree officers should deem none of these to be appropriate, the felled tree should be replaced with the species removed
- ii. Planning proposals are required to ensure that veteran trees are fully and strictly protected in accordance with Natural England's "Standing Advice for Ancient Woodland and Veteran Trees". The required minimum buffer zone for veteran trees is 15 times larger than the diameter of a veteran tree or 5m from the edge of its canopy, if that's greater. Deadwood should be retained where possible. Canopy reduction to facilitate construction will only be acceptable in exceptional circumstances.
- iii. Tree root protection zones required for non-veteran trees are to be in accordance with British Standard BS5837: 2012.

Note: a tree corridor is a line of trees along or close to the boundary of one or more adjoining gardens.

Application

- 56. Trees should be retained and incorporated as part of any development. Where felling is required, eg on grounds of safety, or because it is an invasive species, one or more trees are to be planted in replacement.
- 57. Tree planting is expected at all developments sites, with species selected on the basis of the trees’ high biodiversity value. Where space permits, they should be trees with a large canopy.
- 58. A list of trees with high biodiversity value⁶², in terms of the number of insect and lichen species supported, is provided in Appendix BGI 4. A majority of the trees selected should be capable of living to at least 100 years.
- 59. For soggy garden sites within 30 metres of an underground stream, as indicated in the Arup Red Frog Sub-Surface Water Features Mapping Report, April 2016, it is advisable to plant trees with a high water demand, such as willow, poplar, elm and oak.
- 60. Through careful planting of tree and shrub species, it is envisaged that the Area will regain some of the wildlife species, which have been lost and or become depleted, and that Redington Froggnal gardens will once more become home to sparrows, starlings, thrushes and butterflies.

Recommendation

- 61. Camden Council is requested to place Tree Preservation Orders on:
 - all veteran trees in the Plan area;
 - the mature trees at the northern end of the Hampstead Manor, Kidderpore Avenue site. It can be expected that occupiers of the sunken pavilion houses (currently under construction) will find the accommodation to be lacking in natural light and will seek the removal of the established mature trees. These trees provide an important screen between the site and St. Luke’s Vicarage and are also used by bats for foraging and commuting.

- 27. Hirons, Andrew D and Percival Glynn C “ Fundamentals of tree establishment: a review” [https://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/Trees-people-and-the-buit-environment_Hirons.pdf/\\$FILE/Trees-people-and-the-buit-environment_Hirons.pdf](https://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/Trees-people-and-the-buit-environment_Hirons.pdf/$FILE/Trees-people-and-the-buit-environment_Hirons.pdf)
- 28. Alexander, A., Butler, J. and Green, T. (2006) ‘The value of different tree and shrub species to wildlife’. British Wildlife 18(1): 18 – 28 http://www.countrysideinfo.co.uk/woodland_manage/tree_value.htm

BGI 4 LIGHT POLLUTION

Intent

- 62. Insect-eating bats have long been part of the Area’s wildlife. Common pipistrelle, soprano pipistrelle and serotine bats commute, forage and roost throughout the Area, wherever there are mature trees and associated shrubbery.
- 63. Mature trees and shrubbery provide roosting, shelter and safety and attract a wide variety of insects which bats prey on (such as midges, mosquitoes, moths and gnats).
- 64. The presence of bats throughout the Area is confirmed by a number of bat surveys conducted by The Ecology Network⁶⁸, The Ecology Consultancy^{69, 70}, Furesfen⁷¹ and John Cromar’s arboricultural report⁷². Rear garden tree corridors are vital to their survival.
- 65. Artificial night lighting has been shown to have an adverse effect on wildlife, particularly on nocturnal species, such as bats, moths and owls, while the impact on song birds and robins of night-time singing and the continual lack of sleep is likely to be detrimental to the birds’ survival⁷³.
- 66. As well as disrupting the biological rhythms of wildlife, badly-aimed artificial lights are a nuisance to residents in neighbouring properties, by forcing levels of artificial lighting upon the residents that they may not desire and are unable to control.
- 67. Policy BGI 4 seeks to limit harm to the environment and nuisance to residents by reducing the level of light pollution, notably in rear gardens.

BGI 4 Light Pollution

- i. It is desirable to minimise light pollution, particularly in rear gardens and near trees and hedges. Developers are encouraged to take steps taken to minimise light pollution from within the building and from any external lighting.
- ii. The Plan encourages all development to support the Plan’s aims to foster biodiversity and minimise light pollution, through:
 - a) the avoidance of white light, or light which is rich in blue (short) wavelengths, in the form of white light-emitting diodes (LEDs), known for its harmful impact on human health and on wildlife. Cool white LEDs are particularly strong light polluters, due to their strong blue emission peak;
 - b) the avoidance of large expanses of glazing at the rear of properties, such as conservatories at first-floor level and above and glazed summerhouses sited in rear garden tree corridors;
 - c) ensuring that lights are correctly adjusted to light only the intended area, avoiding stray artificial light on neighbouring properties or green spaces;
 - d) avoiding (intentionally or unintentionally) directing artificial lights at trees, hedges and areas of high potential for biodiversity;
 - e) ensuring that lights, including security lights, are not brighter and are not left on for longer than needed for their purpose;
 - f) avoiding illuminated advertising: except for shop signs in the Finchley Road town centre, which may be appropriate.

- 29. Ecology Network Bat Activity Survey, September 2016
- 30. Ecology Consultancy Kidderpore Avenue, Hampstead Bat Surveys, December 2012
- 31. Ecology Consultancy Kidderpore Avenue King’s College Halls, Bat Presence or Likely Absence Surveys, September 2014
- 32. Furesfen 25B Frogna Bat Survey, July 2012
- 33. Arboricultural report for 5 Templewood Avenue, 24.1.17
- 34. Pollard A. (2009) Visual constraints on bird behaviour. University of Cardiff

Application

- 68. For security lighting a low-power light emitting 600-900 lumens can offer a suitable solution. Security lights should be adjusted to pick up only movement of people in the area intended, not beyond, and should be fitted with a solar time clock to ensure it is not activated during times of daylight^{74, 75}.
- 69. Solar-powered lights emit a dim light that is less likely to harm wildlife.

Photo BGI 5: Motion Sensor Lighting, Illuminating Specific Areas Only When Needed



35. Letter from (redacted) of The Ecology Consultancy to (redacted), Principal Planning Officer, London Borough of Camden
36. International working group, "Declaration on the use of blue-rich white light sources for night time lighting".
http://www.iac.es/adjuntos/otpc/International_Declaration_on_Blue-Rich_Light.pdf

BGI 5 LOCAL GREEN SPACES

Intent

- 70. The Plan Area does not meet Natural England Accessible Green Space Standards (ANGSt) and the green pace deficit is forecast to intensify (see Appendix BGI 2)⁷⁶.
- 71. With no new open space likely to become available, it is essential to protect those that already exist (London Plan Policy G4). By designating land as a Local Green Space, local communities will be able to protect these spaces from future development, other than in “very special circumstances”.
- 72. The following areas have been identified as Local Green Space and fulfil the criteria outlined in NPPF (99) and (100).The table below evaluates the sites to be designated against these criteria.

Para 100 NPPF LGS tests	Is it in close proximity to the RF NP Area?	Does it hold special value?	Does it have local character?
LGS 1 West Heath Lawn Tennis Club	Yes	Provides the opportunity for outdoors exercise, a social meeting place, with club tournaments, suppers, picnics etc.	In use since 1912. The green, wooded site is used by local residents and from further afield. Important for older residents and children.
LGS 2 Kidderpore Reservoir	Yes	Unbuilt open space above a feat of Victorian engineering	One of London's oldest reservoirs, constructed in 1867, it supplies drinking water to 11,000 homes in north west London
LGS 3 Tennis courts to rear of Windsor Court, Platts Lane	Yes	Enjoyed by Windsor Court residents.	Part of the Kidderpore Reservoir.
LGS 4 SINC CaL07 Frognal Lane Gardens, bounded by Langland Gardens, Finchley Road and Frognal Lane.	Yes	The garden is a valuable amenity for residents in a green space deprived area. It is also used by many birds and invertebrates.	First notified as a SINC in 1993. Contains a pond and many mature trees, beneath which grow a good selection of wild flowers.
LGS 5 Embankment between Platt's Lane and Telegraph Hill;	Yes	Visual amenity for residents and passers by. The site acts as an important green corridor linking to Hampstead Heath (West Heath). It provides a screen from traffic and its trees filter particulates.	Originally part of West Heath, with several veteran oaks and oaks with developing veteran features.
LGS 6 The entire lawned and planted area of Studholme Court	Yes	Valued by residents for relaxation, socialising, exercising, picnics, children's birthday parties, nature and biodiversity.	The musical comedy actress and picture postcard beauty, Marie Studholme, lived and died at Croft Way.
LGS 7 Rear Garden at Camden Arts Centre, Arkwright Road, NW3 6DG	Yes	Used by vistors as a quiet retreat and a lush green space in which to picnic, read and observe the wildlife.	This has been a public space since 1897, when the premises opened as the Central Public Library.
LGS 8 Copse to the tear of 17 Frognal, NW3 6AR	Yes	Attractive visual amenity, preserved trees and biodiverse commuting, foraging and nesting habitat.	The last remaining woodland behind Finchley Road and critical to the to the Area's verdant townscape and character.
LGS 9 SINC CaB1109, Kidderpore Avenue	Yes	Female students used the grounds for relaxation and study, away from the public gaze. It was notified as a SINC in 2003. A pond is being added and SINC status is to be retained for this important bat-foraging and commuting area. The development site was being marketed in 2017 for its biodiversity and contribution to local nature conservation.	From 1882, the grounds formed part of Westfield College, dedicated to women's education. The campus became co-educational in 1964.

⁷⁶ Letter from Ashfords LLP to the London Borough of Camden, dated 24 August 2016


- 73. Public green space within the study area is very limited. The West Heath Lawn Tennis Club (WHLTC), together with a large covered water reservoir, constitute the most substantial area of open space.

76 Letter from Ashfords LLP to the London Borough of Camden, dated 24 August 2016

LGS 1: West Heath Lawn Tennis Club.

- 74. WHLTC has operated on the Croft Way site since at least 1912. It offers low-cost memberships and provides the opportunity for outdoors exercise for residents in the area and from elsewhere. WHLTC also provides a social meeting place, with club tournaments, suppers, picnics etc.
- 75. It is acknowledged by the freeholder of the site that its use meets the definition of an Asset of Community Value. However, an attempt by the Forum to designate the site as an Asset of Community Value failed ³⁷ because the land is “operational land” as defined in section 263 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
- 76. The lease term granted on 1 October 2001 to the West Heath Lawn Tennis Club Ltd by Thames Water Utilities Ltd is due to expire on 30 September 2022. The Plan therefore wishes to designate the site as Local Green Space, notwithstanding its existing designation by Camden as private open space.

West Heath Lawn Tennis Club to be Designated Local Green Space



³⁷.Letter from Ashfords LLP to the London Borough of Camden, dated 24 August 2016

76 Letter from Ashfords LLP to the London Borough of Camden, dated 24 August 2016

LGS 2: Kidderpore Reservoir

77. This is an important open space in the north west of the Plan Area. In the event that the reservoir, and the land on which it is sited, becomes surplus to water supply operations (as with the nearby Gondar Gardens reservoir) the Plan seeks to preserve the site for the community, for potential future use as a community-designated nature reserve and to achieve this Vision and Objectives supported aim. Such a use will also help to meet the Natural England Accessible Green Space Standards (ANGSt) summarised in Appendix BGI 2. It therefore proposed that the land on which the reservoir is sited be designated as Local Green Space.

Kidderpore Reservoir to be Designated Local Green Space



Victorian Engineering Beneath Covered Water Reservoir



LGS 3: Tennis Courts to the Rear of Windsor Court, Platts Lane

- 78. The tennis courts behind Windsor Court on the south side of Platts Lane and to the north west of Kidderpore Reservoir, similarly do not enjoy any protection. Currently, they are enjoyed by residents of Windsor Court, on a lease from Thames Water.
- 79. The Plan additionally seeks to designate this site as Local Green Space.

Windsor Court Tennis Courts to be Designated Local Green Space



LGS 4: SINC CaL07: Frogmal Lane Gardens

- 80. This is a small private communal garden bounded by Langland Gardens, Finchley Road and Frogmal Lane, owned by Frogmal Lane Gardens Ltd. The garden incorporates an attractive pond (temporarily filled in), and has many mature trees, beneath which grow a good selection of wild flowers. Trees include large London planes ash, oak, Norway maple, holm oak and silver birch. Ornamental shrub beds around the perimeter are planted with both native and exotic species, which include hazel, yew, cherry plum, lilac, spotted laurel and oleaster.
- 81. The western end of the site contains numerous trees and shrubs/scrub and is less intensively managed. It, thus, has a wilder appearance with a greater number of tall herb species including meadow buttercup, wood dock, teasel, herb-Robert, red campion, greater periwinkle and enchanter’s nightshade.
- 82. The site is used by numerous birds including blue tit, jay, blackbird, magpie, robin, thrush, starling and great-spotted woodpecker. Nest boxes have been put up and the site management is focused on creating a more invertebrate-friendly habitat.

CaL07 SINC Comprised of Area of Communal Garden Bounded by Froggnal Lane, Langland Gardens and Finchley Road: to be Designated Local Green Space



LGS 5: Embankment between Platt’s Lane and Telegraph Hill

- 83. The embankment between Platt’s Lane and Telegraph Hill was originally part of West Heath⁷¹ and is also to be protected. Here there are several veteran oaks and oaks with developing veteran features, acting as an important green corridor linking to Hampstead Heath (West Heath). It contributes to the biodiversity of the area, fulfils criteria 99 and 100 of the NPPF outlined above and is to be designated as Local Green Space. Telegraph Hill is additionally of historic importance, having marked the Anglo-Saxon boundary between Hampstead and Hendon. It was also the site of an optical telegraph station constructed by the Admiralty during the Napoleonic wars as a means of communication with the fleet where the beacon was lit to carry the tidings of the Spanish Armada ⁷²

Embankment Between Platt’s Lane and Telegraph Hill: to be Designated Local Green Space



72
Hendon and Di
<http://>
2014
47

38. <http://www.hampsteadheath.net/west-heath-details.html>

LGS 6: Open space at Studholme Court, Finchley Road, NW3 7AE.

84. Studholme Court was constructed within an orchard on part of the garden of Marie Studholme’s former Hampstead home⁷⁸. The site retains many trees, including fruit trees. The verdant setting, its trees and green space are highly valued by Studholme Court residents for their health and wellbeing⁷⁹. It is noted that Studholme Court is situated within a green space deficient area, yet consideration has already been given to developing the parcel of garden space fronting onto Finchley Road. To ensure the protection of the green space and verdant setting, the Plan seeks to designate the entire lawned and planted land as Local Green Space.

Open Space at Studholme Court, Finchley Road. NW3 7AE: to be Designated Local Green Space



LGS 7: Rear garden at Camden Arts Centre, Arkwright Road, NW3 6DG.

85. This much-valued green oasis, with many mature trees and natural landscaping, offers visitors a quiet retreat and a lush green space in which to picnic, read and observe the wildlife. It is to be preserved as unbuilt, natural green space through designation as Local Green Space.

Rear Garden at Camden Arts Centre, Finchley Road. NW3 6GD: to be Designated Local Green Space




LGS 8: Copse to rear of 17 Frognal NW3 6AR

86. This site⁸⁰ is approximately 3,900 sq. ft. and the last remaining area of woodland behind Finchley Road within the Plan area. It lies in close proximity to the underground river, which flows from Maresfield Gardens to Finchley Road.


39. Marie Studholme [https://www.revolvy.com/main/index.php?s=Marie Studholme](https://www.revolvy.com/main/index.php?s=Marie+Studholme)
 40. Email (redacted), Chair of Studholme Court, Tenants and Residents Association, 3 July 2017.
 41. Land Registry Title NGL633051

- 87. The site has no direct access from the street (albeit there is a pedestrian right of way across neighbouring land to Froggnal) and seven main trees are subject to Tree Protection Orders⁸¹. The trees and other growth provide a green outlook to residents in apartments on Froggnal and to office users in Hampstead Gate and Meridien House. It is also valued for its peaceful backdrop to nearby gardens and for shielding views of buildings on Finchley Road. The trees additionally help to filter noise and air pollution from Finchley Road, thus increasing the sense of tranquillity in Froggnal gardens.
- 88. The copse is used by bats for foraging and commuting, as documented by Fursefen⁸² and is home to nesting birds, black squirrels and other wildlife.

Approximate Site Plan



H.M. LAND REGISTRY.		TITLE NUMBER	
		NGL633051	
ORDNANCE SURVEY PLAN REFERENCE	COUNTY	SHEET	NATIONAL GRID
	GREATER LONDON		TQ 2685
			SECTION
			Z
Scale: 1/1250		© Crown Copyright 1980	



42.

TPO dated 10.7.08

43.

Fursesfen 25B Froggnal Bat Survey, July 2012. Studholme Court, Tenants and Residents Association, 3 July 2017.

View of Copse from Meridien House Car Park



Tree Preservation Orders in Place



Recommendation

- 89. Ivy, which was cleared from trees, along with ground cover and other wildlife habitat, during spring 2018, should be replanted, in order to reinstate the site's high biodiversity value.

LGS 9: Borough Grade II Site of Interest for Nature Conservation CaB1109 in Kidderpore Gardens.

- 90. In 2016 the sale of this site was completed, following the grant of planning consent to use the site for housing development. This Borough Grade II Site of Interest for Nature Conservation (SINC) has been highly valued by students at King’s College, who enjoyed relaxing there and the green and natural outlook provided.
- 91. In its marketing, the new site owner states that, “we are thrilled to be working in Hampstead, to be conserving the rich heritage of the historical Kidderpore Avenue site and to be overseeing a programme of landscaping and biodiversity across the site that will contribute greatly to local nature conservation”⁸³. This marketing theme suggests that Mount Anvil expects the gardens to be highly valued by residents.
- 92. In the s.106 agreement, it is stipulated that the SINC is to be “properly maintained and opened for controlled public access” ((paragraph 24.1 g) and that the Open Space Management Plan will include “measures governing the use of the Open Space by the public and to secure public access to the Open Space from dawn to dusk subject to Clause 21.4.2 or as otherwise agreed by the Council in writing” (paragraph 21.2.1).
- 93. It is likely, therefore, that the SINC will be similarly valued by non-residents, including residents at the Barratt site opposite, where green space is more limited. The Plan therefore wishes to designate the gardens as Local Green Space. The natural pond, planned for the north-western corner of the SINC (adjacent to the Vicarage garden), is expressly included within this designation, on account of its high value to biodiversity.

Borough Grade II SINC CaB1109, Kidderpore Avenue (shaded green): to be Designated Local Green Space



Source: Camden planning consent 2015/3936/P, section 106 agreement

44. <http://hampsteadproject.mountanvil.com/>

BGI 5 Local Green Spaces

The Plan designates the following areas as Local Green Spaces.

Development on these sites will be permitted only in very special circumstances.

LGS 1 West Heath Lawn Tennis Club

LGS 2 Kidderpore Reservoir

LGS 3 Tennis courts to rear of Windsor Court, Platts Lane

LGS 4 SINC CaL07, the communal garden bounded by Languard Gardens, Finchley Road and Frognal Lane

LGS 5 Embankment between Platt's Lane and Telegraph Hill;

LGS 6 The entire lawned and planted area of Studholme Court

LGS 7 Rear garden at Camden Arts Centre, Arkwright Road, NW3 6DG

LGS 8 Roundabout at the junction of Heath Drive and Bracknell Way

LGS 6 Open Space at Studholme Court

LGS 7 Rear Garden at Camden Arts Centre, Arkwright Road, NW3 6DG

LGS 8 Copse to the rear of 17 Frognal, NW3 6AR

LGS 9 SINC CaB1109, Kidderpore Avenue


THIS PAGE NOT LINKED

BGI 6 BASEMENTS

Intent

- 94. Paragraph 170 of the NPPF requires that development contributes to and enhances the natural and local environment, including, “d) minimising impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity, including by establishing coherent ecological networks that are more resilient to current and future pressures .”
- 95. There is an increasing trend for domestic basement extensions in the Plan Area. Although basement extensions can provide an opportunity to add habitable space to homes, in the neighbourhood plan Area, they are frequently utilised to provide basement car parking and car lifts⁸⁴. This is, arguably, at variance with Camden’s Local Plan Policy T2 for car-free new development. In a test case of the application of Camden’s new car-free development policy⁸⁵. Camden officers successfully argued that the requirement for car-free development applies only to cases involving demolition, paving the way for a development of two flats with eight off-street parking spaces (including four spaces within a new basement) and a car lift.
- 96. The use of basement space for car parking and / or car lifts additionally causes harm to the amenity of neighbours. The noise and vibration impacts resulting from such a use is contrary to Local Plan Policies A1 paragraphs 6.19 and 6.20 and A4 paragraphs 6.89 and 6.91.
- 97. Generous land plots with well-vegetated gardens are intrinsic to the setting of the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area. However, basement development continues to further erode front, side and rear gardens, with attendant losses to the soil, or garden substrate, and the vegetation. Soil and garden substrate play a crucial role in supporting and providing a number of ecosystem functions, including the provision of habitat (shelter and forage) for a range of wildlife.
- 98. Between 1 January 2010 and 28 October 2017, data from Camden’s Socrata website indicate that consents were granted for 123 basement excavations in the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area.

Map BGI 7 Consented Basement Excavations in the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area, 1.1.10 to 28.10.17



Source: Socrata Open Data API

- 45. Examples are the Mount Anvil, Barratt and Westfield developments in Kidderpore Avenue, 5 Templewood Avenue (2017/1229/P) and 28 Redington Road (2016/2997/P).
- 46. 5 Templewood Avenue: 2017/1229/P

Biodiverse-Free Garden with Light Pollution Above Basement at 38 Redington Road

House for sale in Redington Road, London, NW3 - UK

guide price £9,950,000

£9,950,000




- 99. In order to excavate the basement car park at Hampstead Manor (the former Westfield College site), some 38 mature trees were felled. Planned replacement planting is comprised of shrubs and a lawned area, the soil depth of 1 metre being insufficient to sustain large-canopy species.

Existing Large Canopy Mature Trees in Deep Soil at Hampstead Manor



Planned Planting Above Basement Car Park at Hampstead Manor



- 100. During excavation works it is typical for almost the entire garden area, minus the perimeter buffer, to be dug up and removed offsite. This has been observed at the Barratt and Mount Anvil construction sites (the latter designated SINC CaB1109), both in Kidderpore Gardens (2014 to 2018), where almost all the vegetation (except on the far perimeters) has been removed, and the sites left bare for the duration of the works.

101. The main consequence in the short-term (during construction) will be the removal of habitat for micro-organisms, invertebrates, birds, reptiles, amphibians and small mammals. As some animals are territorial, this will create knock-on effects on local populations. If the works occur during breeding or nesting season, the removal of the nesting sites could result in a lost generation and/or severe stress on the breeding animal if they have to reproduce again in the same season. Such disturbance could also result in the breeding pairs abandoning the site never to return. Likewise, in the winter season, the works could disturb hibernating animals (this includes overwintering insects as well as small mammals). The energetic costs of being roused from hibernation are often lethal for the animals, as they generally cannot replenish their reserves in the winter months.
102. The removal and relocation of the soil also has a more permanent impact on its micro-organisms and invertebrate populations. If the soil is taken away and redistributed to other sites, potentially in other regions, this will impact on the natural distribution of those animals. For example, construction work on the south side of Kidderpore Avenue saw the rehoming of bats in Royston⁸⁷.

Soil Depth, Volume and the Potential for Tree Planting

103. The importance of retaining a soil depth, which is sufficient to support large canopied species to survive to reach maturity (or their full potential) is critical not only to biodiversity, but also to retaining the character and setting of the conservation area, and to facilitate a healthy age structure.
104. Dr. Andrew D. Hirons, Senior Lecturer in Arboriculture at University Centre Myerscough advises that tree roots are often found beyond a depth of 1 metre⁸⁸. Soil volume is key to achieving a good quality rooting environment and species such as oak can have a water requirement of 100 litres per day. In the book “Applied Tree Biology”,⁸⁹ Dr. Hirons and Dr. Peter A. Thomas note that,
“to reach their potential for shade, rainfall management, noise reduction and carbon sequestration, trees must have sufficient water available for uptake within the rooted soil volume for growth and for transpiration.”
This necessitates a soil volume of 10 cubic metres or more and, on average 20 to 30 cubic metres of soil per tree, with an open surface to enable oxygenation of the soil⁹⁰.
105. Similarly, studies such as the Kew Wind Blown Tree Survey by Gasson and Cutler (1990), show that 56% of trees surveyed had a root plate depth of below 1 metre, while an Arboricultural Advisory and Information Service research note⁹¹ states that “All trees can develop a deep root system (2-3 metres deep) if soil conditions allow”. However, this ability will be influenced by the capacity of different species to tolerate varying soil conditions.
106. Soil volumes and depths are set out by the London Borough of Islington in its Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) on Basement Development, in paragraphs 7.4.14 and 7.4.15. This provides for the following soil volumes according to tree size (as defined by The Benefits of Large Species Trees in Urban Landscapes a Costing, Design and Management Guide, CIRIA, 2012:
- small trees (ultimate height of 5 - 8m): a minimum of 10 m³
 - medium trees (ultimate height of 8 -15m): a minimum of 20 m³
 - large trees (ultimate height of 15m+): a minimum of 30 m³
107. Soil rooting depths are stipulated as follows:
- small trees: a minimum of 1 metre
 - medium trees: 2 metres
 - large trees: 3 metres, in order to allow for adequate anchorage and hydrology during weather events (heavy rain/ water logging, drought conditions/ soil moisture deficit) to support tree health.

87 Natural England reference TRM-2014-7164 B

88 Applied Tree Biology by Andrew D. Hirons and Peter A. Thomas, pub. WILEY Blackwell, 2018

89 Soil depth telephone conversations, 26.2.18 and 6.3.18

90 Tree Root Systems by Martin Dobson, 1995 <https://www.trees.org.uk/Trees.org.uk/files/61/6181f2b7-e35d-4075-832f-5e230d16aa9e.pdf>

91 Basements Publication Planning Policy, Partial Review of the Core Strategy, February 2014 [https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/pdf/Basements Publication Second v5.pdf](https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/pdf/Basements%20Publication%20Second%20v5.pdf)

108. The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea notes, in its “Trees and Basements” review (February 2015):
- “The physical root barriers, such as boundary walls, building foundations etc, typically found in this borough may restrict certain species from utilising soil beyond these constraints, which could greatly affect the health and vigour of many trees” and*
- “when you consider the constraints on a newly planted tree above a basement in a walled garden with potentially limited soil volume available the scenario appears not too dissimilar to the many moribund town centre trees in planters. Providing a suitable growing medium for all species of trees may not always be possible above a basement one metre below ground level, especially where further rooting constraints exist beyond the basement footprint”.*
109. Flooding due to lack of adequate drainage will greatly impact vegetation growth.
110. Research by the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea⁹² found that, “All applications for basements are likely to affect trees either on-site or nearby”. Supplementary planning guidance has been drawn up in an attempt to protect trees from development⁹³.

Basement Size

111. The significance and value of private gardens to biodiversity and the area’s character is formally accepted by the London Borough of Islington. In its Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) on Basement Development, adopted January 2016⁹⁴, paragraph 7.1.4 states that,
- “Open space including private residential gardens contribute greatly to Islington’s character as well as providing vital green infrastructure functions for the borough such as reducing surface water flood risk, providing important habitat and ecological connectivity, and contributing to the borough’s biodiversity, urban cooling and adaptation to climate change. Private open spaces make up a significant proportion of Islington’s open space. The piecemeal loss of these spaces due to incremental development such as large outbuildings and extensive basements within gardens has serious potential implications for the borough.”*
112. For residential basement extensions, paragraphs 7.1.7 to 7.1.10 note that,
- “For extensions to existing residential basements or the creation of new basement areas underneath and/or within the curtilage of an existing dwelling, the majority of original open area of the site should be retained, and the total area of basement beyond the original footprint must be subordinate to the original footprint of the dwelling.”*
- and
- “The maximum extent will be measured separately for each garden/unbuilt upon area within the site, e.g. front, back or side.”*
- “The remaining garden area/unbuilt upon area of the site should be designed to maximise garden and amenity functionality, providing useable amenity space and supporting biodiversity enhancement, to protect the garden setting and contribute to local character. In considering the design of a basement that extends into a garden/unbuilt upon area, a proposal should avoid fragmentation of spaces to deliver cohesive, useable and functional private open space.”*
- The location of all basements should take account of leaving the unaffected portion of garden connected to other unaffected gardens and open space immediately adjoining the site, to ensure connectivity of these spaces is protected.” “... margins should be left between basements and adjoining sites. This allows for space to enable natural surface water drainage and lateral ground water movement to occur between sites.”*

92 Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea Adopted Trees and Development SPD
<https://planningconsult.rbkc.gov.uk/consult.ti/trees.2009/viewCompoundDoc?partid=1322100>

93 London Borough of Islington Supplementary Planning Document Basement Development, January 2016
<https://www.islington.gov.uk/~media/sharepoint-lists/public-records/planningandbuildingcontrol/publicity/publicconsultation/20152016/20160122basementdevelopmentspdadoptedjan2016.pdf>

94 Life Cycle Carbon Analysis of Extensions and Subterranean Development in RBKC, Eight Associates, February 2014
https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/pdf/E642_RBKC_FinalReport_1402-10RM_lores.pdf

113. Research by the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea also found the size of the basement to be directly correlated with the level of nuisance and disturbance to neighbours. Chapter 34 of the Local Plan, para 34.3.53 notes that,
- “Restricting the size of basements will help protect residential living conditions in the Borough by limiting the extent and duration of construction and by reducing the volume of soil to be excavated. Large basement construction in residential neighbourhoods can affect the health and well-being of residents with issues such as noise, vibration and heavy vehicles experienced for a prolonged period. A limit on the size of basements will reduce this impact.”*
114. Carbon emissions are another reason for size restrictions, noted in para. 34.3.54.
- “The carbon emissions of basements are greater than those of above ground developments per square metre over the building’s life cycle^{95,96} ... Limiting the size of basements will therefore limit carbon emissions and contribute to mitigating climate change.”*
- Para 34.3.55 notes that [basements],
- “can also introduce a degree of artificiality into the garden area and restrict the range of planting⁹⁷. and “will enable natural landscape and character to be maintained, give flexibility in future planting (including major trees), support biodiversity⁹⁸ and allow water to drain through to the ‘Upper Aquifer’⁹⁹. This policy takes into account the London Plan¹⁰⁰ and the Mayor of London’s Housing SPG 9¹⁰¹ both of which emphasise the important role of gardens. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)¹⁰² also supports local policies to resist inappropriate development of residential gardens and excludes private gardens from the definition of previously developed land.”*
115. In para. 34.3.59 it is acknowledged that,
- “Trees make a much-valued contribution to the character, and bring biodiversity and public health benefits. Works to, and in the vicinity of, trees, need to be planned and executed with very close attention to detail. All applications for basements likely to affect trees¹⁰³ either on-site or nearby must be accompanied by a full tree survey and tree protection proposal for the construction phase. Core Strategy Policy CR6 Trees and Landscape will also apply.”*
116. The BGI 6 policy seeks to ensure that full consideration is given to the potential biodiversity and green infrastructure impacts of basement developments at application stage. This policy applies to all new basement development.

95 Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) is a methodology for assessing the environmental performance of a product (i.e. building) over its life cycle.

96 Trees and Basements, RBKC, February 2014 (BAS 35) <https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/pdf/Trees%20and%20basements.pdf> ; and Basements Visual Evidence, RBKC, February 2014 (BAS 33) https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/sites/default/files/atoms/files/BAS_33_Basements_Visual_Evidence_Feb_2014.pdf and Basements Visual Evidence - External Manifestations, Feb 2014 (BAS 34) https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/pdf/Bsmt_Visual_evidence_external_man.pdf

97 Impact of Basement Development on Biodiversity, RBKC, February 2014 (BAS 36) https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/pdf/BiodiversityBasementPaper_final.pdf

98 Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea Residential Basement Study Report, Alan Baxter and Associates, March 2013 https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/wamdocs/0954-130_RBKC_Residential%20Basement%20Study%20Report_2013-03_low.pdf

99 Policy 3.5 of the London Plan, Spatial Development Strategy, March 2016 https://www.london.gov.uk/sites/default/files/the_london_plan_malp_final_for_web_0606_0.pdf

100 Paras 1.2.44 and 2.2.12 London Plan Housing SPG, March 2016 https://www.london.gov.uk/sites/default/files/housing_spg_revised.pdf

101 Para 70 and Appendix 2: Glossary, NPPF, August 2018 <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/annex-2-glossary>

102 Works to trees should be carried out in accordance with BS 5837 2012 (with the exception that tunnelling underneath the root protection area should not be undertaken) and The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea’s Trees and Development SPD: [https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/wamdocs/Trees and Development SPD Adopted April 2010 %282%29.pdf](https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/wamdocs/Trees_and_Development_SPD_Adopted_April_2010_%282%29.pdf)

103 The NPPF defines an original building as “a building as it existed on 1 July 1948 or, if constructed after 1 July 1948, as it was built originally.” <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/annex-2-glossary>

BGI 6 Basements

- i. Proposals for basement development in Redington Froggnal will be required to demonstrate how they will not cause cumulative erosion of garden space, i.e:
- ii. basement development beyond the footprint of the building are to occupy no more than 15% of the original (unextended) building footprint, or no more than 50% of the total area of each land plot, as at 1 July 1948 (or, if constructed after 1 July 1948, as it was built originally), according to whichever measure consumes least rear garden space).
- iii. it must demonstrate that it is able to safeguard the amenity of the garden space by ensuring that it:
 - a) does not encroach upon the root protection areas of nearby trees (as set out in BS 5837 of 2012); and
 - b) maintains a minimum depth of 2 metres of permeable soil above the basement, to sustain large trees to become veterans and to allow planting; and
 - c) does not conceal or divert an underground stream or spring line; and
 - d) does not require the felling of trees with an ecological or amenity value, especially mature trees, forming part of a rear garden tree corridor; and
 - e) does not introduce light pollution into a rear garden tree corridor; and
 - f) does not cause loss of visual amenity to the character of the host building or its setting; and
 - g) that the space is to not to be used for car parking and / or car lift(s).
- iv. For rear boundaries where there are visually important, mature or veteran trees, historic tree lines or trees forming part of a green corridor, a minimum boundary of 12 times the stem diameter is to be provided between the basement perimeter and the trees’ root protection zones.

Application

- 117. The area of original building footprint, and soft surface area, as at 1 July 1948¹⁰⁴ is to be mapped and quantified (in square metres) prior to and after the basement has been constructed.
- 118. Consideration must be given to how the excavation might affect trees at adjoining properties and ensure that trees are not placed at risk. All trees on the development site, and at neighbouring sites, are to be clearly marked and named, and their distance from the perimeter of the proposed basement measured.
- 119. Developers should consult the latest version of the Arup Red Frog Sub-Surface Water Features Mapping Report, to check if the development site is located near to an underground water feature.
- 120. Areas of landscaping proposed should be designed as deep soil landscaping with natural drainage. A minimum soil depth of 2 metres above the basement development will be required in order to maintain well-vegetated gardens, with space available for tree planting. A soil depth of less than 2 metres is likely to increase the risk of the soil profile drying out and prevent large canopy trees planted in future to endure to reach their fill maturity or, ideally, veteran stage.
- 121. Adequate natural drainage is required in order to ensure the soil above a basement does not become waterlogged in times of high rainfall to prevent any adverse effect on planting within this space. The provision of a drainage layer with a minimum depth of 200mm above any basement that extends beyond the footprint of a building should be provided to ensure surface water drainage is adequately dealt with in conjunction with the unbuilt upon areas/drainage margins/areas of natural drainage.
- 122. Developers should follow guidance contained within BS5837: 2012 “Trees in relation to design construction and demolition”.

104 The NPPF defines an original building as “a building as it existed on 1 July 1948 or, if constructed after 1 July 1948, as it was built originally.”

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/annex-2-glossary>

123. The demolition, construction and even the landscaping phase of a development is when damage to trees is most likely to occur. Basement development is to avoid the most common ways of causing damage, which are detailed below:
- bark wounds or broken branches caused by machinery;
 - compaction of the soil from repeated movement of heavy machinery and the storage of materials within the Root Protection Area (RPA) of a tree;
 - root bark damage from site stripping or grading;
 - cutting of roots during excavation for foundations and services;
 - raising or lowering soil levels beneath the crown spread of a tree;
 - raising the water table;
 - the spillage of petrol or diesel, mixing of cement and the storage of toxic materials or machinery within the Root Protection Area of a tree or under the canopy of a tree;
 - burning waste materials close to the tree;
 - removal of branches to create space for scaffolding or access of heavy plant.
124. Margins should be left between basements and adjoining sites in order to allow for space to enable natural surface water drainage and lateral ground water movement to occur between sites.

CF: CULTURAL, LEISURE, TERTIARY EDUCATION AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES

1. The population of the over 60 age group in the Frognal and Fitzjohn’s ward is projected by the GLA to increase by almost one-third (see Appendix CF 2) between 2016 and 2041, thereby placing particular pressure on the Area’s infrastructure. Present evidence from the Forum’s Vision and Objectives Survey supports the focus of Community Facilities policies on tertiary education and culture. The lack of facilities for younger age groups within the Area similarly necessitates an expansion of nursery and primary education. It is therefore important that the Plan includes policies which protect existing, and facilitate the creation of new, community facilities within the Area.
2. The Neighbourhood Plan seeks to help sustain and protect existing cultural, leisure, community and tertiary education facilities, especially those aimed at the elderly and very young. Such facilities are essential both to social cohesion and to the health and wellbeing of residents and people working in the area. The Area lacks many such essential facilities, including an NHS GP practice, a Post Office and a community space. In this context the Plan will seek to assist and promote the establishment of new facilities within the Plan Area.
3. Community facilities are defined as those facilities which help meet the varied needs of the residents of the Plan Area for tertiary education, social, cultural and sporting activities, as well as health and public services.

CF 1 PROTECTING CULTURAL, LEISURE AND TERTIARY EDUCATION FACILITIES

Policy Intent

4. Objective 5 of the Vision and Objectives Statement notes that the area has a long-established use as a tertiary education and cultural hub¹⁰⁵, notably in Kidderpore Avenue and Arkwright Road. These important valued community facilities are consistent with the cultural interests of residents, as confirmed by responses provided to the Vision and Objectives survey of 2015. A question on potential uses for Kidderpore Hall (prior to its sale) found the greatest level of support (from a range of options) for educational use, e.g. by the University of the Third Age (79% agreement) and cultural events e.g. film screenings (78%), followed by a café (63% support), other entertainment (57%) and a crèche (57%).
5. The conversion of the King’s College buildings on the north side of Kidderpore Avenue¹⁰⁶ to residential use has deprived residents of their potential to be utilised as community facilities. This has adversely affected the community balance and has reduced opportunities for health and wellbeing.
6. The Forum has designated Camden Arts Centre on Arkwright Road as an Asset of Community Value. The Plan seeks the retention of this Grade II listed building by Arnold Taylor for D1 use.

87 Further details are provided in Appendix CF 1. [History of sub area three as a tertiary and cultural education hub].

88 These buildings had been utilised by the community, e.g. Spiro Institute, London Jewish Cultural Centre, Redington Frognal Association, St. Margaret’s School and by King’s College students for social events

CF 1 Protecting Cultural, Leisure and Tertiary Education Facilities

- i. Cultural, leisure and tertiary education facilities are vulnerable to pressure from uses which attract higher land values and, once they are lost, cannot easily be replaced.
- ii. It is recognised that there may be circumstances where a community use, either wholly or in part, is no longer required or viable in its current use.
 - a) In this instance, the applicant will be required to demonstrate that the loss of the facility would not create, or add to, a shortfall in provision for the existing cultural, leisure and/or tertiary education use within the Plan Area
 - b) that the facility is unable to address a need for any other community use in the Plan Area.
- iii. The Plan seeks the retention and enhancement of existing cultural, leisure and tertiary education facilities a) to g) below. This will be achieved by safeguarding existing facilities for cultural, leisure and tertiary educational use and supporting refurbishment and greening of the settings, to the following:
 - a) Camden Arts Centre (CAC) and its indoor and outdoor café,
 - b) West Heath Lawn Tennis Club,
 - c) St. Luke’s Church,
 - d) St. Andrew’s Church,
 - e) Craxton Studios,
 - f) UCS Active, with community access to its gym, swimming pool, exercise classes and tennis courts,
 - g) Hampstead School of Art and its café
- iv. The provision of cultural, leisure and tertiary education activities within the Plan Area are to be prioritised, where the existing use is no longer viable.


Policy Application

- 7. Outside space at Camden Arts Centre is designated as Local Green Space and this policy applies only to existing buildings.
- 8. This Policy aims to protect the remaining cultural, leisure and tertiary education facilities, from Camden Arts Centre, West Heath Lawn Tennis Club and UCS Active, to community cafés (at Camden Arts Centre and Hampstead School of Art) and St. Luke’s and St. Andrew’s churches. The two churches host an extensive range of after-school clubs and societies (e.g. Kumon mathematics, taekwondo and ballet) and adult social events, from opera to lectures and Alcoholics Anonymous.
- 9. The Forum will also support the development of new facilities, as suggested in CF 2 below.

CF 2 NEW CULTURAL, LEISURE AND TERTIARY EDUCATION FACILITIES TO SUPPORT A GROWING POPULATION

Background

10. The conversion of the King's College buildings on the north side of Kidderpore Avenue to residential use has deprived residents of their potential to be utilised as cultural, leisure and /or tertiary education facilities. This has adversely affected the community balance and has reduced opportunities for health and wellbeing.
11. At the time of writing in August 2018, a substantial expansion of the Redington Froggnal housing stock was underway, with the construction of an additional 500 or so residential units from the developments noted above.
12. Population growth differs markedly by age band, with GLA growth projections indicating a concentration among those aged 60 and over during the lifetime of the Neighbourhood Plan.
13. The Forum Area's only state primary school is highly oversubscribed, receiving a growing number of applicants for its 15 reception places (132 applicants in 2015/16). The Area does not have a single GP surgery, a community centre, a library or a Post Office.
14. NHS GP practices are reducing their catchment areas and are sited at some considerable distance: the closest practice for residents in the centre of the Area is situated at a distance of 0.5 miles or more, as the crow flies. The Area's many elderly residents, therefore, may not be able to access a GP practice on foot¹⁰⁷. The Neighbourhood Plan will welcome new NHS GP practices.
15. The population is characterised by a disproportionately high representation of older residents and home workers. At the time of the last Census, in 2011, 18.5% of the Area's population was aged 60 or over, including 7.2% aged 75 and over. Numbers are likely to have considerably increased since then, due to greater longevity and new developments which have proved popular with older residents. The 150 residents responding to the Forum's Vision and Objectives question on their age, indicated that as many as 45% were aged 60 or over. Limited provision exists for residential care, at Spring Grove, and sheltered housing, at Osprey Court, both located on Finchley Road.



CF 2 New Cultural, Leisure, Tertiary Education Facilities and GP Practices


The Plan will support development which allocates space for cultural, leisure and tertiary education use classes, and shared business / co-working space for:

- i. Music, ballet and arts classes for children and adults
- ii. Tertiary education classes and courses, such as those run by the University of the Third Age
- iii. NHS GP practices.

89 It should be noted that there are no direct public transport links from within the Plan Area

Policy Application

- 16. The Neighbourhood Plan will support development which provides cultural, leisure and tertiary education facilities to cater for the growing population and, particularly, among older age groups.
- 17. The Neighbourhood Plan wishes to be able to provide accommodation for The University of the Third Age (U3A) and The Youth Music Centre (YMC), a Saturday morning music school, should suitable premises become available. This would also contribute to the health and wellbeing of residents. This use of a community facility is supported by 79% of those responding to the Vision and Objectives Survey and confirmed in writing by U3A and YMC¹⁰⁸.
- 18. Among its Aspirational Development Sites, the Plan aspires to and encourages the provision of new, replacement community use at the site RF 10: Kidderpore Hall. Reversion to cultural, leisure, tertiary education and use is provided for at this recently refurbished site. Potential uses include the University of the Third Age (U3A)¹⁰⁹, Youth Music Centre (YMC)¹¹⁰.




90 (Redacted), U3A email of 13.2.15 and (redacted), YMC email of 20.8.15

91 (Redacted), U3A email of 18.2.15

92 (Redacted), YMC email of 20.8.15

CF 3 NEW FACILITIES TO SUPPORT HOME WORKING

- 19. The Redington Frogna Area benefits from high internet speeds and good transport links. The 2011 Census for the Redington Frogna Plan area shows that 23.3% of men aged 16-74 and 13.3% of women aged were classified as self employed in 2011.
- 20. The Vision and Objectives question on home working suggests a high incidence of home working, with just over half of the 150 respondents answering this question, indicating that one or more people work from home.



Intent

- 21. Objective 4 of the Vision and Objectives Survey observes that area has excellent transport links and some of the fastest broadband in the UK and that it is a suitable environment for home working. It states, *“The Neighbourhood Plan will seek to support home working, through the development of a community facility with meeting space”*.
- 22. The need for this support¹¹¹ was recognised in the Vision and Objectives Survey, with 65% of the 158 respondents agreeing strongly or agreeing that Kidderpore Hall should be utilised as a community facility.
- 23. The high incidence of home working was corroborated by the Vision and Objectives Survey, with 51% of respondents living in households where one or more people work from home, including 25% who live in households where two or more people work from home.
- 24. Although recognised that a Post Office does not fall into use class D1, it is nevertheless an important community facility, and the establishment of such a facility is therefore promoted by this policy. Research by Royal Society for the encouragement of Arts, Manufactures and Commerce (RSA) notes that *“the growth of microbusinesses, self-employment and homeworking presents Post Offices with an opportunity to make themselves indispensable hubs for local business communities”*¹¹². The Post Office similarly acknowledges its role in providing support for community and outreach branches¹¹³, observing that, *“A growing microbusiness community, an ageing population, isolation among older people as well as young people in rural areas, and the development of community-based approaches to public service reform are among the trends creating the need for ‘Community Enterprise Hubs’ across the country.....”*

93 Vision and Objectives Survey Q. 24: Do you agree that growth of homes and businesses in the area should be supported by the designation of Kidderpore Hall (the white house) in Kidderpore Avenue as a civic community facility?
 94 “Making the Connection”, 1 February 2014, RSA: <https://www.thersa.org/discover/publications-and-articles/reports/making-the-connection>
 95 <https://postofficecommunityfund.co.uk>

25. The Plan seeks to support the Area’s growth and employment through the provision of community facilities to assist home workers (use class B1), families (use class D1) and the elderly (use class D1). It is the intention that this Policy will promote community cohesion, while supporting economic growth and resilience.

CF3 New Community Facilities to Support Home Working

The Plan will support development which allocates space for shared business / co-working space for:

- i. The provision of meeting rooms and venues, available for hire (B1a/b and B1c/B2 use classes)
- ii. Desk space, available for hire (B1a/b and B1c/B2 use classes).

Policy Application

26. The Plan will also support development which provides facilities to support home working.
27. Among its Aspirational Development Sites, the Plan aspires to and encourages new, replacement D1, D2 and A1 use at the following sites:
- i. RF 1 : Meridian House. A retail unit or community facility, such as an NHS GP practice, is to be included at ground-floor level to support the growth of existing retail activity on Finchley Road as has been planned on the west side, or contribute to social cohesion and inclusion¹¹⁴.
 - ii. RF 2: 27 Redington Gardens, where the ground floor is to be utilised possibly for community meeting space, which could also be used by local schools. The Forum would look favourably on any development scheme which seeks to take advantage of the opportunity to daylight the underground stream(s) beneath the Redington Gardens carriageway and between Templewood Gardens and Heysham Lane.
 - iii. RF 3: 1 Platts Lane: a non-fee paying secular primary school or academy, or expansion by St. Luke’s primary school.
 - v. and a Post Office-supported community enterprise hub¹¹⁵.
28. Among its Aspirational Development Sites, the Plan has provided for new, replacement community use at the following sites:
- i. RF 2: 27 Redington Gardens, where the ground floor is to be utilised possibly for community meeting space, which could also be used by local schools. The Forum would look favourably on any development scheme which seeks to take advantage of the opportunity to daylight the underground stream(s) beneath the Redington Gardens carriageway and between Templewood Gardens and Heysham Lane.
 - ii. RF 6: Hampstead Gate, where workshops, co-working space and a café will be encouraged, complemented by a local Post Office, functioning as a Community Enterprise Hub¹¹⁶.
29. In the event that the garages at Studholme Court become redundant, it is encouraged that these will be utilised in future as a leisure and / or co-working facility, e.g. shared office space and for creative arts, for the benefit of Studholme Court residents.

96 “An Effective Town Centre First Policy: what needs to be in the new PPS6”, December 2007. The Association of Convenience Stores (ACS) , the Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE), the Food Access Network, Friends of the Earth and the Women’s Institute
[http://www.tescopoly.org/sites/default/files/town_centre_first %281%29.pdf](http://www.tescopoly.org/sites/default/files/town_centre_first%20%29.pdf)

97 <https://www.thersa.org/discover/publications-and-articles/reports/making-the-connection>


98 <https://www.thersa.org/discover/publications-and-articles/reports/making-the-connection>

CF 4: COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE PRIORITIES

- 30. The availability of CIL revenues present an opportunity for the Neighbourhood Forum to implement community infrastructure facilities in fulfilment of Redington Froggnal’s Vision and Objectives. The 2015 Vision and Objectives Survey is included within Appendix CF 3.
- 31. At the request of Cllr. Siobhan Baillie, the Forum’s CIL survey was updated and a new survey circulated to 400 Forum members in April 2017. The survey of 19 questions was completed by 84 respondents and the results presented below.
- 32. The table below provides recommendations for the prioritisation of community infrastructure projects. Developers are encouraged to provide for these projects from local CIL priorities, if they have not already been provided.

POLICY CF 4 COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE PRIORITIES

Table CF Priorities for CIL Spending by Ranking, April 2017



High priority=3, medium priority=2, low priority=1

Application

33. In areas with approved Neighbourhood Plans, the government has resolved that a minimum of 25% of CIL money is to be spent within the Area. In accordance with this, the Forum strongly encourages Camden Council to use this Plan as the basis for allocating CIL money in this Area.
34. The chart above sets out the main priority areas for such spending. These projects and schemes should be the main recipients of planning gain monies (such as s.106 agreements, CIL and any other such schemes) in the Area.

DS: ASPIRATIONAL DEVELOPMENT SITES

Intent

1. The Plan does not allocate any sites for development and Camden does not have any sites allocated in its Camden Site Allocations Plan.

However, Locality's Site Assessment for Neighbourhood Plans Guidance states:

"Where you cannot demonstrate that a site is deliverable, for example it may be in a good location but there is no evidence that it could become available, your plan can identify 'aspirations' for sites you would like to see developed, and set out principles for each site linked to plan policies."

"In order to demonstrate that there is a good prospect the site will be delivered, there should be evidence that it is suitable, available and economically viable."
2. As part of the preparation of this Plan, therefore, potential sites for development were sought. A call for sites was put out amongst the Forum and its networks. Several sites were proposed and are indicated below.
3. If the sites, described briefly below, were to become available, the Forum would strongly resist development unless it accords with the Redington Froggnal Design Codes and the aspirations for the sites, as set out below.
4. Proposed densities for the assessments carried out below mostly match or exceed existing densities or are based on the hypothetical density of a medium density London location of 122 units/hectare. This density is deemed both suitable and viable for this area¹¹⁷.
5. Camden's July 2017 Local Plan seeks to provide: 16,800 additional homes; 695,000 sq.m. of office floorspace and c.30,000 sq.m. of retail floorspace within the Borough of Camden, by 2031.
6. The primary aim for Redington Froggnal development sites is for new housing and supporting infrastructure to contribute to the Local Plan aims, and also to preserve local employment. The Plan supports a mixed community, in terms of building use classes and age demographics and socio-economic groups, while retaining the Arcadian and sylvan characteristics, and a variety of open spaces in terms of size and biodiversity.

Policy DS 1: Delivering Growth in the Forum Area							
The Forum considers the aspirational development sites to be capable of delivering high-quality accommodation for a range of between 159 to 399 additional persons.							
Employment units, community and health facilities and school places are also provided for.							
Table DS 1 Redington Frognal Aspirational Development Sites, 2018 - 2043							
Site no.	Site address	Current residential units		NP residential units		Net loss or gain	
		Number	Persons	Number	Persons	Units	Persons
RF 1	Meridian House	8	32	10-15	40-140	+10 - +15	+40 - +140
RF 2	27 Redington Gardens	41	41	40	40	-1	-1
RF 3	1 Platt's Lane	22	22	0-21	0-21	<i>change of use</i>	
RF 4	opposite 3 Frognal Lane	0	0	6	12-24	+6	+12 - +24
RF 5	rear of 23-27A Frognal	0	0	2-3	4-12	+2 - +3	+4 - +12
RF 6	Hampstead Gate	1	6	0	0	<i>change of use</i>	
RF 7	rear of 166-200A Finchley Rd	30 (e)	30-60	30	30-60	+6 (e)	+12 - +114
RF 8	282-284 Finchley Road	2	2-4	12	36	+10	+28 - +34
RF 9	Kidderpore Hall, Kidderpore Avenue	1	?	0	0	<i>change of use</i>	
RF 10	24 Redington Gardens	1	8	3	24	+2	+16
RF 11	25-26 Redington Gardens	2	16	6-10	48-60	+2 - +4	+48 - +60
RF 12	Studholme Court garages	0		0		<i>change of use</i>	
Total		108	121-151	109-140	234-417	+37 - +45	159 - +399

Source: RedFrog

87 As at 28.2.18, 20% of the units reserved at the Hampstead Manor development in Kidderpore Avenue were for larger units of three bedroom and 4% for four bedrooms (sales data from (redacted), Mount Anvil). Planning application 2017/5835/P (granted 27.3.18) reduced the number of units from 156 to 150.

- 7. The eleven aspirational development sites identified by the Plan would help to meet GLA population growth projections for the Frognal and Fitzjohn’s ward to 2041 and to provide the community facilities needed to support a growing population.
- 8. The capacities identified for each site are indicative and subject to further detailed design assessment.

Application


Site reference RF 1: Meridian House

Address: 202 Finchley Rd, London NW3 6BX.

Photo DS 1: Meridian House, 202 Finchley Road, Streetscape



Photo DS 2: Meridian House, Aerial View



Site area: 310 square metres

Description: The site was originally a railway cutting, the tunnel starting where there is now a car park to the rear of the site.

Meridian House is a featureless modern block, lacking detail to the façade and constructed from materials which are not in keeping with the streetscape, producing a negative contribution. The site includes 50 car parking spaces to the rear, despite its high PTAL rating of 6a.

This residential section of Finchley Road (within the Redington Frognal Conservation Area) is characterised by Victorian and Edwardian blocks of mansion flats, situated immediately north of Meridian House.

The office space at ground-floor level is occupied on a leasehold basis from the owner, Tindall Overseas Ltd. It is also linked to Dutch & Dutch Property Management.

Above Allied Irish Bank are eight residential flats: 1A, 2A and flats B to G. These are also managed by Dutch & Dutch Property Management.

Potential development: 10 larger 100-120 sq.m or 15 smaller 60-70 sq.m residential units, with A1, A2, A3 or B1 use class at ground level, incorporating an active frontage.

Opportunity: Careful redevelopment or conversion extension and new street façade cladding, in accordance with the Finchley Road Design Code, would considerably enhance Finchley Road and provide highly desirable residential accommodation in an area well served by public transport and shopping facilities.

Appropriate uses include a retail unit or community facility, such as an NHS GP practice, at ground-floor level to support the growth of existing retail activity on Finchley Road as has been planned on the west side, or contribute to social cohesion and inclusion¹¹⁸.

Such a site, with its high PTAL rating, and proximity to retail and leisure facilities, could provide ideal accommodation to cater for older age groups, among which population growth in the Plan Area is forecast to be concentrated. It should be redeveloped as a car-free site and redundant parking space to the rear used to provide substantial greening and biodiversity measures, including trees, native hedgerows and a natural pond, providing a link to the adjoining copse.

Constraints: Meridian House is currently occupied by unknown tenants, including Allied Irish Bank. It may be that the owner of the building would be sympathetic to the idea of redevelopment¹¹⁹.

Conclusion: It is possible that the site is available, and the Forum will need to liaise with Network Rail, which owns the site and Overground line running beneath. A four-storey residential block, in brick and stone, would add a significant number of units and at the same time enhance the Finchley Road streetscape.

It is highly desirable that any replacement building will include an urban pocket park, such as below. This would considerably enhance Finchley Road and provide amenity in a part of the Plan Area with poor access to green space.

In keeping with the Conservation Area principles, a gap is to be incorporated on either side, between it and neighbouring buildings, in order to afford view to rear gardens and rear garden tree corridors.



Site reference RF 2: Conrad Court, 27 Redington Gardens

Address: Conrad Court, 27 Redington Gardens, London NW3 7RX

Photo DS 3: Conrad Court, 27 Redington Gardens, Aerial View



-
- 88 "An Effective Town Centre First Policy: what needs to be in the new PPS6", December 2007. The Association of Convenience Stores (ACS) , the Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE), the Food Access Network, Friends of the Earth and the Women's Institute.
 - 89 Dutch & Dutch tel. con, re Meridien House

Photo DS 4: 27 Redington Gardens, Front Elevation



Description:

Currently owned by the Republic of Poland, of 47 Portland Place, London W1B 1JH and occupied by Embassy staff. It is adjacent to 24 and 25-26 Redington Gardens both of which have been subject to recent applications for demolition. These and other buildings in the Conservation Area, notably those constructed between the 1950s to 1980s, have frequently been demolished and replaced.

However, such accommodation is given protection by Policy H5 of the Camden Local Plan. Paragraph 3.133 states, “Where the existing housing is for key workers or provided in connection with a job, redevelopment should provide for the same group of occupiers unless their needs have been met elsewhere, in which case social affordable rented housing and intermediate housing will be sought”.

The site is located in close proximity to two tributaries to the underground Cannon Stream.

Site area: 1,168 sq. metres

Opportunity: The site could be redeveloped in accordance with the Redington Frognal design code to provide up to 40 units of accommodation, car-free and possibly incorporating community meeting space. The Forum would look favourably on any development scheme which seeks to take advantage of the opportunity to daylight the underground stream(s) beneath the Redington Gardens carriageway and between Templewood Gardens and Heysham Lane.

Constraints: The building is currently occupied, providing 41 self-contained bedsits. No development plans have yet been submitted.

Conclusion: This site is suitable, achievable and potentially available. It is within easy walking distance of Finchley Road and bus routes between central London and to Mill Hill, North Finchley and Golders Green.

A new development at this site would be expected to adhere to the Redington Frognal Design Code. The situation, adjacent to mature woodlands, means that any enhancements to biodiverse habitat here would be especially valuable.

It is also notable that the development site lies directly above the underground Canon Stream. Basement excavation here is likely to create considerable problems for the watercourse, both upstream and downstream. To alleviate such problems for the community, consideration should be given to daylighting the fresh water section of the underground Canon Stream. This will also help to prevent flooding at the junction of Heath Drive and Finchley Road and help to meet Camden’s Policy CC3 to ensure that development “does not increase flood risk and reduces the risk of flooding where possible”.

Site reference RF 3: 1 Platt's Lane

Address: 1 Platt's Lane, London NW3 7NP

Photo DS 5: 1 Platt's Lane, Aerial View



Photo DS 6: 1 Platt's Lane, Streetscape



Site area: 500m²

Description: This site is a former old people's home, currently arranged as 22 self-contained bedsits. Unsympathetic front, side and roof extensions to the original CHB Quennell house have resulted in a building which forms a negative contribution to the streetscape. Notwithstanding a PTAL rating of 3, the site incorporates excessive off-street parking.

Amount of development: Accommodation for community or educational use or use as a health centre / GP practice.

Opportunity: Recent planning consents for new large developments on Finchley Road and Kidderpore Avenue can be expected to lead to a growing local demand for school places. The Plan Area includes just one state primary school, St. Luke's Church of England School in the north west of the Neighbourhood Plan area and does not have any nursery school provision.

In Camden's 2017 Annual School Places Planning Report¹²⁰, Area PA1 (which includes the Plan Area) had surplus places of just 2% in 2017, compared with the 5-10% surplus which DfE considers to be an acceptable margin for meeting a reasonable level of parental preference. At offer day for school year 2017/18, Area PA1 was the only Camden school area to have no available school places. Although increased provision of primary school places is expected to alleviate some of this pressure, primary schools elsewhere in Area PA1 are situated at some distance from the Neighbourhood Plan area.

90 2017 Annual School Places Planning Report (Primary and Secondary)<https://opendata.camden.gov.uk/Children-Schools-Family/2017-Annual-School-Places-Planning-Report-Primary-/ue2m-tg54>

If the Mayor’s Clean Air targets were to be achieved, and the Ultra-Low Emission Zone implemented (in 2020 or before), the site could be utilised to enable the expansion of the adjacent and highly over-subscribed St. Luke’s primary school, or a new state primary school (or primary academy)^{121, 122}. The Forum consider that community use is an appropriate aspiration for this site, with the specific use to be determined by the community’s future needs.

Constraints: The registered owner of the building, Ferncroft Investments Ltd, could not be contacted.

Conclusion: The site is suitable for residential use and a variety of community uses, from educational (nursery, primary and tertiary) use, as well as for a health centre or GP practice, but is not currently known to be available.

If it were to become available in the future, the Neighbourhood Plan would support a development that adheres to the Redington Froggnal Housing and Development Policy and Design Code and maximises the opportunity for tree planting, to help counter current high particulate levels.

Site reference RF 4: Garages (eight) on south side of Froggnal Lane

Address: Froggnal Lane, London NW3 7DX

Photo DS 7: Froggnal Lane Garages, Aerial View



Photo DS 8: Froggnal Lane Garages Streetscape



Site area: 210 square metres

Description: This site, on the south side of Froggnal Lane, opposite number 3, comprises eight garages.

This is not consistent with Camden’s land use and sustainable transport policies, and garages in the Redington Froggnal area are increasingly being sold for development. Between 2010 and 2015, garages at six sites were demolished to make way for residential development. The majority of the garages are unused.

91 St. Luke’s Expansion Case Update
 92 Email correspondence with SLS, August 2016

Amount of development: 6 residential units

Opportunity: The site could be utilised for a low-level residential development, which does not obscure the daylight to the house with the pitched roof, sited directly behind the garages.

Constraints: The garages are owned by residents of Palace Court, 250 Finchley Road.

Site reference RF 5: Rear of 23-27A Frognal

Address: Rear of 23-27A Frognal, London NW3 6AR

The site area is shown shaded in purple.

DS 9: Garages and land to rear of 23-27A Frognal,



Sources: Land Registry based on Ordnance Survey

Photo DS 10: Garages to Rear of 23-27A Frognal, Streetscape



Site area: 650m2

Description: The site accommodates seven backland garages, and the access way between 25s and 25c, leading to behind 25b Frognal as described in Land Registry title number LN121820. The site is situated close to Finchley Road, in an area where trees and soft landscape have become depleted, and in a part of the Plan Area which is classified as open / green space deficient.

On 4 April 2017, planning permission had been granted for the demolition of two of the seven garages (application 2015/6231/P) and their replacement by a three-bedroom house. The two garages are shown to the left of the photo, with blue stencilling.

Amount of development: if the development does not begin within three years of the date of consent, the site could be holistically developed to accommodate c. 2-3 car-free units (or as appropriate) within a green setting.

Opportunity: The site could be utilised to contribute to Camden’s requirement for new homes while, at the same time, meeting the Redington Frognal goal of increasing green space, biodiversity, native trees and hedges. The Arup / Redington Frognal underground rivers research shows this to be close to an underground river and to have formerly supported many natural ponds. New residential units are to be complemented by new biodiverse green space.

Constraints: Currently car parking and hard surfaced, with poor access. Any new development must not cause overlooking, loss of natural light and / or loss of privacy to neighbouring properties and gardens.

The land is owned by separate owners and is comprised of the following Land Registry titles: LN 121820, NGL 201021, NGL 85340, LN 154773, LN 250891, NGL 161814, NGL 199290, LN 147289.

Conclusion: The site is suitable, and at least part of the site is available.

The site is suitable for redevelopment, but only part is currently available. The entire site is therefore able to be designated only as an aspiration for the neighbourhood forum.

The redeveloped site should also include substantial permeable soft surface, trees and hedging, ideally with a natural pond, as part of the green corridor and bat flight paths, to support the bats which fly overhead¹²³.

Site reference RF 6: Hampstead Gate, 1A Frognal, London NW3 6AL

Address: Hampstead Gate, 1A Frognal, London NW3 6AL

Photo DS 11: Hampstead Gate Aerial View




Photo DS 12: Hampstead Gate Internal Site Streetscape



Site area: 1775 m2

Description: The site is currently in use as an office accommodation complex of nine office buildings over three floors, with garages and parking spaces. Despite the high 6A PTAL rating, it has an excessive amount of hard surfacing and off-street parking places. Future development would expect better, more efficient use of the land through a higher density development of workshops and co-working facilities, incorporating soft landscape and trees and contributing towards Camden’s employment development targets.

Amount of development: c.16 units

Opportunity: The site would meet need for workshop employment and training and co-working space in Camden and would be very attractive to a developer seeking to acquire non-residential land.

Constraints: Currently offices, with a number of separate freehold owners. The present ownership structure of the site could cause complications for a developer wishing to acquire the entire site.

Conclusion: The site is suitable, but not currently available, although a recent advertisement¹²⁴ notes that, “Vendors may also consider selling freehold interest”.

If the site were to become available during the lifetime of the Neighbourhood Plan, the Plan would support a scheme that adheres to the Redington Froggnal design code, with substantial permeable soft surface, trees, hedging, benches, outdoor seating and a wildlife pond. A wildlife pond is suggested not just for its strong biodiversity benefits¹²⁵, including to the adjoining copse, but for the minimal once yearly maintenance that it requires.

Hampstead Gate is well-situated to accommodate workshops, co-working and other employment space, and a café, which could be complemented by a local Post Office functioning as a Community Enterprise Hub¹²⁶ (if this would be commercially viable).

A development such as this, in accordance with the Design Codes, would introduce some charm and greenery, and revitalise this bleak area, also providing an amenity to guests at the adjacent Quality Hotel.

94 Grovelands, 1A Hampstead Gate, Froggnal, NW3
<http://www.grovelands.net/property/hampstead-gate-1a-froggnal-london-nw3>

95 Promoting dragonfly diversity in cities: major determinants and implications for urban pond design
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10841-012-9522-z>

96 <https://www.thersa.org/discover/publications-and-articles/reports/making-the-connection>

Site Reference RF 7: Rear of 166-200A Finchley Road and Adjacent to Hampstead Gate, London NW3 6BX

Address:

Photo DS 13: Rear of 166-200A Finchley Road and Adjacent to Hampstead Gate, Aerial View





Photo DS 14: Rear of 166-200A Finchley Road and Adjacent to Hampstead Gate, Streetscape



Photo DS 15 Internal Site Alleyway Photo DS 16 Front doors to Flats at Rear of Finchley Road



Site area: 1900m2

Description: The site consists of residential flats, situated directly to the rear of and above Finchley Road retail and office units and immediately to the west of Hampstead Gate. The flats are accessed via a seedy alleyway, which suffers from antisocial behaviour and (in May 2016) rat infestation. A further entrance exists from Frognal, which is shared with the Hampstead Gate office development. Each unit has its own entrance fronting onto the alleyway. The accommodation is unlikely to conform to London Plan standards.

Amount of development: c.36 units

Opportunity: The apartments are in need of refurbishment. This can be achieved without affecting the Finchley Road facades. The very convenient location means that the refurbished apartments would become highly desirable accommodation, for older people, young people and key workers alike.

Constraints: The accommodation has a variety of private owners and is not currently deliverable or available for redevelopment.

Conclusion: The site is suitable for redevelopment, but there is no indication that it is available currently or in the short to medium term. If the site were to become available in the future, either as a whole or in part, the Neighbourhood Plan would support any development that adheres to the Redington Frognal Design Code. This must include the retention of the entire Finchley Road façade, which is included on Camden’s Local List.

Site reference RF 8: 282-284 Finchley Road

Address: 282-284 Finchley Road, London NW3 7AD

Photo DS 19: 282-284 Finchley Road Aerial View



Photo DS 20: 282-284 Finchley Road, Streetscape



Site area: 360 m2

Description: The site accommodates a pair of two-storey semi-detached houses, two garages and off-street parking. To the north of the site are buildings of four storeys high, at Studholme Court, and a five-storey Edwardian mansion block is immediately to the south.

If the sites were to become available they could be re-developed more intensively, to a height of 4-5 storeys, but retaining gaps on both sides, to provide views to rear garden trees, and soft-surfaced front and rear gardens with hedges. Any replacement building must conform to the Redington Froggnal Design Code.

Amount of development: c. 12 units

Opportunity: A new four to five-storey building of twelve units would be more in keeping with the height of other buildings lining Finchley Road and could present a highly desirable development, as at 38 Heath Drive.

Constraints: Both houses are privately owned, by different owners. It is possible that, if offers were made, the owners might be pleased to sell.

Conclusion: The site is suitable for redevelopment, but there is no indication that it is available currently or in the short to medium term. To be effective, the sites would ideally be ‘assembled’ by a developer capable of taking them on over time and developing an effective block.

If the site were to become available in the future, the Neighbourhood Plan would support any development that adheres to the Redington Froggnal Design Code.

Site reference RF 9: Kidderpore Hall

Address: Kidderpore Hall, Kidderpore Avenue, TQ 25334 85848.

Photo DS 21: Kidderpore Hall Aerial View



Photo DS 22: Kidderpore Hall Streetscape



Site internal area: 1036.7 m2

Description: This is a Grade II listed stuccoed Greek revival house built in 1843, by T. Howard for John Teil, a Nabob who ran a flourishing leather concern in Kidderpore near Calcutta. Following John Teil's death in 1854 and subsequent changes in ownership, his Hampstead estate was broken up. The grounds became a private park.

In 1889, Westfield College, founded as a Christian women's college in 1882, bought the house and two acres of land. In 1991 Westfield College merged with Queen Mary College. The Westfield Campus which spread over both sides of Kidderpore Avenue became surplus to requirements and was offered for sale. In 2014, Kidderpore Hall and the Kidderpore Avenue north campus was acquired by Mount Anvil.

In May 2015, the Neighbourhood Forum obtained sworn affidavits of community use of Kidderpore Hall (also called Old Hall) from former staff and pupils of Westfield College the Spiro Institute and London Jewish Community Centre (LJCC). Kidderpore Hall had previously been used for King's College functions and LJCC continued to use Kidderpore Hall until 2005. It was additionally used by St. Margaret's School.

The Forum's application to designate Kidderpore Hall as an Asset of Community Value was rejected by Camden in April 2015, due to the building not having had community use in the "recent past".

Opportunity: The Forum has obtained written confirmation of interest in utilising Kidderpore Hall by University of the Third Age (U3A)¹²⁷ and Youth Music Centre (YMC)¹²⁸. Other potential community uses could include a Post Office-supported community enterprise hub. Research by Royal Society for the encouragement of Arts, Manufactures and Commerce (RSA) notes that "the growth of microbusinesses, self-employment and homeworking presents Post Offices with an opportunity to make themselves indispensable hubs for local business communities"^{129, 130}.

97 130 Email of 18.2.15 from David Bramson

98 Email of 20.8.15 from Marina Solarnek

99 "Making the Connection", 1 February 2014, RSA: <https://www.thersa.org/discover/publications-and-articles/reports/making-the-connection>

100 The Post Office is providing support for community and outreach branches and notes that, "A growing microbusiness community, an ageing population, isolation among older people as well as young people in rural areas, and the development of community-based approaches to public service reform are among the trends creating the need for 'Community Enterprise Hubs' across the country....."

101 London's ultimate fixer-upper home <https://www.homesandproperty.co.uk/luxury/property/london-s-ultimate-fixer-upper-home-historic-hampstead-mansion-comes-with-10-million-towards-the-cost-a109441.html>

Constraints: Kidderpore Hall was being marketed in 2017 as a single ten-bedroom residential unit with an internal area of 11,159 sq. ft or as two five-bedroom residential units of 5,765 sq. ft. and 5,394 sq. ft. The asking price of £17 million¹²⁹ was not achieved and, in a declining property market and with the prospect of Brexit by April 2019, alongside stamp duty rises and the removal of tax breaks for buy-to-let investors, it was uncertain that Kidderpore Hall would find a buyer. By May 2018, Mount Anvil had decided,

*“the work needed is too much for Mount Anvil to take on. It says the hall is easier to sell off as fixer-upper, mainly because its Grade II listed status means it can’t be carved up into multiple apartments. It’s leaving battles with English Heritage, which may be needed to convert the property, to someone else; the main house is for sale partially refurbished as a house or to be split two duplex apartments”.*¹³⁰

Interior changes may require planning permission, due the building’s listed status.

Site reference RF 10: 24 Redington Gardens, Planning Consent: 2016/1015/P

24 Redington Gardens: Existing Site Plan




The planning officer’s report to the Members’ Briefing Panel notes that Redington Gardens is within an area that is characterised by generously spaced houses set in a mature landscape. The consented planning application 2016/1015/P is for a replacement single-dwelling house of three and a half storeys above ground and a basement of 6.5 metres deep that would extend out to the rear of the property by approximately 11 metres. A forecourt at the front is to provide off-street parking for two vehicles¹³¹, .

Conclusion: In the event that sufficient funding cannot be raised in order for construction to commence by 22 July 2019 (for the planning consent to remain extant), the site could be used to construct a replacement building of three flats, in accordance with the Redington Froggnal Design Codes and to a scale in keeping with the modest houses opposite.

The development site lies directly above the underground Canon Stream. Basement excavation here is likely to create considerable problems for the watercourse, both upstream and downstream. To alleviate such problems for the community, consideration should be given to daylighting the fresh water section of the underground Canon Stream. This will also help to prevent flooding at the junction of Heath Drive and Finchley Road and help to meet Camden’s Policy CC3 to ensure that development “does not increase flood risk and reduces the risk of flooding where possible”.

Site reference RF 11: 25-26 Redington Gardens, Planning Consent: 2015/3200/P

24 and 25-26 Redington Gardens: Existing Elevation



24 and 25-26 Redington Gardens: Proposed Elevation



This consented planning proposal is for two single-dwelling replacement houses. At the time of the application, Redington Froggnal Association noted that the proposal for two five-storey houses, including a basement (ranging in depth from 5 to 7 metres), would be about 250% of the size of the existing two houses.

The proposals are considered objectionable in that they represent a massive over-development of the site. Concern also exists over the proposal to fell three trees “to permit development”, and that the two-storey basement is to extend 18 metres into the rear garden and 8 metres into the front garden. It will additionally provide off-street parking for two vehicles per house¹³², leaving inadequate spaces at the side boundaries for landscape and planting.

Heath and Hampstead Society considered the proposals “would alter the character of Redington Gardens detrimentally” ¹³³, while neighbours described the designs as an “abomination”¹³⁴.

Conclusion: In the event that sufficient funding cannot be raised in order for construction to commence by 3 February 2019 (for the planning consent to remain extant), the site is to be used to construct two replacement buildings of three to five flats each, in accordance with the Redington Froggnal Design Codes and to a scale in keeping with the modest houses opposite.

The development site lies directly above the underground Canon Stream. Basement excavation here is likely to create considerable problems for the watercourse, both upstream and downstream. To alleviate such problems for the community, consideration should be given to daylighting the fresh water section of the underground Cannon Stream. This will also help to prevent flooding at the junction of Heath Drive and Finchley Road and help to meet Camden’s Policy CC3 to ensure that development “does not increase flood risk and reduces the risk of flooding where possible”.

Site reference RF 12: Row of Ten Garages at Studholme Court

Address: Studolme Court, Finchley Road, NW3 7AE

Studholme Court: Site Plan



Studholme Court: Row of Ten Garages Backing onto Croft Way



In the event that the garages in public ownership at Studholme Court become redundant, these might in future be utilised as a community facility, eg shared office space or workshops, for the benefit of Studholme

Court residents.

Developers' Aspirational Sites

9. Alongside the above aspirational development sites, developers may well have other views on additional sites capable of being developed.
10. The Forum will consider schemes for applications made in the light of the Neighbourhood Plan's Policies and their conformity with the Redington Froggnal Design Codes. It will also address those which may not comply.
1. The presumption against demolition of heritage assets and overdevelopment on green spaces will be major determinants of development potential in type and scale

FR: FINCHLEY ROAD: RESIDENTIAL AND RETAIL ENVIRONMENT

Introduction

1. The carriageway and footway of Finchley Road are both managed by Transport for London (TfL). It is governed by the Highways Act and planning consent for works to the carriageway and footway are not subject to the Town and Country Planning Act.
2. Finchley Road forms the western boundary of the Redington Frogna Conservation Area and is lined by Edwardian mansion blocks and other architecture of high merit. It is home to thousands of residents: large stretches are exclusively residential and other parts comprise residential accommodation over shops or offices.
3. Its footways have high pedestrian counts, generated by residents accessing schools, community facilities, shops, other businesses and public transport.
4. Formerly an elegant tree-lined boulevard, trees, hedges and gardens have been lost due to the road widening programme of the mid 1960s, which necessitated the appropriation of front gardens¹³⁵. Its appearance has been further degraded by the lack of a succession planting programme to replace felled trees, leaving gaps in the tree canopy.

FR 1 Finchley Road: Residential and Retail Environment

- i. Victorian and Edwardian shop fronts are to be retained, even if the use of the property has changed.
- ii. Restoration and reinstatement of heritage features that have been lost are encouraged. These include unpainted surfaces, pilasters, corbels, glazing bars, stall risers, part-glazed doors and facias.
- iii. Wide and high-quality footways, compatible with a Conservation Area, and in accordance with Section 7 of TfL's Streetscape Guidance, are supported.
- iv. The Plan supports development which conforms to the Redington Frogna Design Codes and is serviced through a common utilities duct.
- v. Where possible, pavement width is to be maximised to enable trees and other planting, along with the provision of seating and resting facilities.

Application

5. The Forum encourages Camden to work with TfL, the Mayor of London and Historic England to revitalise the retail section and generate increased pedestrian flows, through the restoration of heritage features to improve the streetscape.
6. Planning applications relating to retail premises should seize the opportunity to restore and reinstate heritage features that have been lost, such as unpainted surfaces, pilasters, corbels, glazing bars, stall risers, part-glazed doors and fascias.
7. Where development opportunities allow, provision should be made for consolidated areas of green space to achieve a wider range of green space benefits and provide flexibility of use. Where possible, planning consents should also provide contributions for other significant greening measures, such as the creation of pocket parks.

Planning consents should aim to ensure planting of trees and hedges within the site boundaries, where this is feasible or possible.

8. Where an original shopfront survives, in whole or in part, there will be a presumption in favour of its retention. Where a new shopfront forms part of a group where original shop fronts survive, its design should replicate the original. An example of an original Finchley Road shopfront is provided below136.
10. Active frontages are desirable for premises with non-residential use classes.

Appendices	145
BD 1	145
BD 3	145
BD 4	145
BGI 1	145
BGI 2	145
BGI 3	145
BGI 4	145
BGI 5	145
CF 1	145
CF 2	145
CF 3	145
FR 1	145
FR 2	145
UWF 1	145
UWF 2	145

UWF: UNDERGROUND WATER FEATURES AND BASEMENT EXCAVATION

UWF 1: SCREENING

Intent

1. When applications for basement development are submitted, applicants generally possess only limited understanding of the geological, hydrological and hydrogeological complexity of the Redington Frognal Area.
2. This has meant that complex engineering issues affecting neighbouring properties have been left to be settled by section 106 agreements and under the Party Wall Act 1996, leaving many unresolved issues and typically incurring high levels of expenditure by neighbours for the commission of reports by expert consultants 137, 138, 139, 140, 141.., , , , .
3. Basements are often substantial engineering operations¹⁴². The need to submit engineering calculations prior to determination of a planning application was affirmed by the Planning Inspectorate, in appeal decision APP/X5210/W16/3164577 in relation to 28 Redington Road. In this case, the Inspector noted, “that basement development will only be permitted once it has been demonstrated that the proposal would not cause harm to neighbouring properties.”

Ground Movements

4. The ground beneath Redington Frognal is a complex layering of Bagshot Sand, Claygate Member and band D of the London Clay Formation. The top two layers have a high silt and sandy component rendering them susceptible to high water conductivity, as well as being potentially less stable: even band D of the London Clay Formation, while less permeable, still has a variable but significant silt content with a degree of erodibility, and can contain water under pressure in sand partings.
5. Excavation of the earth creates stresses in the ground: vertical, horizontal and water stress. Prior to excavation all these stresses are perfectly balanced. Digging will cause the sides of the trench to cave in, the base to rise and the water to drain out of the soil, unless the ground is appropriately supported and its groundwater managed.
6. The excavation for a basement also causes change to the water pressures in the ground. Groundwater flow becomes diverted beneath neighbouring properties, requiring water drainage measures to be

incorporated, if calculations indicate such drainage measures to be advisable. When the water pressure in the soil decreases, this causes the soil to consolidate. This can lead to brittle failure and plastic failure, if the water pressure in the soil is affected. If drainage is introduced, the water pressure decreases and soil particles move, creating soil consolidation. These changes in the ground, once started, are 99% irreversible¹⁴³.

7. Very little evidence exists of damage to neighbouring properties, because home owners do not wish to affect the saleability of their properties. However, it has been demonstrated that soil consolidation can take ten years to complete and that this is the time lag between construction and cracks and distortions beginning to appear.

Groundwater movements

8. Redington Frogna! is situated on the southern and western slopes of Hampstead, one of the largest and highest hills in London, with the highest rainfall of the Greater London area, and much of it located on the flank of this large hill. As a consequence, a significant number of Redington Frogna! streets are downward sloping, where hard standing or building footprint enlargement produces additional surface run-off and drainage requirement, increasing flood risk in storm periods to vulnerable lower areas such as Frogna! and West Hampstead.
9. In parts of Redington Frogna! the 'water table' ground water flow (across the top of both the Claygate beds and band D of the London Clay Formation) is constantly present and at times it can be rapid. Basement excavation can sometimes break into continuous flow of these water tables, but also will constrain and divert the ground water present throughout the area. Sometimes velocities of flow can be sufficient to cause erosion of the high silt and sand content of Redington Frogna!'s soil types leading to small but significant volume loss. This can result in the subsidence and cracking of neighbouring buildings, causing water ingress, and the formation of cavities under roadways and services.
10. A report by Alan Baxter for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea¹⁴⁴ notes that, where basements constructed in clay, they "effectively form a hole in the clay which can fill up with water and which is not able to drain away naturally. Although the hole appears to be completely filled in by the new basement structure which displaces water, the hydrostatic water pressures in the basement are present because of water at the interface between the clay and the basement construction up to the top of the clay or slightly higher than this depending on the ground conditions above the clay. This issue needs to be carefully considered in the design. The structure needs to be designed to resist the hydrostatic pressure, unless something is done to relieve it. Flotation can also be an issue, particularly for basements in clay subsoil beneath rear gardens or internal basements with little load on top of them." This problem is accentuated where swimming pools are constructed, as care must be taken to ensure their structure remains stable when they are emptied. This can be dealt with by designing in accordance with current standards and codes (e.g. BS8012 and BS EN 1997).
11. Groundwater is present throughout the neighbourhood Plan Area. To better understand the complexity of the area and its sub surface water features, Redington Frogna! Neighbourhood Forum commissioned a study by Arup of the area's sub surface water features. This includes a detailed map which records the manifestation of water at or near ground level in the form of known spring lines, underground streams, ponds, wells, soggy gardens and pumps, showing that many streets lie above, or in very close proximity to, underground streams and spring lines.
12. The policy seeks to ensure that potential problems arising from basement excavation are addressed at application stage. It also aims to prevent water damage to nearby properties arising from the diversion of underground water features and incorporates guidance in Supplementary Planning Document adopted by the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. The policy applies to all applications involving excavation for basement development. Basement development is defined as the construction or extension of one or more storeys of accommodation below the prevailing ground level of a site or property.

UWF 1 Screening and Analysis

- i. Screening measures to be undertaken, at the earliest possible stage in the planning application process, include review of the map developed for Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood Forum, Arup Fig 7 – Results Map - latest version. A copy of the map is to be marked with all existing and proposed basements and sub surface water features within a radius of 100 metres, to help assess the cumulative impact.

These maps are to form part of a the BIA, alongside the documents cited in the latest Camden Planning Guidance for Basements and are to be submitted in the BIA report.
- ii. Contours of predicted vertical settlement and predicted impacts, including cumulative impacts, on neighbours, both upstream and downstream, must accompany all planning applications, at the earliest possible stage. This relates to both ground-water induced and excavation-induced movement.
- iii. Evidence must be provided, at the earliest possible stage, that damage to neighbouring properties will be less than or equal to 1 (“very slight”) on the Burland Scale.
- iv. It is encouraged that the number, type and expected position of cracks to neighbouring properties are indicated, at the earliest possible stage.
- v. An assessment of current ground and geology conditions, topography and groundwater levels will be required. This should include details of the structure and foundations of the existing building and neighbouring properties.

Application

- 13. A copy of the review of the map developed for Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood Forum, Arup Fig 7 – Results Map (latest version) is to accompany all planning applications involving basement excavation. The map is hosted on the Redingtonn Froggnal Neighbourhood Forum website at: <http://www.redfrogforum.org/underground-rivers/>
- 14. Developers are encouraged to also provide detailed calculations of the design, based on site-specific facts, i.e. not merely the preliminary design calculations, to neighbours within 20 metres or four times the basement depth, according to which ever measure is greater. The calculations must include contours of predicted vertical settlement and the predicted impacts on neighbours.
- 15. The cumulative effect of several underground developments in proximity can be more significant than the impact of a single basement. Applicants must provide a map showing all existing and proposed basements within a distance which is determined at the scoping stage of the Basement Impact Assessment. The distance to be considered will depend on the site’s geology, topography, the basement proposals, the nature and density of surrounding structures and infrastructure etc. including the basement’s extent and ground conditions, in order to assess the cumulative basement impact. The map must also show all known sub surface water features, as identified by Arup in the “Arup Fig 7 – Results Map” (latest version).
- 16. Differing soil types, e.g. claygate member beds, Bagshot sands, gravel and band D of the London Clay Formation must also be mapped, indicating the site of the proposed basement and existing and other proposed basements around all surrounding properties, and further afield, if the circumstances warrant this.
- 17. Burland Scale tests and a ground movement assessment will be required from the applicant, prior to the determination of the planning application. Applicants must understand that the Burland calculations relate to walls with no windows or doors, and judge accordingly when assessing the relevance of their calculations to nearby structures.
- 18. Justification for the assessment of the Burland Scale damage level assessment is also to be provided.

Recommendation

- 19. It is desirable to daylight the clean water section of the underground Cannon Streams between Redington Gardens and Heysham Lane. This will relieve pressure on the sewer network and reduce the risk of surface water flooding downstream in West Hampstead, where floods occurred in 2002,^{1, 2}

¹ Managing flood risk in Camden: The London Borough of Camden flood risk management strategy

UWF 2: BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Intent

20. Camden’s Local Plan Policy A5 has a clear requirement to demonstrate that basement development “does not cause harm to the amenity of neighbours, affect the stability of buildings, cause drainage or flooding problems, or damage the character of areas or the natural environment”.
21. Camden currently requires a staged approach to screening and scoping. However, the process assumes that the excavation and construction work will proceed according to plan. But, works have not always proceeded according to plan, and there have been examples of harm which has been caused to properties in Redington Frogna, as a result of nearby basement excavation¹⁴⁷. Moreover, the independent assessor does not always meticulously scrutinise the basement impact assessments^{148, 149}.
22. The requirements adopted by the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea, as set out in its Basement Supplementary Planning Document, are appropriate, as a minimum, for the substantially more complex hydrogeological structure of the north west slopes of Hampstead.
23. This policy, therefore, requires rigorous site investigations and seeks to ensure that potential problems arising from basement excavation are addressed at or before application stage. It also aims to prevent water damage to nearby properties arising from the diversion of underground water features.

UWF 2 Basement Impact Assessments

The requirements below are additional to those set out in the latest Camden Planning Guidance for Basements and apply to both excavation and basement construction.

- i. Engineering design should be advanced to Detailed Proposals Stage (equivalent to RIBA Stage D), as set out in the Services of ACE (Association of Consultancy and Engineering) Agreement 1: Design, 2009 Edition).
- ii. As a minimum, BIAs must incorporate the following information and data:
 - a) The sequencing of the basement excavation and construction.
 - b) Soil samples, including those near boundaries with neighbours must be taken to a depth below the footing of the proposed base of the basement. The boreholes measurements may need to be conducted in periods of contrasting rainfall and over a period of several months covering wet and dry seasons. In some cases, when boreholes measurements show a groundwater risk, an automatic log water measurements recorder may need to be left activated in the boreholes over a sustained period of contrasting rain cycles to demonstrate local groundwater and water table levels and the local extent of groundwater surges during and immediately following storms.
 - c) In some cases, when boreholes measurements show a groundwater risk, an automatic log water measurements recorder may need to be left activated in the boreholes over a sustained period of contrasting rain cycles to demonstrate local groundwater and water table levels and the local extent of groundwater surges during and immediately following storms.
 - d) Bore holes data, ground movement and ground water flow calculations must be included as part of a factual report. An interpretative report will not be sufficient.
- iii. In accordance with best practice, lateral drainage and digging by hand will be required, where basement excavation necessitates the installation of drainage.

- iv. The BIA must include appropriate drawings that describe the detail of the engineering designs and illustrate how the construction addresses the following:
 - a) Groundwater
 - b) Drainage
 - c) SuDS
 - d) Flooding
 - e) Vertical loads
 - f) Lateral loads
 - g) Cumulative impacts on ground stability and underground water movements
 - h) Ground conditions
 - i) Trees and planting
 - j) Infrastructure
 - k) Vaults
 - l) Existing structures
 - m) Adjoining buildings and structures
 - n) Overall stability (permanent and temporary works)
 - o) Underpinning (if proposed)
 - p) Piling (if proposed)
 - q) Special considerations e.g. cantilevered stone stairs and landings, balconies or other important functions or features in an existing building which need special consideration.
- v.
- vi. The BIA must also demonstrate that trees will not be felled or liable to die.
 Where appropriate, a BCP, written by a structural engineer, is to accompany the BIA, to be submitted at the time of applying for planning consent. The BCP should set out ways in which potential problems arising from cumulative impacts on ground stability and underground water movements will be resolved.
- vii. In order to protect against sewer flooding, Thames Water recommends the installation of a positive pumping device. This should be installed in each new basement development, unless a strong case for alternative measures can be made.
 viii. Basement applications should not be determined until all technical outstanding issues are resolved to the largest extent possible, prior to the commissioning of a building contractor.

Application

- 24. Due to the potential damage from basement development, all issues related to the BIA, or raised by the Independent Assessor appointed by Camden, must be resolved to the fullest extent possible prior to the determination of the planning application, rather than being deferred as a requirement of the Section 106 agreement. The purpose of this policy to promote sustainability in development.
- 25. The sequencing of the basement excavation and construction, and how the work affects ground movements, are of utmost importance, and this must be set out in the BIA. Planning consent is to be linked to geotechnical instrumentation, if the results of the screening and analysis show this to be advisable.
- 26. For the BIA, it will be necessary to dig holes in the soil, inspect the soil below ground and identify the different soil layers. The soil must be inspected and the sides of the holes checked for signs of caving in during different weather conditions, including how the sides of the holes respond to rain.
- 27. The BIA is to include estimations of ground and underground water movements, including cumulative impacts, by a qualified structural engineer, to be prepared in accordance with Camden’s latest Basements Planning Guidance and based on ground characterisation provided by a qualified geologist. Both the engineer and the geologist should be chartered. Ground movements and ground water flow calculations will be required for different soil types and conditions, taking account of the differing rates at which water travels through differing soil types.

APPENDICES

BD 1

BD 3

BD 4

BGI 1

BGI 2

BGI 3

BGI 4

BGI 5

CF 1

CF 2

CF 3

FR 1

FR 2

UWF 1

UWF 2

1. Introduction

1.1 This Consultation Statement has been prepared to fulfill the legal obligations of the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012. Section 15(2) of Part 5 of the Regulations sets out that a Consultation Statement should provide:

- details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;
- an explanation of how they were consulted;
- a summary of the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted;
- a description of how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.

1.2 Alongside fulfilling the above, this document provides a narrative on the overall process of producing this neighbourhood development plan.

1.3 The preparation of the submission draft of the neighbourhood development plan for the Redington Frogal Area, known as the Redington Frogal Neighbourhood Plan, has taken around five years and involved numerous stages of public engagement.

1.4 The pre-submission consultation (Regulation 14) and how the issues and concerns raised have been addressed. The pre-submission consultation ran from 28 October to 23 January 2019.

1.5 The key consultation methods and inputs into the development of the Redington Frogal Neighbourhood Plan are summarised in the following sections.

2. POLICIES AND EVIDENCE BASE DEVELOPMENT

2.1 STARTING OUT

2.1.2 Redington Frogal Association is an umbrella group of street and residents associations within the Redington Frogal Conservation Area. It was established as a limited company on 17 November 1997. It enjoys a constructive relationship with Camden Council, provides input into Redington Frogal Conservation Area appraisals and assists residents with responding to development proposals. It works closely with Hampstead Conservation Area Advisory Committee.

2.1.3 An initial sub group was formed on 28 February 2012, to reflect on the merits of developing a neighbourhood plan. Potential issues, defining the boundaries and likely consultees were considered.

2.1.4 On 18 April 2012, six members of Redington Frogal Association met two senior officers from Camden to receive guidance on applying to form a Neighbourhood Forum. Likely boundaries and objectives had already been discussed and possible consultees were being considered. A meeting of the Neighbourhood Forum sub group was held on 5 March 2012. This was also attended by a representative from Heath and Hampstead Society, which was similarly considering the formation of a Neighbourhood Forum Committee.

2.1.5 A neighbourhood planning meeting at Burgh House, arranged by Camden Council, was attended on 3 September 2013. This included presentations by Department for Communities and Local Government and Camden Council. Other attendees were Heath and Hampstead Society and Cllr. Chung, Rev. S. Tucker, Hampstead traders and local residents. Meetings were also held with adjoining Netherhall Neighbourhood Association and Hampstead Neighbourhood Association.

2.1.6 A meeting with Camden Council and Imagine Places, a neighbourhood planning specialist, on 14 January 2014, discussed impediments to progress, notably the need to establish a website, developing a CAD map and limited manpower resources. Planning issues identified were: extensions under and across gardens; the growing number of “mega mansions”, displacing family housing; constant applications to alter and re-alter the Edwardian housing stock and the unchecked growth of schools and pupil numbers (currently about 20,000 pupils) and excessive school-run traffic. Other potential neighbourhood plan policies included the encouragement of tree planting, a strengthening of Biodiversity measures and the identification of Assets of Community Value. It was noted that the Neighbourhood Plan boundaries would be constrained by the boundaries agreed to adjoining plans to the south (Netherhall Neighbourhood Association), to the west (Fortune Green and West Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan) and to the east (Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan). Contact was also established with Child’s Hill Residents Association, Church Row Residents Association, Netherhall Neighbourhood Association and Fortune Green and West Hampstead Neighbourhood Forum.

2.2 BOUNDARIES CONSULTATION: 2 MARCH 2014

2.2.1 A public consultation on the boundaries of the Neighbourhood Plan Area was arranged for 2 March 2014. This was publicised with a flyer



2.2.2 More than 2,000 flyers were printed, setting out the case for developing a Neighbourhood Plan and seeking residents' input through attendance at a public consultation and through completion of an on-line survey. Two weeks prior to the consultation, volunteers delivered the flyers to every letterbox within the Redington Frogna Conservation Area and on Finchley Road. The few businesses contained within Redington Frogna, at the time, included mainly schools, some retail premises and cafés along Finchley Road, two hotels, two churches, Craxton Music Studio, a violin workshop and the Frogna Gate office development. Flyers were handed in to non-residential addresses, and a personal explanation of the potential benefits of a neighbourhood plan provided. Interest had been expressed by Hampstead School of Art, St. Luke's School and Florian Leonhard Fine Violins.

2.2.3 Posters advertising the consultation and the on-line survey were affixed to lampposts (with the consent of ██████████, Borough Monitoring Manager) to coincide with the delivery of the flyers (two weeks' in advance of the consultation). More than 200 were displayed, including at Studholme Court and by bus stops on Finchley Road.

Lamp post notice of public consultation






2.2.4 Approximately 80 people attended the first public consultation, held in the Refectory at University College School on Sunday 2 March, from 4 pm till 6 pm. All three ward councillors were present and all agreed the session was very successful. Starting as the intended drop-in session for the first half-hour, the meeting became a more formal but lively session involving all attendees for the remaining hour and a half, during which many questions were raised and answered by committee members with some discussion between residents.

2.2.5 A0 posters were displayed around the UCS refectory to welcome residents and inform them of the purpose of a neighbourhood plan. Two A1 maps of the proposed boundaries were displayed and 4 flip charts were made available for attendees to write comments.

2.2.6 Attendees were also asked to fill out their contact details and to state whether they agreed with the proposed boundaries. A total of 68 attendance forms were completed and incorporated into Red Frog's new database.

2.2.7 Residents stayed for the full two hours and participated in a one-and-a-half-hours Q&A session hosted by Redington Froggnal Association's Chairman and the Chair of Hampstead Conservation Area Advisory Committee, assisted by Cllrs. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].



Add your comments here.

- We would like to keep the character of our streets intact.
- This will make the already difficult process of obtaining planning permission even more difficult!
- Less Rules → NOT MORE
- CONTROL TRAFFIC
- Prevent 2 storey basements — Think long term impacts.

Add your comments here.

- please keep lorries away from our narrow streets
- Stop HS2 Traffic coming through area.
 Main + High quates 100 HGV/Day.
- ALL NEIGHBOURHOOD STREETS SHOULD HAVE 20MPH SPEED LIMIT.
- FORBID ALL BASEMENT BUILDING

Add your comments here.

Please protect trees
 " windows splash

- Subsidence problems who will deal with?
- Dog poo collection?
- where would cil money be spent on in the NP?
- 18-23 people want to help - have filled in the forms





2.3 Exploratory Research

2.3.1 An initial online survey was developed to learn about planning issues concerning local residents and businesses. Awareness of the survey was raised through flyers, lamp post notices and the 2.3.14 public consultation.


2.3.2 The initial survey asked 27 questions, scored on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 indicated a high level of agreement, and two open-ended questions.

2.3.3 Of the 27 questions, 23 achieved a score of 3.5 or above. Those gaining the highest levels of support were incorporated into the subsequent Vision and Objectives survey.

2.3.4 RedFrog were keenly aware of the need for a neighbourhood forum to genuinely represent the views of the majority of the area's residents and for it to be inclusive.

2.3.5 By 31.3.14, Red Frog had received almost 100 responses to its on-line survey. Respondents to the on-line survey and consultation attendees were individually thanked and invited to join the Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood Forum.

Redington Froggnal Online Survey, based on 82 responses from 98 respondents, 25.4.14



green / biodiversity issues	development
community, sport and culture	air pollution
conservation	

2.3.6 All those on the developing RF database were invited by email, with a subsequent follow-up email, to attend the Neighbourhood Forum meeting on 1 May at 8 pm in Bay Hall, King's College, Kidderpore Avenue. All were sent a copy of the draft constitution.

3. DEVELOPING THE MEMBERSHIP DATABASE

1.5.14 Neighbourhood Forum meeting at 8 pm in Bay Hall, King's College, Kidderpore Avenue

3.1 The inaugural meeting of the Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum was held on 1 May at 8 pm in Bay Hall at King's College. The meeting was attended by all three ward councillors, but achieved a relatively low turn-out among residents, with just 21 attendees and 10 apologies.

3.2 It was reported that Camden officers had submitted a recommendation for approval of both the Area application and the Forum designation. A draft constitution had been emailed to all on the database in advance of the meeting. Attendees were provided with hard copies of the draft constitution, together with the results of the initial online survey and Camden's reworked map of the Red Frog CA Neighbourhood Plan boundaries. The draft constitution was then proposed by **Alan Sanders**, seconded by [REDACTED] and approved unanimously by a show of hands.

3.3 Working groups were formed around key themes arising from the initial online survey and to help Camden to update the Redington Frognal Conservation Area Statement and Guidelines.

3.5.14 Meeting with Sheikh Dr. Muhammad al-Hussaini, Council of Imams and Rabbis of the UK and Secretary Camden Faith Communities Partnership

3.4 On 3 May 2014, representatives from Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum and Hampstead Neighbourhood Forum met Sheikh Dr. Muhammad al-Hussaini, Council of Imams and Rabbis of the UK and Secretary Camden Faith Communities Partnership, to enquire about involving religious establishments. It was explained that the congregation at the two Redington Frognal churches tends to be drawn from West Hampstead, while Jewish residents in Redington Frognal may visit the two West Hampstead Reform synagogues and other synagogues beyond the Forum Area.

10.9.14 Meeting with Caroline Birchall, Camden's Nature Conservation Officer

3.5 A meeting at the Borough Grade II Site of Interest for Nature Conservation in Kidderpore Avenue has been arranged to draw the Council's attention to this important area of biodiverse green space.

7.12.14 Christmas Bazaar at Hampstead School of Art

3.6 A joint Christmas Bazaar, hosted at Hampstead School of Art, was organised to promote awareness of the Neighbourhood Forum, through the display of leaflets. Cllr ██████ manned a stall to guess the key to no. 10. Two new members were added to the Forum database. Other visitors, however, did not live within the Neighbourhood area.

21.1.15 Meeting with Mount Anvil at 5 pm at Upper Skeele Hall, King's College

3.7 Following the sale by King's College London of its North site to Mount Anvil, RedFrog arranged a meeting with Mount Anvil and nearby residents.

3.8 This was a well-attended meeting and yielded 26 new members for the Forum database, while also establishing relations between the Forum and Mount Anvil and its Design and Planning Director, ██████.

3.9 The Neighbourhood Forum was publicised through the display of leaflets

31.1.15 Attendance at Lindfield and Langland Neighbourhood Association (LLNA) party

3.10 A member of the RedFrog Forum Committee attended the LLNA party, gaining eleven new members for the Forum database.

3.11 The Neighbourhood Forum was publicised through the display of leaflets

19.2.15 Planning application 2015/0544/P

3.12 An alert circulated in respect of planning application 2015/0544/P for a night club on Finchley Rd, generated interest from members in Arkwright Road Mansions and Arkwright Road.

24.2.15 RedFrog meeting at St. Luke's Church, Kidderpore Avenue with TfL to discuss the proposed construction of CS11.

3.13 On 12 February, an invitation was emailed to over 200 database members to advise them of a meeting to learn about TfL's plans for CS11. The meeting, arranged by the Forum Committee, provided TfL with an opportunity to outline its ideas for the scheme and enables residents to offer some initial feedback. The 34 attendees included two ward councillors and two members of staff from TfL.

3.14 The Neighbourhood Forum was publicised through the display of leaflets at the Xmas Bazaar (7.2.14), at the Mount Anvil public exhibition (31.1.15) and at the TfL public meeting (24.2.15)

4.6.15 RedFrog Neighbourhood Forum meeting, held at 7 pm at St. John's Church, Church Row

3.15 The meeting was chaired by Cllr. ██████ with 22 attendees present. Cllr ██████ opened the meeting and explained the purpose of the Neighbourhood Forum, as set out in the Constitution and that it exists to promote Edwardian architecture and biodiversity etc.

Email circular to Forum database



AGM 2015

Dear Resident

On THURSDAY 4TH JUNE 2015 we shall be holding the AGM of the Redington Frognal Association (Redfrog) followed by the AGM of the Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum. The start time is 7.00 pm and we expect to finish both AGMs by 8.30 pm.

Please note change of venue: ST JOHN'S CHURCH, CHURCH ROW, NW3

Redfrog Membership Records

Prior to the AGM our membership records should be updated.. Membership allows you to vote at the AGM and carries a theoretical liability of £1 should Redfrog ever be wound up.

Redfrog Election of Officers

It is open to every member to be nominated for election

At this election our current Chair Farokh Kharooshi and Vice-Chair Dudley Leigh will stand down and Rupert McNeil and Rob Hagemans are proposed by them as joint chairs.

Neighbourhood Forum Election of Committee

The main business of the Neighbourhood Forum AGM will be the election of a Committee who will appoint a Chair and sub-committee to carry out the functions of the Neighbourhood Forum.

The committee has to be elected annually by the members. Any resident or local business or other local organisation is entitled to a vote as a member. There should be at least 21 people on the committee, although most of the day to day work will be carried out by sub-committees appointed for the purpose.

PLEASE CONFIRM BY REPLY TO THIS EMAIL IF YOU ARE WILLING TO BE NOMINATED AS A COMMITTEE MEMBER FOR ELECTION AT THE AGM.

Look forward to seeing you on 4th June.

August 2015 Neighbourhood Forum Website developed

3.16 A dedicated Neighbourhood Forum website, developed by a volunteer, became live in August 2015 (<https://rfforum.wordpress.com>). Viewing statistics are shown below.

Views per month

2015

August	28
September	225
October	166
November	145
December	163

2016

January	277
February	222
March	56
April	102
May	133
June	257
July	291
August	237
September	135
October	114
November	121
December	104

2017

January	116
February	32
March	59
April	70
May	72
June	131
July	62
August	166
September	171
October	78
November	90
December	106

2018

January	63
February	23
March	43
April	49
May	51
June	59
July	38
August	74
September	51

Redfrog neighbourhood forum

Redfrog neighbourhood forum

[ABOUT](#) [COMMITTEE](#) [CONTACT](#) [EVENTS](#) [Evidence Base](#) [FORUM AREA](#) [FORUM HISTORY](#) [GARDEN WILDLIFE SURVEY](#) [INITIATIVES](#)



REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

ABOUT

Neighbourhood Forums are community based groups designated to take forward neighbourhood planning in areas without a parish council. It is the role of the local planning authority to agree who should be the Neighbourhood Forum for the neighbourhood area.

Redfrog Conservation Neighbourhood Forum is now recognised as the Neighbourhood Forum for the Redington Froggnal area under Localism Legislation. It is tasked with developing a Local Plan which will inform Camden's planning decision for the area.

The **Redington Froggnal Association** has been the guiding force in setting up and continuing to support the Forum.

Our vision is a set of principles developed by Redfrog residents to help Camden implement and change its policy according to the needs of our local community. We seek a future for the Redfrog area which preserves its green character and continues to make it home to a wide range of family types and ages.

A grant has been secured from Locality to help fund part of the evidence base needed, and we are engaging with AECOM.

We believe that the Redfrog neighbourhood should celebrate its heritage and history and should continue to be a delightful area to live in.

[.wordpress.com](#) in a new tab

REDINGTONFROGNAL
NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

Follow

14.11.15 Jazz and Quennell Social Event at Craxton Studios, Kidderpore Avenue.

3.17 The evening included free champagne and canapés and live music and a presentation on the work of CHB Quennell, architect of many of the Forum Area's heritage assets. The event attracted nearly 100 Forum members and provided an opportunity to again draw attention to the Neighbourhood Plan under development.

3.18 The occasion was publicised through lamp post notices (below) and an email circular, resulting in the addition of 33 new members to the Forum database.

Jazz and Quennell Lamp Post Notice



INVITATION

Jazz and Quennell

*Join us at an anniversary celebration of
CHB Quennell, the architect responsible for
so many of the lovely houses in the
Redfrog Conservation Area.*

Venue Craxton Studios, 14 Kidderpore Avenue

Date Saturday 14th November 2015

Time 5pm – 7pm

This is a FREE event, with champagne and canapés.
We should especially like to welcome residents from the many
Quennell designed houses in the Crofts.
There will also be an opportunity to view the first output for the new
Community Neighbourhood Plan.

RSVP by 24th October to redfrogemail@gmail.com so that we can
plan for catering.

Talk on Quennell
Music by Glenwood Jazz



<https://rfforum.wordpress.com>

13.6.16 Neighbourhood Forum AGM and Presentation of First Policies Draft.

3.19 Held at 9 pm on Monday 13th June 2016 at St Andrew's Church, Frognal Lane, NW3 (NB this was a change of venue from JW3).

3.20 Flyers advising of the first draft of the Neighbourhood Plan Policies and the Forum's AGM were delivered to all 2,000 letterboxes in the Forum Area. Mount Anvil provided refreshments and video recording for the presentation by Create Streets of the first draft of the Neighbourhood Plan policies, which was followed by a Q&A session, which is summarised below.

REDINGTON FROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

You are invited to a presentation of the draft Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Plan Policies. The presentation will be followed by the AGM.

The aim is to provide residents and local businesses with an opportunity to learn about plans for the area and to provide feedback to be incorporated within the Neighbourhood Plan.

The purpose of the Plan is to sustain the character of the Conservation Area, while at the same time allowing for development and growth, if it is sympathetic to the character of the area.

When: MONDAY 13th JUNE, 7.30 PM

Where: JW3 THEATRE, 341-351 FINCHLEY RD, LONDON NW3 6ET

Without a Neighbourhood Plan, Camden's ability to reject unsuitable development proposals is severely **limited.** Camden will hold a referendum on the Plan, later this year.

RSVP: to redfrogemail@gmail.com by Monday 6th June.
<https://rfforum.wordpress.com>

Summary by Create Street of Key Queries Arising During 13.6.16 Presentation



Create Streets
We can help you make better places

Questions arising from Redington Froggnal Policies presentation, June 13th 2016

These are responses to questions where we wanted to check on statute or formal guidance before giving absolutely specific answers. Questions related to the wording, meaning or nature of specific policies have been noted but will be addressed once all responses to the presentation on June 13th and its circulated notes have been received, collated and compared.

1) Some members were frustrated at the absence of policies on increasing the number schools and GP surgeries.

- A. There are some policies on schools (for example on requirements for School Travel Plans) but mostly these issues of this does not fall within the remit of the Neighbourhood Plan – such as a cap on student rolls. “
- B. For more guidance on this see advice from Locality [here](#):

2) Does the plan explicitly endorse or attempt to block the TFL cycle Superhighway 11 through the area?

- A. Neighbourhood Plans may only refer to planning permissions sought in the area and the Cycle Superhighway is outside the Neighbourhood Area
- B. In addition, the current judgement on Cycle Superhighways is that they are "works of improvement" and do not need planning permission. This was established in court a case in January 2016 between the Licensed Taxi Drivers' Association and TfL.
- C. The draft plan as it stands seeks contributions towards cycling provisions from developers – but not the construction of them (this would not be possible in a Neighbourhood Plan anyway.) It holds no position on the Cycle Superhighway itself. We do not believe that the draft plan could be taken to influence the Cycle Superhighway one way or another.

3) Q: Why is the Basements policy not outlined?

- A. The reasons and intentions behind the Basements Policy have been outlined in line with decisions reached during earlier workshops and consultations. They are as outlined in the Neighbourhood Forum's Vision and Objectives that
 - i) *'The Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood area is situated in Claygate Member and Bagshot Formation in which aquifers are present. Basement excavation causes irreversible change to the water pressures in the ground. Basement development beyond the building footprint results in loss of green space, endangers trees and can exacerbate surface water flooding.'*

Create Streets Ltd company number: 08332263

Comments received by email to RedFrog

Queries Arising from 13 June Presentation by Create Streets.

Affordable housing

The basis for the allocation of 50% affordable housing was queried. It was suggested that such a high proportion for affordable housing would lead to buildings of greater bulk and scale.

Community facilities

I think it was questioned whether Hampstead School of Art is in fact a community facility (or is it a private school?).

Schools

Can we introduce a cap on pupil rolls for the two schools in the Forum area. [NM comment: this is likely to be inconsistent with the needs of a growing population. St. Luke's School has made a very strong case for additional school places].

Could we also have a Policy requiring School Travel Plans to be enforced.

Construction management plans

The Policy on Construction Management Plans should include a provision for the developer to allocate a sum of money, to be used for the professional supervision of the CMP on behalf of affected residents.

Property "refurbishment" and individual householders

Would the draft Policies make it more difficult for individual householders to gain planning permission?

At what point will the requirements for a Tree and Hedge Survey, Design and Access Statements, reversal of front garden loss etc. be triggered?

[NM comment: My suggestion would be that the requirement for a tree and hedge survey and a design and access statement should be triggered by applications for extensions, crossovers and garden buildings.]

Cycle Superhighway 11

The Policy on Cycling should not provide TfL or Camden with ammunition to argue that the Plan supports the introduction of CS11, as residents are concerned that CS11 would increase commuter rat-running.

Decluttering policy

Is it possible to include a policy on decluttering of street furniture. [NM comment: But residents want new benches and provision of Conservation Area signage].

Mobile telephone equipment

Need to introduce a Policy on this.

NOI comment: must anticipate the introduction of 5G mobile telephony, where local authorities develop mesh networks, utilising street furniture.

Claire Gasson re D&A Statements: 14.6.16 by email

It is already complicated and expensive to submit a planning application with D & A statements, arboriculturalist reports, flood risk assessments, construction management plans etc. If you seek, with very worthwhile intentions, to add to the reports associated with planning applications, perhaps there could be clear guidance as to when these would and when they would not be required. At what point does a proposed scheme trigger the need for them, so they are only asked for when relevant.

Secondly, I am interested in how your design code could apply to all the different types and styles of buildings in our area and how it would impact prospective new building. I would very much like to give you feedback of what is produced by Aecom from the point of view of someone who might use the guide, if that would be acceptable to the forum.

NM reply: I don't think we are seeking to increase the burden on applicants, but rather to change the focus of these reports. At Hampstead Conservation Area Advisory Committee, for example, we often find that design and access statements serve merely to obfuscate so, instead of including all sort of irrelevant facts, we thought it would be more useful if the applicant / developer were instead to consider how the proposals might contribute to the enhancement of biodiversity, for example. Similarly, instead of an Arboricultural Assessment, which divides trees into A to E categories, the Assessment could instead refer to the trees' ability to support insect species and lichens.

The trigger for such assessments would be as at present, eg for property extensions, garden buildings and crossovers.

We shall appreciate your feedback on AECOM's design codes. The plan is that they will afford sufficient flexibility and be capable of tailoring by building type and Conservation Sub Area. We shall also be receiving feedback from the HCAAC Chairs, John and Mojgan (copied).

Please keep in touch and do continue to raise concerns.

■■■■■ reply: 15.6.16 by email

Thank you ■■■■■, your comments on D&A statements show how thoughtful you have all been with this.

Please let me know if I can be of help & I appreciate being given the opportunity to look at the design code. I am really interested to see what Aecom come back with.

■■■■■ email: 22.6.16.

Apologies that I cannot make 30th June

I think Siohban's point about including the necessity for all schools to have a travel plan is important.

■■■

■■■■■

Thank you for the feedback. We can only comment in relation to the three schools in our area (UCS, St. Margaret's and St. Luke's), and we do have this as a Policy and have even extended it to adult education. I wonder if it should also include churches and UCS Active?

Best wishes,

Nancy

Val Russell

13:47
(28
minutes
ago)

to me

Good idea to include UCS active, as they were only supposed to give membership to people within a mile, who could walk there, but I enquired about parking, and they told me it was no problem to park in the surrounding streets !!

Churches - I am not sure about - weddings, funerals.....'one offs'

Val

JONATHAN GESTETNER <JG@MRB-BOOKS.CO.UK>

11:51
23.6.1
6

Dear Nancy

Many thanks for the email. I notice that I was not included in the attendees. It was probably my fault. I may not have signed in.

I must say that I was very disappointed with the Create Streets presentation. Too many of their suggestions were very 'pedestrian' and any developer would run rings around their ideas. I hope that the final report can be very much sharper.

Kindest Regards

Jonathan

23.6.16 Dear [redacted]

I was sorry not to be able to stay for the AGM following the presentation, which I thought showed a lot of progress.

I don't know what formal status the neighbourhood plan will have in relation to Finchley Road - probably very little and in any case we could not do much in practice if TfL ignore it. However it may give us a bit of leverage.

I am afraid I shall be away on 14th July but I should like to continue to be involved with the Forum.

Best wishes

Irving

26.6.16 Email from [REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED], some corrections in red, comments, and also some questions. Let me ask the questions first for easy reference:

1. Open Land - I have noticed that various bits of land have been requested to be designated as open spaces. In the rear of 17 Frognal there is some woodland (3400 sq ft) between our garden and Hampstead Gate that the owner (unconnected with the flats in the main house) has been trying to sell as development land. All 7 trees in the woodland are protected but now having seen these other entries, I am wondering if it is worth submitting this also? However, it will be against the will of the owner, so not sure how that works. The owner bought the land in 1987 for £8k if that is relevant.

2. Flooding/ Basements - Has the Arup report included updates received from residents re soggy gardens that could indicate a spring/ underground river? I say this because the Arup document I saw does not include the lower part of Frognal but from the soggy gardens in lower Frognal it would seem the underground stream does continue from that shown shown in the document at Frognal/ Arkwright

Comments

Aspirational Sites – Hampstead Gate

Currently offices – a number of separate freeholds and leaseholds for the individual buildings within the site would complicate future purchase of the entire site by prospective developers.

Aspirational Site – r/o 166-200 Finchley Road – It is unclear if the buildings being referred to are fronting Finchley Road directly, or buildings immediately behind the buildings in Finchley Road - I think this should be clearer. It reads like you are talking about the buildings on the Finchley Road, but the title is 'rear/o'. Also it is immediately adjacent to Hampstead Gate and they share an access from Frognal, so I think there should be mutual references and they should also be placed in the plan so they are consecutive.

typo in photo caption:

Figure 6: Photo of (part of) Area behind 166-200 Finchley Road

R/o 27a Frognal

Two of the garages (the ones with blue stencilling on the doors) are the subject of a planning application (2015/6231/P). It is subject to local objection although H&H Soc seem ok with it apart from a couple of issues.

It is still undecided. The same owner also owns 29 Arkwright Road (in same alleyway) and applied to build 2 houses in a rear garden. This met with outrage and was withdrawn (2015/6218/P).

I am not sure of the protocol here but it seems not the right thing to reference a current application as an aspirational site. The other 4 garages have a separate owner and are not subject to any application, but all objections have mentioned tight access for construction traffic, increased use of alley and traffic etc.

I will leave that one with you!!

HD4 and HD5 have some repeated wording re noise/ vibration - dont know if intentional.

Page 28, typo in penultimate line within blue box

Page 29 typo in second line of blue box ('original')

There are a number of other typos, but these should be picked up by Spellcheck (the two above wont be as the text is in a pasted picture.)

Page 38, third para, third line should be 'exaggerated', not 'exasperated'

page 45 bottom para
word missing

As outlined in the Visions & Objectives Statement, Sub Area Three has a history as an important centre for tertiary education, the arts and culture, and **the**. **The** following key sites are have been or are still located therein Kidderopore Avenue: King's College London; Spiro Institute / London Jewish Cultural Centre; Hampstead

Overall I would say that there is some repetition of paragraphs, data, or points through the document. I dont know if some of the same things have to be repeated under different settings - I have mentioned a couple above, but there are more. I am happy to review any revision further if you wish.

Apart from those minor things it was a good articulation of the area's needs and I think it provides also some flexibility re high quality modern design which whilst is not always going to appeal to all is a feature of the area, we have to admit.

All best wishes,

[REDACTED]

27.6.16

<MICHAEL@HIBBS.COM>

Dear all

I think overall if the gardens were nominated green space and the woodland was not then this will be worse than at present as a developer could argue that the surrounding green area was protected and so an infill development should be permitted as nothing else would be built around it.

Additionally if we needed to have a public battle with signatures etc then this will make more people aware of the plot and therefore increase the chance of it being sold.

Whilst I will need to discuss with my fellow freehold director, my conclusion is to let sleeping dogs lie and do nothing however if you disagree then happy to have a discussion.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]

28.6.16

Hi [REDACTED]

Good morning,

I have read through the draft document and have a few observations:

1. It appears to do what it sets out to do. Namely to follow the local and national guidelines for a Neighbourhood Plan and then setting out the logical framework for the RedFrog plan.
2. There are a few typos and spelling corrections – nothing major (mostly down to cutting and pasting from different sources).

3. The only issue (as an enforceable document) that I see is that there is no clear distinction between a commercial developer and a residential (family) refurbishment. This may be intended, but the Plan seems aimed at commercial developers in most cases but has plenty of language aimed at residential family refurbishments. I wonder whether it would be more clear if a distinction is made between the two? For instance: the Plan as a whole can apply to commercial developers and then certain sections can be directed to apply to residential refurbishments as well.

4. I would echo some of the comments in the recent Forum meeting where residents suggested that a mix of architectural styles should be supported. The document does not do this, and rather uses the general language of "good development". Policy needs to be general (of course); and the subjective language of good development could be useful to the planners in deciding what to permit and what to reject. Equally, it could mean that it's too subjective and is arguable at every case. Tricky one this.

5. I was not able to amend the small policy text boxes – I think they are in the nature of photos.

6. I have a few more amendments to make. I will send my revised version to you this week.

All the best

Brian
29.6.16 I am so sorry that I could not make the '30th June option'. Please count my vote to re-elect [REDACTED] as chair. I have given [REDACTED] my suggestion on 'school travel plans' being noted.

Looking forward to next stage.
[REDACTED]

14.7.16 Informal public consultation on first Policies draft, held at JW3

3.21 This event was arranged to seek feedback on the first draft of the Neighbourhood Plan policies by Create Streets, which had been presented to the Neighbourhood Forum AGM on 13 June. The draft Policies were displayed on A3 posters, with Forum Committee members on hand to seek feedback and respond to queries.

Paper feedback forms received

BG1: Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure Comments

BG1 Policy Rear Gardens

Should we refer to swimming pools and garden buildings, as well as the impact of underground development on gardens and how they should be managed -as outbuildings are often used as a sneaky way to reduce green space

BG1 2: Front Boundary Treatments and Front Gardens

Photos - the collages do not work - suggest instead examples of good and bad.

Whilst I agree with the thinking I think we need to acknowledge that a level of hardstanding/carriageways was part of the design of some of the bigger houses. We should specify materials for hardstanding (eg permeable) and that there should be a degree of separation between the garden and the hardstanding e.g. a green strip to enable run off



Where there is hardstanding - cars should not be parked in front of the house as it spoils the setting.


Can we require people to improve poor hardstanding as part of a larger planning applications

There is no mention of light wells or the impact of driveways on pavements

and street trees

Do we need to say anything about bins and rubbish storage as that has changed over the years and the size of bins and number can be unsightly

How about front paths and the materials used? Height and material of gates...



acceptable light well

BG1: 3

The blurb is very generic and instead should reflect the history of buildings and trees in RedFrog for example many roads names reflect the existence of historic trees

eg Templewood
Oakhill
Kidderpore Avenue

Can we mention veteran trees and the diversity value of veterans

The number of trees felled is underestimated - we have lost over 100 trees from Platts, Clorane and Kidderpore alone

BG1: 3 Tree Planting

Should this also include tree maintenance - can we specify that felling and maintenance should follow best practice guidelines (too many tree surgeons who just hack away at trees)

Replanting - should reflect the trees that would have originally been used in an Edwardian Suburb (as per our NP objective)

BG1: 4 Light Pollution

This is also about retaining our historic architectural detail as well as bio-diversity

Suggest inclusion of the following

- Light fittings should be chosen to blend with the architectural detail and positioned in unobtrusive locations
- Garden lighting should be modest
- Floodlighting is a no no as is blue lighting (highly detrimental to wild life)
- Any illuminated signs including house numbers - are not in keeping



example of illuminated sign at Clorane Gardens



BG1: 5 Local Green Space

LG 6 Should green space at the front and to the side of Studholme included - think it is but the visual is confusing.

Do we need a specific policy on green spaces?

PD Comments - July 2016

Transport - ST1

Other Neighbourhood Plans (eg Highgate) include specific policies on Transport which cover issues such as Parking, Dropped Kerbs and Crossings as well as the Movement of Heavy Goods.

We need to cover these in our own Neighbourhood plan.

The plan lacks an assessment of the current parking and transport issues which we know is a big concern for residents.

Commuter parking, rat runs, road rage and the impact of development on street parking all need to be addressed.

Example Highgate Policy TR3: Minimising the Impact of Traffic Arising from New Development

New development defined as significant in size (ten or more units in the case of residential units) will be expected to demonstrate the following:

1. Regarding movements to, from and within the site, including links to existing transport networks, proposals will be expected to make appropriate connections to highways and street spaces;
2. Where developments are likely to involve a significant number of visitors arriving and leaving in cars, taxis, goods deliveries and coaches, or it is a significant residential development, submitted proposals should show how these can be accommodated without harm. Proposals should provide information – including a parking survey (details in Appendix 2 on Forum website) – to indicate the availability of local parking. The likely impacts of the development on surrounding transport systems and the steps that will be taken to mitigate those impacts, for example using transport assessments and travel plans, can then be assessed against an agreed baseline; and
3. Developments should provide appropriate arrangements – where possible – within the site for pick-ups, drop offs and waiting areas for essential private vehicle users to ensure safe access for the site users, as well as other users around the site, and to reduce congestion.

Pavements and Pedestrians ST 3

The preamble to the Policy ST 3: Pavements and Pedestrians does not include an assessment of the current state of pavements in RedFrog - which is very variable and in some cases pedestrian and wheel chair access is difficult.

Pavements have deteriorated as a result of vehicle crossovers which have been completed in inconsistent and uneven paving (some of which is difficult to walk on). The size and number of these crossovers make walking challenging e.g. Ferncroft. In addition after basement work - pavements stones have not been restored e.g. Briardale Gardens photo below. This all has a detrimental impact on the streetscape.

The preamble does not include an assessment of street clutter or signage.

As lovely little walk ways are a feature of the area - should we not mention them - preserving them and the original street lighting. I suggest these are listed.

The policy could also include:

- Resisting off street parking which lowers the kerb and prevents pedestrians passing
- Replacement of paving stones in keeping with the street.
- or separate kerb and crossover policy (see Highgate example)

The policy application could include an audit of the current state of pavements with a plan to improve



Example of pavement in Briardale which has not been replaced following development

Example Highgate Policy TR5: Dropped Kerbs and Cross-overs

Where planning permission is required, planning applications for the provision of off-street parking accessible by dropped kerbs will not be supported in areas covered by a CPZ. They will only be supported where it can be demonstrated that:

- I. They are either not in areas of high parking stress or a CPZ, or they are in areas of high parking stress but will alleviate the demand for on-street parking through the provision of off-street parking for more than one existing dwelling; and
- II. They would not negatively impact on the character of the area, particularly conservation areas (such as through the proposed removal of character enhancers such as walls and trees); and
- III. They would not have a negative impact on pedestrian and highways safety; and
- IV. Appropriate on-site drainage, such as permeable surfaces, achieving run off rates which are no greater than the existing situation, and wherever possible are reduced, so as to mitigate against off-site flooding caused by run-off from off street parking schemes.

Enhancement to the Environment of the Finchley Road

There is no assessment of the current state of the Finchley Road and the businesses and homes that front onto it.

The Plan as a whole ignores the business that exist in RedFrog, and Policy LC 4 might be an opportunity to address this.

Policy LC 4: seems vague and non specific

e.g. Corporate retain signage must sensitively adapt to the streetscape - not sure what that means as the current streetscape is poor quality.

Do we need to be more specific for example

- Projecting Signs
- Sub dividing Glass Fronts
- External Street Shutters

What about residential development on the Finchley Road which has a high potential to be detrimental e.g. Barratt

Air Pollution

This policy is a mixture between Construction Management and Air Pollution. There needs to be a separate policy on Sustainable Construction Management which encompasses engagement with the community and neighbours as well as advanced sustainable construction measures such as off site construction.

Could the Air Pollution Policy be combined with Noise Vibration.

REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

GIVE US YOUR FEEDBACK

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We would welcome your feedback on the draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

These policies are designed to protect the RedFrog area from unsuitable development unfortunately, being a Conservation Area is no longer sufficient. This is an opportunity for residents and businesses within RedFrog to help shape the future development and growth of our neighbourhood.

Please use this form to give us **ALL** your views, the things you like, anything you think that should be changed and anything we should include. We will use your feedback to inform the RedFrog Plan.

Please tell us about you

Yo

ae

London NW3 7PB

Postcode

NW3

Indians - can

1

Policy HD1: Housing and Development

I support the policy

Policy HD2: Design Codes for Development Sites

Policy HD3: Design and Access Statements

+ DAS: In principle I support, however.
DAS: for small developments such requirements
may be excessive

Policy HD4: Air Pollution

Clearer air in Ldn is most
important

Policy BG1 2: Green Habitat Corridors and Connectivity

Policy CF2: New community Facilities to support Tertiary Education, Culture and home working

Policy CF3: Community Infrastructure Levy Priorities

Policy ST1: Sustainable Transport

Policy ST2 Cycling

*I support cycling routes in residential areas,
including on small roads*

Policy ST3: Pavement and Pedestrians

THANK YOU FOR YOUR FEEDBACK PLEASE LEAVE THIS
FORM ON YOUR WAY OUT

REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

GIVE US YOUR FEEDBACK

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We would welcome your feedback on the draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

These policies are designed to protect the RedFrog area from unsuitable development unfortunately, being a Conservation Area is no longer sufficient. This is an opportunity for residents and businesses within RedFrog to help shape the future development and growth of our neighbourhood.

Please use this form to give us **ALL** your views, the things you like, anything you think that should be changed and anything we should include. We will use your feedback to inform the RedFrog Plan.

Please tell us about you

Your Full Name	Your Full Address 1 PLATTS LANE
Your Email	Postcode NW3 7NL

Policy BG1 2: Front Boundary Treatments and Front Gardens

Policy BG1 3: Tree Planting

Policy BG1 4: Light Pollution

Policy BG1 5 Local Green Spaces

POLICY B1: Basements

we are currently having to live with a Basement conversion at 45 Platts. It commenced in Feb - scheduled to finish Oct. This is the second time this conversion has been done. Previous owner did his conversion a couple of years ago which took nearly a year to complete. Sold house and new owner is now making it larger. I have filmed and recorded noise, and garden council noise dept. have sent me forms and have been helpful. we cannot even open our windows because of noise and dust. Interestingly, local owners of bonnet/lawyers - Says it all. They don't care PTO.

we have a ~~the~~ Petition signed by Platts
have residents about the noise, mess
and lorries taking up parking spaces

REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

GIVE US YOUR FEEDBACK

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We would welcome your feedback on the draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

These policies are designed to protect the RedFrog area from unsuitable development unfortunately, being a Conservation Area is no longer sufficient. This is an opportunity for residents and businesses within RedFrog to help shape the future development and growth of our neighbourhood.

Please use this form to give us **ALL** your views, the things you like, anything you think that should be changed and anything we should include. We will use your feedback to inform the RedFrog Plan.

Please tell us about you

Your Full Name m r	Your Full Address 111-70
Your Email	Postcode

Policy HD1: Housing and Development



Policy HD2: Design Codes for Development Sites

Policy HD3: Design and Access Statements



Policy HD4: Air Pollution



Policy BG1 2: Green Habitat Corridors and Connectivity

Policy BG1 2: Front Boundary Treatments and Front Gardens

Policy BG1 3: Tree Planting

Policy BG1 4: Light Pollution

Policy BG1 5 Local Green Spaces

POLICY B1: Basements

All very desirable ✓

Policy LC1: Presumption Against Demolition

✓ Agree with all proposals.

Policy LC2: Infill extension Design Code

Policy LC3: Local Listing

Policy LC4: Enhancement to the environment of the Finchley Road

✓ All absolutely essential

Policy CF1: Protecting Community Facilities

✓ Agree with all

RedFrog Neighbourhood Forum - Feedback on Draft Plan Policies

Policy CF2: New community Facilities to support Tertiary Education, Culture and home working

U3A.

Serviced office provision for home workers

Policy CF3: Community Infrastructure Levy Priorities

Policy ST1: Sustainable Transport

✓

Policy ST2 Cycling

✓ Not at the expense of all traffic.
no bus lane/cyclists only on Finchley Road.

Policy ST3: Pavement and Pedestrians

✓ Agreed.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR FEEDBACK PLEASE LEAVE THIS
FORM ON YOUR WAY OUT

REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

GIVE US YOUR FEEDBACK

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We would welcome your feedback on the draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

These policies are designed to protect the RedFrog area from unsuitable development unfortunately, being a Conservation Area is no longer sufficient. This is an opportunity for residents and businesses within RedFrog to help shape the future development and growth of our neighbourhood.

Please use this form to give us **ALL** your views, the things you like, anything you think that should be changed and anything we should include. We will use your feedback to inform the RedFrog Plan.

Please tell us about you

Your Full Name	Y Full Address Furneridge Ave London NW3 7P14
Your Email	Postcode NW3 7P14

Policy HD1: Housing and Development

Too much development.
The Mt Avel or Keshypore should
be the last one.

Policy HD2: Design Codes for Development Sites

Policy HD3: Design and Access Statements

Policy HD4: Air Pollution

Air pollution must be reduced. I've been here since 1981
and the dust & soot accumulations are so much
worse. My doctor says it's bad for me. Nose mucus
getting soot in it from waiting by Fuchley Rd bus stops.

Policy BG1 2: Green Habitat Corridors and Connectivity

Keeps green corridors & connectivity. I have one
& I never use pesticide & I keep it natural for
for family & lots of wildlife.

Policy HD5 - pollution & noise

Very important. My daughter did badly on
her exams due to constant hammering at
#1 femeroft from 7AM - 7PM. She ~~still has~~
never had headaches until now. Work projected
for another year!

Policy BG1 2: Front Boundary Treatments and Front Gardens

Retain rear corridors - I have one & keep it wild for wild life & the fox family that lives there. My neighbour behind is also willing to keep hers.
Extend lease of tennis club, as it is green & peaceful & other spaces too, like water reservoir.

Policy BG1 3: Tree Planting

Please plant more trees. A tree was knocked down in front of 2 Fenwick + I've asked council to replace it, + 10 years have gone by + nothing after numerous requests.

Policy BG1 4: Light Pollution

Reduce neon lights, and avoid huge windows in redevelopment or development that reflects too much.

Policy BG1 5 Local Green Spaces

Please keep all green spaces. As more people move in, green space per person is shrinking so must keep all green areas which supports mental health. Thank you

POLICY B1: Basements

UNDER DEVELOPMENT

Policy LC1: Presumption Against Demolition

Too ~~are~~ much demolishing currently.
Too much disruption, rubble builders + traffic causing
too much congestion

Policy LC2: Infill extension Design Code

Keep terrain as is, beauty is the
variety

Policy LC3: Local Listing

Policy LC4: Enhancement to the environment of the Finchley Road

Very important. So much of Finchley Road is
ugly - doesn't put kids in a good mood going to school.
Beauty is inspiring + needed. I admire how the french
care about beauty of areas like this. Plant trees +
greenery on Finchley road for more oxygen, shade

Policy CF1: Protecting Community Facilities

I use the tennis club, St Luke's Church +
I would have loved ballet classes in neighbourhood
instead of commuting + polluting to faraway ballet
classes.

Policy CF2: New community Facilities to support Tertiary Education, Culture and home working

Please extend lease on Tennis Club. It's a lovely calm green space for that our family uses to relax. Keep the reservoir a green area. Add ballet classes. I attend St Lukes, so keep it, please -

Policy CF3: Community Infrastructure Levy Priorities

Policy ST1: Sustainable Transport

Policy ST2 Cycling

Policy ST3: Pavement and Pedestrians

THANK YOU FOR YOUR FEEDBACK PLEASE LEAVE THIS FORM ON YOUR WAY OUT

REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

GIVE US YOUR FEEDBACK

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We would welcome your feedback on the draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

These policies are designed to protect the RedFrog area from unsuitable development unfortunately, being a Conservation Area is no longer sufficient. This is an opportunity for residents and businesses within RedFrog to help shape the future development and growth of our neighbourhood.

Please use this form to give us **ALL** your views, the things you like, anything you think that should be changed and anything we should include. We will use your feedback to inform the RedFrog Plan.

Please tell us about you

Your Full Name	Your Full Address 1 FROGNAL LONDON NW3 6AR
Your Email you have a beady	Postcode

Policy HD1: Housing and Development

Agreed — I note that the full policy also includes provision ~~of~~ for quality modern design which is good as well, as this is also a tradition in this area

Policy HD2: Design Codes for Development Sites

Policy HD3: Design and Access Statements

Surveys for Wildlife etc. v. important

Policy HD4: Air Pollution

Fully agreed — Vibration/noise during construction is a major nuisance.

Policy BG1 2: Green Habitat Corridors and Connectivity

Comprehensive mapping of green areas, watercourses, bat routes etc. Nature is key to the livability of the area and should be preserved + enhanced.

Policy BG1 2: Front Boundary Treatments and Front Gardens

agreed -

Policy BG1 3: Tree Planting

u

Policy BG1 4: Light Pollution

u

Policy BG1 5 Local Green Spaces

u

all key to
the attractiveness
of the area.

POLICY B1: Basements

DEVELOPERS!

Policy LC1: Presumption Against Demolition

Fully agreed - ~~the~~ the existing stock is what makes the area attractive.

Policy LC2: Infill extension Design Code

Policy LC3: Local Listing

Policy LC4: Enhancement to the environment of the Finchley Road

Agreed. Finchley Road shopfronts are very inconsistent at present

Policy CF1: Protecting Community Facilities

agreed - these facilities are valued.

RedFrog Neighbourhood Forum - Feedback on Draft Plan Policies

Policy CF2: New community Facilities to support Tertiary Education, Culture and home working

Yes, a relatively small scale venue that people could walk to would be good.

Policy CF3: Community Infrastructure Levy Priorities

Agreed Finchley Road environment needs improvement & more trees needed.

Policy ST1: Sustainable Transport

Policy ST2 Cycling

all agreed.

Policy ST3: Pavement and Pedestrians

THANK YOU FOR YOUR FEEDBACK PLEASE LEAVE THIS FORM ON YOUR WAY OUT

REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

GIVE US YOUR FEEDBACK

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We would welcome your feedback on the draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

These policies are designed to protect the RedFrog area from unsuitable development unfortunately, being a Conservation Area is no longer sufficient. This is an opportunity for residents and businesses within RedFrog to help shape the future development and growth of our neighbourhood.

Please use this form to give us **ALL** your views, the things you like, anything you think that should be changed and anything we should include. We will use your feedback to inform the RedFrog Plan.

Please tell us about you

Your Full Name L	Your Full Address Frogwell
Your Email	Postcode NW3 6AR

Policy HD1: Housing and Development

New developments should take into consideration of infrastructure capacity, esp road, parking and pollution etc. ~~Trees~~ Trees and gardens should be protected. Height of new buildgs should not spoil the existing sky line.

Policy HD2: Design Codes for Development Sites

redevelopments, new developments should ~~respect~~ try to protect the ^{local} ambience, eg. height, volume, and appearance etc.

Policy HD3: Design and Access Statements

~~Should~~ helpful to include more details in planning applications.

Policy HD4: Air Pollution

Air pollution is linked with density of housing. EV ~~should~~ can alleviate the problem to a certain degree.

Policy BG1 2: Green Habitat Corridors and Connectivity

Trees should be protected, gardens be maintained. opportunistic development of garden space should be limited as it'll fundamentally change the character of local life.

Policy BG1 2: Front Boundary Treatments and Front Gardens

Policy BG1 3: Tree Planting

Policy BG1 4: Light Pollution

glass surface can ~~cause~~ be a hazard.

Policy BG1 5 Local Green Spaces

very important part of local community, therefore should be protected, from over-development.

POLICY B1: Basements

REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

GIVE US YOUR FEEDBACK

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We would welcome your feedback on the draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

These policies are designed to protect the RedFrog area from unsuitable development unfortunately, being a Conservation Area is no longer sufficient. This is an opportunity for residents and businesses within RedFrog to help shape the future development and growth of our neighbourhood.

Please use this form to give us **ALL** your views, the things you like, anything you think that should be changed and anything we should include. We will use your feedback to inform the RedFrog Plan.

Please tell us about you

Your Full Name <input type="text"/>	Your Full Address <input type="text"/> - Gardens
Your Email <input type="text"/>	Postcode 75R

Thank you for your excellent work.

Policy HD1: Housing and Development

I presume this is already a lost cause, but Mount Aerial's determination to replace demolished buildings with higher ones aggravates me, because

Policy HD2: Design Codes for Development Sites

of the loss of light and the destruction of the 'terraced' roof skyline.

Policy HD3: Design and Access Statements

Policy HD4: Air Pollution

Policy BG1 2: Green Habitat Corridors and Connectivity

Policy BG1 2: Front Boundary Treatments and Front Gardens

How can we stop, especially on the Finchley Road, the 'overhanging' of long vehicles, sometimes forcing pedestrians into the road?

Policy BG1 3: Tree Planting

Policy BG1 4: Light Pollution

Policy BG1 5 Local Green Spaces

POLICY B1: Basements

Policy LC1: Presumption Against Demolition

Policy LC2: Infill extension Design Code

Policy LC3: Local Listing

Policy LC4: Enhancement to the environment of the Finchley Road

I wholeheartedly commend your efforts to make safer for pedestrians the junctions of Frogmal Lane and Arbwright Road with Finchley Road

Policy CF1: Protecting Community Facilities

(maybe this belongs in ST3?)

REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

GIVE US YOUR FEEDBACK

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We would welcome your feedback on the draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

These policies are designed to protect the RedFrog area from unsuitable development unfortunately, being a Conservation Area is no longer sufficient. This is an opportunity for residents and businesses within RedFrog to help shape the future development and growth of our neighbourhood.

Please use this form to give us **ALL** your views, the things you like, anything you think that should be changed and anything we should include. We will use your feedback to inform the RedFrog Plan.

Please tell us about you

Your Full Name	Your Full Address AVENUE
Your Email	Postcode NW3 7QB

REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

GIVE US YOUR FEEDBACK

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We would welcome your feedback on the draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

These policies are designed to protect the RedFrog area from unsuitable development unfortunately, being a Conservation Area is no longer sufficient. This is an opportunity for residents and businesses within RedFrog to help shape the future development and growth of our neighbourhood.

Please use this form to give us **ALL** your views, the things you like, anything you think that should be changed and anything we should include. We will use your feedback to inform the RedFrog Plan.

Please tell us about you

Your Full Name	Your Full Address <i>Kidderpool Avenue Nett</i>
Your Email <i>1.com</i>	Postcode <i>NW3 7 SJ</i>

Policy HD1: Housing and Development

Unfortunately it seems that nobody has the power to prevent or change developments which can cause chaos & spoil our environment

Policy HD2: Design Codes for Development Sites

All the aims are very laudable, but can they be put into practice?

Policy HD3: Design and Access Statements

Policy HD4: Air Pollution

Policy BG1 2: Green Habitat Corridors and Connectivity

REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

GIVE US YOUR FEEDBACK

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We would welcome your feedback on the draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

These policies are designed to protect the RedFrog area from unsuitable development unfortunately, being a Conservation Area is no longer sufficient. This is an opportunity for residents and businesses within RedFrog to help shape the future development and growth of our neighbourhood.

Please use this form to give us **ALL** your views, the things you like, anything you think that should be changed and anything we should include. We will use your feedback to inform the RedFrog Plan.

Please tell us about you

	Your Full Address LONDON SDNS
Your Email #	Postcode

Policy HD1: Housing and Development

Policy HD2: Design Codes for Development Sites

Policy HD3: Design and Access Statements

Policy HD4: Air Pollution

*They need to plant a lot to get our oxygen back.
We have had a lot of building recently - with a lot
of noise pollution as well.*

Policy BG1 2: Green Habitat Corridors and Connectivity

*I would be interested in any get together that are
planned in the future. I was asked if I would be
interested, & I am.*

Policy BG1 2: Front Boundary Treatments and Front Gardens

We have already a front garden planted up, & over the ducken area is a shallow flower bed which my neighbour upstairs looks after. I have the back garden full of flowers, and mostly the butterflies stay away. I like the cold planting around trees one sometimes sees near Hayshead H station

Policy BG1 3: Tree Planting

I would like as many trees as possible. Since the Kings College is being covered over with houses - we need some oxygenating plants to allow us to breathe.

Policy BG1 4: Light Pollution

Policy BG1 5 Local Green Spaces

They are getting fewer & fewer - Kings College has gone the Westfield has a bit but not as much as before all those flats were built, (mostly, according to a scumbler friend of mine), just plankboard stuck onto the

POLICY B1: Basements

space - he had to build bookshelves, & make them free standing, or they'd bring the walls down

Policy LC1: Presumption Against Demolition

There is a lot of demolition going on. Unfortunately, what is substituted is far uglier than what was demolished. I am not looking forward to the work at the end of my garden across the Croftway, knocking down the old student quarters, & rebuilding something horrible. I hope that I may be able to use the Croftway

Policy LC2: Infill extension Design Code

Policy LC3: Local Listing

Policy LC4: Enhancement to the environment of the Finchley Road

I would like to know how it has been enhanced. It was suggested at a meeting that the southern part should have 3 lanes, not a larger bus lane so cyclists could overtake - and only 2 lanes going north & home - No one seemed to think that those who went South, might want to come back!

Policy CF1: Protecting Community Facilities

Policy CF2: New community Facilities to support Tertiary Education, Culture and home working

What education - Merfield College was a great language school, possibly the best in the UK, but not when it became Kings - now it had been sold off, for profit, to make our lives miserable for 2 1/2 years.

Policy CF3: Community Infrastructure Levy Priorities

I would like to do a survey to see how much extra petrol we will have to use with the road closures etc - Over 2 1/2 years it might add up to quite a sum, & then the Company can reimburse us out of their profits -

Policy ST1: Sustainable Transport

Policy ST2 Cycling

Policy ST3: Pavement and Pedestrians

I have a sprained ankle & I have to keep my eyes on the pavement, which are badly uneven - Also the roads are full of potholes - I know we've had a lot of rain, but no one has repaired them (It's ^{now} building too important?)

THANK YOU FOR YOUR FEEDBACK PLEASE LEAVE THIS FORM ON YOUR WAY OUT

REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

GIVE US YOUR FEEDBACK

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We would welcome your feedback on the draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

These policies are designed to protect the RedFrog area from unsuitable development unfortunately, being a Conservation Area is no longer sufficient. This is an opportunity for residents and businesses within RedFrog to help shape the future development and growth of our neighbourhood.

Please use this form to give us **ALL** your views, the things you like, anything you think that should be changed and anything we should include. We will use your feedback to inform the RedFrog Plan.

Please tell us about you

Your Full Name	Your Full Address
	Postcode NW3 7DE

Policy HD1: Housing and Development

Agree with the proposal and the need to protect green spaces and preserving the Red Frog character.

Policy HD2: Design Codes for Development Sites

Policy HD3: Design and Access Statements

Policy HD4: Air Pollution

Strongly agree that CMPs will be required to ensure air pollution and noise is minimised

Policy BG1 2: Green Habitat Corridors and Connectivity

Policy BG1 2: Front Boundary Treatments and Front Gardens

Strongly agree with this proposal
- reinstating front gardens + original features.

Policy BG1 3: Tree Planting

Strongly agree with this proposal.

Policy BG1 4: Light Pollution

Agree with this proposal

Policy BG1 5 Local Green Spaces

Strongly agree with this proposal to protect and improve the green spaces

POLICY B1: Basements

DEAD DEVELOPMENT

Policy LC1: Presumption Against Demolition

Strongly agree with the proposal

Policy LC2: Infill extension Design Code

Policy LC3: Local Listing

x **Policy LC4: Enhancement to the environment of the Finchley Road** *Agree with the proposed*
The area around Finchley Road needs tidying up
Agree with the proposed Finchley Road design
code based on existing high quality shop fronts

Policy CF1: Protecting Community Facilities

Agree with the proposal

Policy CF2: New community Facilities to support Tertiary Education, Culture and home working

Policy CF3: Community Infrastructure Levy Priorities

Policy ST1: Sustainable Transport

Charging points and dedicated parking spaces for electric cars would encourage the use of greener transport.
Agree with this proposal

Policy ST2 Cycling

Well designated cycle routes + provision of bicycle stands will encourage use of greener mode of transportation.
Agree with the proposal

Policy ST3: Pavement and Pedestrians

Agree with the proposal
Strongly agree with the need for safer pedestrian crossings particularly at either end of Froggat Lane + across Finchley Road to Froggat lane, which today are not very safe for pedestrians.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR FEEDBACK PLEASE LEAVE THIS FORM ON YOUR WAY OUT

3.22 Comment forms were available, in addition to an online survey, yielding 19 written responses, six online responses and considerable discussion.

Comments received by online survey

SurveyMonkey Analyze - Redington Froggal - Draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies 25/10/2016, 15:40

Notify others when new results come in for this survey. [Notify others now](#)

Redington Froggal - Draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies

[SUMMARY](#) | [DESIGN SURVEY](#) | [PREVIEW & SCORE](#) | [COLLECT RESPONSES](#)

[ANALYZE RESULTS](#) | [PRESENT RESULTS](#) **NEW!**

CURRENT VIEW

+ FILTER + COMPARE + SHOW

No rules applied

Rules allow you to FILTER, COMPARE and SHOW results to see trends and patterns.

Learn more >

SAVED VIEWS (1)

EXPORTS (1)

PDF: Survey Monkey draft ...

+ New Export

SHARED DATA

RESPONDENTS: 6 of 6 SAVE AS

[QUESTION SUMMARIES](#) | [DATA TRENDS](#) | [INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES](#)

Page 1: Comments on Draft Policies

Q1 Save As

Policy HD 1: Housing and Development

Answered: 4 Skipped: 2

RESPONSES (4) [WORD CLOUD](#) [TAGS \(0\)](#)

Showing 4 responses

This section must make a distinction between commercial developments and private housing, it currently does not.

7/26/2016 10:58 PM View respondent's answers Add tags

I note that in two documents that the area is being described as an Edwardian suburb. I am living in a late Victorian house, and there are many properties from this period as well from after the Edwardian period. Please celebrate this diversity. I also see that you are proposing 50% affordable housing in new developments. I note that at the meeting I attended at St Andrew's church this did not seem to be very popular. I have not been able to access the whole document to see what size of development this is appropriate for, but wonder how this number was arrived at, and consider this high by most planning standards.

7/21/2016 3:24 PM View respondent's answers Add tags

No high rise development.

7/17/2016 12:36 PM View respondent's answers Add tags

Q2 Save As

Policy HD 2: Design Code for Development Site

Answered: 4 Skipped: 2

RESPONSES (4) [WORD CLOUD](#) [TAGS \(0\)](#)

https://www.surveymonkey.com/analyze/Wa6DW2QHvmsXhB_2FMC95ubB0JfT2LMPiM_2FmNwVUCOCmsLSZuND73YLJLcH2_2FvSDR Page 1 of 16

REDINGTONFROGNAL NEIGHBOURHOODFORUM

GIVE US YOUR FEEDBACK

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We would welcome your feedback on the draft Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

These policies are designed to protect the RedFrog area from unsuitable development unfortunately, being a Conservation Area is no longer sufficient. This is an opportunity for residents and businesses within RedFrog to help shape the future development and growth of our neighbourhood.

Please use this form to give us **ALL** your views; the things you like, anything you think that should be changed and anything we should include. We will use your feedback to inform the RedFrog Plan.

Please tell us about you

Your Full Name	Your Full Address
Your Email	Postcode

RedFrog Neighbourhood Forum - Feedback on Draft Plan Policies

Policy HD1: Housing and Development

Policy HD2: Design Codes for Development Sites

Policy HD3: Design and Access Statements

Policy HD4: Air Pollution

Policy BG1 2: Green Habitat Corridors and Connectivity

2

RedFrog Neighbourhood Forum - Feedback on Draft Plan Policies

Policy BG1 2: Front Boundary Treatments and Front Gardens

Policy BG1 3: Tree Planting

Policy BG1 4: Light Pollution

Policy BG1 5 Local Green Spaces

POLICY B1: Basements

UNDER DEVELOPMENT

3

RedFrog Neighbourhood Forum - Feedback on Draft Plan Policies

Policy LC1: Presumption Against Demolition

Policy LC2: Infill extension Design Code

Policy LC3: Local Listing

Policy LC4: Enhancement to the environment of the Finchley Road

Policy CF1: Protecting Community Facilities

4

FiedFrog Neighbourhood Forum - Feedback on Draft Plan Policies

Policy CF2: New community Facilities to support Tertiary Education, Culture and home working

Policy CF3: Community Infrastructures Levy Priorities


Policy ST1: Sustainable Transport

Policy ST2 Cycling

Policy ST3: Pavement and Pedestrians

THANK YOU FOR YOUR FEEDBACK PLEASE LEAVE THIS FORM ON YOUR WAY OUT





4. Vision and Objectives Statement and Survey, February to December 2015

4.1 The findings of the initial survey of May 2014 were used to develop the Vision and Objectives Statement. The draft Vision and Objectives Statement was reviewed by [redacted] by, Planning Officer, at a meeting at Camden's offices on 18.11.14. Comments were provided on each of the Objectives.

4.2 The Vision and Objectives Statement and Survey were formally launched at a public meeting on 15 March 2015, held at University College School. Flyers were hand-delivered through c. 2,000 letterboxes in time for the 15 March 2015 meeting. Laminated posters advertised the meeting on all lampposts.

4.3 Almost 50 residents and local businesses attended the discussion with free wine, quiche, tortilla and olives.



**Redington Frog Conservation
Neighbourhood Forum**

**Invites you to a Forum meeting to discuss
Visions and Objectives for our
Neighbourhood Plan area (see map on reverse)**

When: SUNDAY March 15th at 4pm

Where: UCS Refectory, Frogna, Hampstead, NW36XH

We shall be circulating a brochure outlining some visions and objectives to be considered at the meeting.

Free refreshments available!


Please join us and provide your feedback

redfrogemail@gmail.com

<http://redfroghampsteadneighbourhood.weebly.com>

4.4 The Vision and Objectives Survey was wide-ranging, posing 49 questions and running for 11 months in 2015. It achieved 184 responses and, on the basis of 2,000 households, this equates to a response rate of approximately 10%. The Forum therefore were able to accept that the responses represented the views of the community and could be used them to inform policy drafting.

4.5 The Forum's Vision and Objectives received a very high level of support, with 95% of respondents expressing support.



4.6 The survey also gained coverage in the local newspaper, the *Ham & High* newspaper, leading to five new Forum members.

4 H&H Series, Thursday August 6, 2015

NEWS

Have a say on Frognal area

Residents in the Frognal and Fitzjohns area of Hampstead are being urged to give their views on how the neighbourhood should look in the future.

The Redington and Frognal Association (RedFrog) are formulating a development plan for the area and have set up an online survey which will close at the end of the month.

RedFrog chairman Rupert McNeil said only ten per cent of residents had responded so far and called for more to give their views on policies.

The survey is at www.surveymonkey.com/s/RedFrog

More information is at: www.redfroghampstead.org.

4.7 Comments received were addressed and a revised version was finalised, by 20.2.15, with the help of a graphic designer. A Neighbourhood Plan Objectives questionnaire was developed to accompany the survey. After piloting, the statement and survey were circulated by email to all on the membership database and delivered by hand to all 2,000 letterboxes within the Redington Froggnal area.

a case to resist such conversions. Retaining family flats will help maintain the mix of housing and family types.

Community space is required to meet the needs of: the large-scale residential developments under construction on Finchley Road and in Kidderpore Avenue; the growth of the elderly population and the population of home workers. The Neighbourhood Plan will seek to meet the need for a civic community facility through the designation of Kidderpore Hall (the White House) in Kidderpore Avenue as a civic community facility.

Business Growth

The area has excellent transport links and some of the fastest broadband in the UK and is a suitable environment for home working.

The Neighbourhood Plan will seek to support home working, through the development of a community facility with meeting space, and business growth by driving Finchley Road footfall through greening measures and a cleaner street.

Many properties in the section of Finchley Road between Arkwright Road and Froggnal are characterised by retail or service use at ground-floor level. Through the measures above, enhancements will be sought to the physical environment, where possible.

**Objective 5
Redington Froggnal Conservation Area as Centre for Tertiary Education, the Arts and Culture**

Sub Area Three has a history as an important centre for tertiary education, the Arts and culture: King's College London; Queen Mary and Westfield College; Hampstead School of Art; Craxton Studios in Kidderpore Avenue. Further examples are found in Froggnal Lane: Florian Leonhard Fine Violins; and in Arkwright Road: Camden Arts Centre.

These are important and established community facilities and are consistent with the cultural interests of residents. They offer the opportunity to maintain the area's tradition of adult education.

Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood Plan will seek to replace any loss of this traditional use, to maintain a community balance and to promote the development of community space in a ward where home working is on the increase and where there are many elderly residents.

**Objective 6
Basement Excavation**

The Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood area is situated in Claygate Member and Bagshot Formation in which aquifers are present. Basement excavation causes irreversible change to the water pressures in the ground.

Basement development beyond the building footprint results in loss of green space, endangers trees and can exacerbate surface water flooding.

Basements will be required to be contained within the footprint of the original dwelling, in order to avoid garden take-up. They are to be no more than one storey deep (i.e. the excavation is to extend less than 3.5 metres below ground-floor level), in order to minimise interference with the water table.

Pavements should not be removed from use for more than a few weeks.

Projects to be Undertaken

- 1) The designation of Kidderpore Hall (the white building in Kidderpore Avenue) as a civic community facility to help address the unmet and growing need for a community centre (like Burgh House).
- 2) A project to mark the courses of the underground rivers Westbourne, and Tyburn will be examined.
- 3) Develop a register of street trees and trees with TPOs.

PLEASE COMPLETE OUR QUESTIONNAIRE
online (before 31 August 2015) at:
<https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/RedFrog>
Please also come along to our **Forum meeting on:**
SUNDAY 15 March from 4 pm until 6 pm, at
University College School Refectory, Froggnal, London NW3 6XH



Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood Development Plan

The Localism Act 2011 grants local communities the right to guide and shape development in their areas through the formation of a Neighbourhood Forum and the creation of a Neighbourhood Plan. These must accord with Camden's Local Development Framework, national planning policy and the London Plan. If our Plan is successfully adopted, it will be used alongside Camden's own plans to assess planning applications in the Redington Froggnal Conservation Neighbourhood Area.

Vision & Objectives Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood Area

Our vision is a set of principles developed by Redfrog residents which help Camden implement current policy and inform and help change future policy. We seek a future for the Redfrog area which preserves its green character and continues to serve as an area available to a wide range of family types and ages who live here rather than invest here.



**Objective 1
PRESERVE AND ENHANCE REDFROG CHARACTERISTICS**

The Neighbourhood Plan will adopt design and conservation principles to preserve and enhance the characteristics of this green and 'picturesque Edwardian suburb' with a mixed range of population in terms of socio-economic and age groups.

The Neighbourhood Plan will incorporate a presumption against demolition. It is a condition that any new buildings are to respect existing rooflines, i.e. not greater than 3-4 storeys in height, with gaps between neighbouring buildings, with front and rear gardens and with a footprint which does not exceed the one it is replacing.



A 'green design' policy for front and rear gardens will see gardens maintained as green, soft landscaped surfaces. Side gardens are required. In order to preserve gaps between buildings and views to rear gardens and trees.

Front boundary treatments of low retaining walls and hedges are to be retained and, where lost, reinstated as the opportunity arises.

On-street residents' parking space is to be retained, with no further losses to crossovers and front garden parking. New development is to be car-free, in line with Camden policy elsewhere in the borough, and a project to lobby for increased car club places will be launched.



**Objective 2
GREENERY AND NEW, GREEN PUBLIC REALM**

The gardens, trees and hedges within Redington Froggnal constitute an important green lung, for the health and wellbeing of residents. They also provide habitat for wildlife and form an important green corridor at ground and tree canopy level used by wildlife, linking Hampstead Cemetery and the King's College Site of Importance for Nature Conservation with Hampstead Heath.

The area has suffered some loss of tree cover, private garden space and hedges over recent years. The replacement of green space by hard surface, in an area characterised by hills and bends, inevitably causes surface water run-off problems, places strain on the drainage system, creates blockages and reduces water availability for gardens.

There will be a presumption against conversion of front gardens to parking space.

The Neighbourhood Plan will require the retention of soft surfacing and trees, taking all opportunities to expand the area of soft surfacing and amount of tree cover.

Private gardens, open spaces, trees and veteran trees are to be retained, including those which form part of a habitat corridor, back-to-back, between rear gardens. A project will be launched to consider a succession-planting programme for the areas trees (private and street) and hedges, to ensure that greenery is retained.

These measures will aid biodiversity, help maintain a carbon sink, mitigate air pollution and surface water run-off, provide cooling and aid health and wellbeing.

A high quality and green public realm is important to wellbeing and to promote a sense of civic pride. This will be achieved by retaining existing open space for the benefit of all residents, by taking opportunities to green neglected areas, and by developing new locally protected green space, including woodland and pocket parks. The existing Borough Grade II Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (behind Kidderpore Hall in Kidderpore Avenue) is to be preserved and enhanced for the benefit of wildlife and biodiversity and designated as locally protected green space.

Where the width allows, pavements will incorporate: grass / wildflower verges and shrubbery; and the provision of benches, particularly on streets with a steep gradient.

Additional locally protected green space is to include West Heath Lawn Tennis Club and a community-supported nature reserve, on the site of the covered water reservoir in Platts Lane, in the event that the reservoir should be declared redundant. The reservoir is situated on backland, surrounded by private gardens and the West Heath Lawn Tennis Club.



Civic pride is to be promoted through Conservation Area signage and wall plaques commemorating famous residents and architects.

**Objective 3
ENHANCEMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT OF FINCHLEY ROAD**

The Neighbourhood Plan will seek to work with TfL to promote safer conditions for pedestrians and cyclists. The planned construction by TfL of Cycle Superhighway 11 between Swiss Cottage and Hendon Way provides a key opportunity to promote community cohesion and mitigate some of the destructive impacts from six lanes of traffic.

The Neighbourhood Plan will encourage landscaping, physical improvements and greening measures, in order to provide shade to pedestrians and cyclists and to help filter vehicle pollutants. Specific measures to be sought will include:

- i. Construction of a trench between the road and the pavement for laying a common utilities duct, in order to enable tree planting
- ii. Prioritisation of walkability and the provision of wide, tree-lined, pedestrian-friendly pavements with good quality street furniture, level pavements and the removal of unnecessary railings

These actions will facilitate the development of Finchley Road as a community resource, promote walking and will benefit both Finchley Road residents and traders.

**Objective 4
SUSTAINABLE GROWTH**

A neighbourhood plan is required to support sustainable growth of homes and jobs.

Residential Growth

Camden's Local Development Framework policy identifies a 'very high' need for properties with two bedrooms (Development Policy 5.4). Considerable development under way in Kidderpore Avenue and along Finchley Road will help meet this need.

The Neighbourhood Forum notes the cumulative loss of dwellings through the



4.8 By the time the Neighbourhood Plan Objectives survey was closed, in December 2015, it had attracted 184 responses, indicating very high support levels of 95% for the Plan's Vision and Objectives.

Q42

Customize

Save As

Vision and Objectives: Overall

Answered: 147 Skipped: 37

To what extent,...



3.6

	AGREE STRONGLY (1)	AGREE (2)	DON'T KNOW (3)	DISAGREE (4)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
To what extent, overall, are you supportive of this vision and these objectives for the Redington Frogna Neighbourhood Area?	61.90% 91	33.33% 49	3.40% 5	1.36% 2	147	3.56

5. Continuing to Publicise the Draft Policies and Gaining New Members

5.1 For the most part, new Forum members have been attracted to join the Neighbourhood Forum, as a direct result of planning applications which would cause harm to their immediate environment.

Development of King's College Hampstead Campus

5.2 The most high-profile planning application was the development of a double-storey underground car park beneath a Site of Interest for Nature Conservation and residential development of the former King's College Hampstead campus. Demonstrations attended by well-known public figures on 10.1.16 and 15.1.16, together with considerable press coverage of the application, resulted in 13 new members.

5.3 Support from Sir Tom Conti and Dame Esther gained front-page coverage

Esther Rantzen and Tom Conti join protest to save wildlife haven

14:28 19 January 2016 | Emily Banks



Tom Conti and Esther Rantzen oppose plans to build an underground car park on a nature site

Television presenter Esther Rantzen and actor Tom Conti joined a protest against plans to turn a Grade II-listed Hampstead wildlife oasis into an underground car park and housing development.

OURCITY.LONDON (<http://www.ourcity.london/>)



(<http://www.ourcity.london/case-studies/kings-gold/>)



(<http://www.ourcity.london/author/georgeturnerme-com/>)

George Turner
(<http://www.ourcity.london/author/georgeturnerme-com/>)

Full time
Investigative
Journalist.

King's Gold – How King's College London Is Profiting From The Housing Crisis

Case Studies (<http://www.ourcity.london/Category/Case-Studies/>)

In this latest case for ourcity.london, I look at a battle between King's College London and the local community in Hampstead, who want land to expand a popular local school.

The case has revealed some of the tactics used by developers to use recently enacted government legislation to get around affordable housing obligations. It also shows how the lure of offshore cash is driving King's to play the luxury housing game rather than help local residents expand the school, despite King's chartered purpose to promote education.

The demand for education

In Camden last year, 60 children were left without a primary school place. The biggest problem was in the Frognal area, where hundreds of new homes are being built.

5.4 An online petition gained 478 signatures and 44 objections online.

change.org Start a petition Browse Search Nancy Mayo

Your petition Dashboard Edit

Petitioning Chair of DCC Cllr. Heather Johnson and 16 others

SINC to be reduced from 0.65 ha in 2005, to 0.59 ha in 2015, and to ZERO in 2016.

Redington Frogna! Association

478 supporters
22 needed to reach 500

Share on Facebook

Add a personal message (optional)

London Borough of Camden: Don't trash our Site of Interest for...

Post to Facebook

Send a Facebook message

Send an email to friends

Tweet to your followers

<https://www.change.org/p/c> Copy link

Sponsor an ad to show this petition to more potential supporters

Promote this petition

Giovanni Spinella @GioSpinella · Feb 4

residents campaigning against overdevelopment in Frogna! in front f Town Hall @Siobhan_Baillie @nokidding3 @mennear

2



0.2.58 Other significant planning applications attracting new members have included:

- the proposed floodlighting of West Heath Lawn Tennis Club (16 new members)
- the successful campaign to save 28 Redington Road, a positive contributor, from demolition, with coverage by the Ham & High newspaper (6 new members)

Hampstead Highgate Express

HOT TOPICS: Camden Council | Barnet Council | Haringey Council | A-level results | GCSE results

Campaigners' victory over developer as 'outstanding' Hampstead house spared demolition

PUBLISHED: 14:36 01 December 2017 | Jon King



A bid to turn a house, once known as 'Danehurst', in Redington Road, Hampstead, into flats has been rejected after a campaign by concerned residents. Picture: REDFROG

Protecting assets and green spaces

Rupert Terry, chairman of the Redington Froggnal Neighbourhood Forum, writes:

Your coverage of the attempt to demolish 28 Redington Road and the role played by local residents' associations is appreciated.

However, last week's article misses a key point.

Neighbourhood plans present a once and for all opportunity to deter these incessant onslaughts on our heritage assets and green spaces by developers with deep pockets.

A Neighbourhood Plan for the Redington Froggnal area has been under development since 2014 and is now nearing completion.

Redington Froggnal will be undertaking further community engagement early in 2018, with a public consultation and referendum to follow later in the year.

Please do look out for flyers, which will be posted through letterboxes in the Neighbourhood Plan Area.

- 35 Templewood Avenue, 10 Clorane Gardens, 15 Platts Lane, 30 Redington Road and 41 Frognaal (8 new members)

0.2.59 The Forum's bid to designate sites as Local Green Spaces in July 2018, led to interest from four new members.

New members gained through citizen science

0.2.60 Other new members have also been gained through engagement in citizen science to build the RedFrog evidence base. For example, mapping of bat flight paths together with Ecology Network flight paths, led to one new member and mapping of underground water features, together with Arup, saw the introduction of another new member.

0.2.61 A public meeting to discuss air pollution on 26.4.16, at which RedFrog NO₂ diffusion tube monitoring results were on display, led to two new members.

New members gained through social events

0.2.62 Other means of attracting new Forum members have been through social events, website and personal referrals and press coverage:

- Lindfield Langland Neighbourhood Association parties were attended on 31.1.15 (11 new members), 10.9.16 (3 new members), 17.1.18 and 15.7.18 (6 new members).
- biscuit icing stalls at summer fairs at Studholme Court on 1.8.15 (2 new members) and at Studholme Court and at St. Luke's School, both on 2.7.16.

The leaflets below, providing progress updates, were prepared for the summer 2016 events.

What can communities use Neighbourhood Plans for?

- Our main priority is to produce a Neighbourhood Plan with as many local people and business involved as possible.
- If it is approved in a local referendum then it becomes official planning policy which Camden Council must take into account when considering planning applications in the area
- We can take up other issues to promote and enhance the social, economic and environmental well being of the area.
- We can direct the way Camden spends some of the money it receives from developers (through something called the Community Infrastructure Levy or CIL).

In a nutshell it is all about YOU having more say about what happens in your local area

What is a Neighbourhood Plan?

A Neighbourhood Plan (NP) gives communities direct power to develop a shared vision for their neighbourhood and shape the development and growth of their local area. It will give us the opportunity to:

- Choose where we want new houses, offices and buildings to be built
- Have a say on what buildings should look like
- Have a say on what infrastructure we need
- Have a powerful set of tools for local people to ensure we get the right type of development





Neighbourhood Plan Quick Facts



What we have done so far...

We asked local residents and businesses what is important for them, we had almost 200 responses to our survey and have now developed a RedFrog Vision and 6 objectives

Our Vision

We seek a future for the RedFrog neighbourhood which preserves its green character and continues to serve as an area available to a wide range of family types and ages who live here rather than invest here.

We believe that the RedFrog neighbourhood should celebrate its heritage and history and should continue to be a delightful area for anyone to stroll and enjoy.

Our 6 Objectives

1. To preserve and enhance RedFrog characteristics as a picturesque Edwardian suburb with a diverse population
2. Protecting and improving green space and bio-diversity
3. The enhancement of the Environment of Finchley Road
4. Identifying areas for growth of new homes, with community facilities to support home working
5. Maintaining and promoting the area as Centre for Tertiary Education the Arts and Culture
6. Basement Excavation - ensuring that basement development does not impact local hydrology or cause damage to neighbouring properties

If you would like to know more about some of the projects we have done to support our objectives please check out <https://rforum.wordpress.com>

What happens next?

Thank you to everyone who gave feedback on the policies at our AGM on the 13th June.

On 14th July 2016 at 7.30 pm in the Theatre at the JW3 Centre we will be sharing the second draft of the policies with everyone - do come and join us and give your comments. Everyone is very , very welcome.

There is still a lot to do before the Plan can be adopted.

Once we have your feedback the draft plan will go to Camden for comments, which of course we will have to respond to.

There will be a formal consultation period of six weeks and a referendum.

How you can get involved and help shape the future of RedFrog

Please read the policies we have drafted for the neighbourhood plan and let us know your thoughts.

You can contact us at redfrogema@gmail.com or <https://rforum.wordpress.com>

- Jazz and Quennell, 24.11.15 (27 new members)
- Jazz and Prosecco, 25.11.16 (11 new members)



Tulip Siddiq ✓
@TulipSiddiq



Follow

Thanks for inviting me to the [@RedfrogNF](#) meeting tonight at Craxton Studios. Lovely evening!



RETWEETS
8

LIKES
42





- Camden Arts Centre summer social, where a stall offering children's drawing activities was manned, 9.9.18 (3 members)



- St. Margaret's School summer fair, at which RedFrog organised a Woodland Trust *Speak up for Street Trees* stall, 16.6.18 (0 new members)



- website referrals (4 members)
- personal requests from three Forum members led to interest from 18 new members.

Designation of Camden Arts Centre as an Asset of Community Value

0.2.63 The press release below, issued following acceptance of the Forum's bid to designate Camden Arts Centre as an Asset of Community Value, led to coverage in the Ham & High newspaper in the edition of 8.12.16.

REDINGTON FROGNAL
NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum Secures Asset of Community Value Designation for Camden Arts Centre.

The Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum (RedFrog) is delighted to announce the success of its application to designate Camden Arts Centre as an Asset of Community Value (ACV).

About Camden Arts Centre and the Neighbourhood Forum

Camden Arts Centre and its garden is one of the very few community assets within the Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Plan Area. Its importance to the community is also recognised in the Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Plan CF Policy to preserve and enhance Community Facilities.

This Policy notes the importance of Camden Arts Centre and its role in hosting an internationally acclaimed programme of exhibitions, residencies, artists' projects and public events, including events for schools and colleges and young people. The facility, together with the popular café with free wifi, and garden, is highly valued by Redington Frognal residents.

Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum Chairman, Rupert Terry (pictured below), said,

"This is testament to the role of RedFrog in preserving and protecting the cultural and environmental assets in the Area."



Notes to the editor

The Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum has been working on the development of a Neighbourhood Plan and the accompanying evidence base since March 2014, and has undertaken various public consultations. Draft Policies will be available for further public consultation in early 2017.

Significance of the ACV designation

The ACV designation (also known as Right to Bid) means that, if Camden Arts Centre is placed on the market for sale, the community will be given six months in which to put together a bid to buy the asset to save the asset for community use.

NEWS

COMMUNITY

Camden Arts centre is a real asset

The Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum (RedFrog) is celebrating the success of its application to designate Camden Arts Centre as an Asset of Community Value (ACV).

The ACV designation (also known as Right to Bid) means that, if Camden Arts Centre is placed on the market for sale, the community will be given six months in which to put together a bid to buy the asset to save it for community use.

The arts centre, built in 1956, hosts world-class contemporary exhibitions.

Director Jenni Lomax OBE joined Camden Arts Centre in 1990, and has established an internationally acclaimed programme of exhibitions, residencies, education projects, and public events.

Over the past 25 years the Centre has introduced London audiences to significant artists from abroad; Karin "Mamma" Andersson, Mary Heilmann, Hilma af Klint, Kerry James Marshall, and Kara Walker are among those whose exhibitions at Camden Arts Centre were the first in the UK.

Camden Arts Centre and its garden are key community assets within the Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Plan Area. Its importance to the community is also recognised in the Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Plan CF Policy to preserve and enhance Community Facilities.

Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum chairman Rupert Terry said: "This is testament to the role of RedFrog in preserving and protecting the cultural and environmental assets in the area."

The ACV policy notes the importance of Camden Arts Centre and its role in hosting an acclaimed programme of exhibitions.

Other publicity

0.2.64 Camden New Journal coverage of RedFrog campaigns

Thursday, November 15th 2018

Islington Tribune West End Extra Classifieds Jobs letters@camdennewjournal.com


20 results for "redington"

Page 1 of 1

LETTERS
It's the independent shops that lose out when there is a big-store war to gain market share

- I APPLAUD Dame Janet Suzman for standing up to the Co-op, a billion pound supermarket business which is in a war to gain market...


04 October



LETTERS
Yet another confusing planning decision

- CAMDEN has granted planning permission for a "test case" development opposed by the Redington Frognal Association, the Heath...

28 December



BOOKS
Peaky blinder

19 October – By Gerald Isaaman

Planners approve move to open dog-grooming salon in Hampstead back garden


A DOG-GROOMING salon is set to open up for business in a back garden after the Town Hall approved plans for a cabin. Neighbours...

04 March

Howls of protest at plan to set up dog-grooming salon in Hampstead back garden

A WOMAN wants to set up a grooming salon for dogs in a cabin to be constructed in her back garden. The shed-like structure could...

15 February



Esther Rantzen and Tom Conti join protest against luxury homes development

19 January

'Destructive' plan to replace leafy street's trees with homes sparks fury

A property firm is seeking permission to build three family-sized houses in rear gardens in Arkwright Road

Published: 11 January,...

11 January

0.2.65 RedFrog Twitter account

Home Moments Notifications Messages Search Twitter

Rf
EDFROG

Tweets 190 Following 80 Followers 58 Likes 35 Lists 0 Moments 0 Edit profile

Redfrog NF
@RedfrogNF
redfrogemail@gmail.com
London, England
redfrogforum.org
Joined August 2015
34 Photos and videos

Tweets Tweets & replies Media

Redfrog NF @RedfrogNF · Nov 12
Please show your support for a #Neighbourhood Plan for the #RedingtonFrognaal area by completing the short survey at:
[surveymonkey.com](#)

Neighbourhood Plan Development Policies
Take this survey powered by [surveymonkey.com](#). Create your own surveys for free.
[surveymonkey.com](#)

Redfrog NF @RedfrogNF · Nov 10
Many very interesting ideas for spending #Frognaal and Fitzjohn's CIL revenues at:
What would you like to see local infrastructure fund...
We collect funding from developers to spend on local community projects, and we would love to hear your suggestions on how to spend it.
[camdenlmap.commerplace.is](#)

Redfrog NF @RedfrogNF · Nov 8
#RedFrog #Conservation Area Statement and Camden Local Plan and tips for objecting to #planning applications.
See here:
[redfrogassociation.org/planning/](#)

Redfrog NF @RedfrogNF · Nov 8
Helpful advice for objecting to #tree #fallings on grounds of #biodiversity and #pollution removal at:
[redfrogassociation.org/street-trees/](#)

The Demise of Camden's Street Trees

0.2.66 A presentation of new research into the Demise of Camden's Street Trees was held at University College School on 11.12.18

PUBLIC CONSULTATIONS AND AGMS

0.2.67 Informal public consultations and AGMs have proved another fruitful way in which to attract new members. These have included:

New members gained

15.3.15 : 19

13.6.16 : 49

7.9.17 : 13


28.10.18 : 10

0.2.68 The AGM of 28.10.18 also marked the launch of the new, professionally designed Neighbourhood Forum website, on which the draft Neighbourhood Plan and Evidence Base are hosted

<http://www.redfrogforum.org/draft-neighbourhood-plan-october-2018/>

and the Regulation 14 public consultation.

0.2.69 The 28.10.18 AGM and Regulation 14 public consultation were again extensively promoted, through the delivery of 2,000 eight-page summary leaflets to all Forum area letterboxes, reproduced below.



What is your view?

We need your feedback to help shape the Neighbourhood Plan Policies

Please complete the on-line survey by 23/01/19:
<https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/RedFrogPolicies>

Contact: redfrogemail@gmail.com
www.redfrogforum.org

REDINGTON FROGNAL
 NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM



Redington Frogнал
 Neighbourhood Development Plan

DRAFT POLICIES
 Summary Leaflet

October 2018

Please complete the on-line survey
SEE BACK FOR DETAILS

The Localism Act 2011 grants local communities the right to guide and shape development in their areas through the formation of a Neighbourhood Forum and the creation of a Neighbourhood Plan. This can help us ensure that our neighbourhood develops in the style, and along the lines, that we favour, rather than simply being at the mercy of developers.

Conservation Area status has failed to afford protection, as recent developments all too clearly illustrate. But, if we, the residents, agree our Neighbourhood Plan, at a referendum in 2019, it will be used alongside Camden's Local Plan to assess planning applications in the Redington Frogнал Neighbourhood Plan Area.

It is our last chance to preserve what we value most – the character and environment of our neighbourhood.

Please read the Draft Policy summaries and give your feedback via the online survey at <https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/RedFrogPolicies>

Development Policies

We seek a future for the RedFrog area which preserves its green character and continues to serve as an area available to a wide range of family types and ages, who live here rather than just invest here.

We believe that the RedFrog neighbourhood should celebrate its heritage and history and should continue to be a delightful area for residents to stroll in and enjoy, as they go about their daily lives.

The Plan's six policies have been informed by you, the residents of RedFrog in your responses to the 2015 Vision and Objectives Survey. They are:

- Building and Design
- Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure
- Cultural, Leisure and Tertiary Education, Community and GP Facilities
- Aspirational Development Sites
- Finchley Road – Residential and Retail Environment
- Underground Water Facilities

Policy BD: Building and Design

This aims to preserve and enhance Redington Frogнал characteristics by applying design and conservation principles to this green and "picturesque Victorian and Edwardian suburb".

The sub policies include a presumption against the demolition of buildings which are positive contributors to the Redington Frogнал Conservation Area, identified for Local Listing and / or non-designated heritage assets.

The use of Design Codes is proposed in relation to new construction and extensions. These will require new buildings to respect existing rooflines, i.e. not greater than 3-4 storeys in height, to incorporate a medium to high level of decoration and detailing appropriate to a historic Conservation Area. Architectural details are to be retained for existing buildings.




A new building compliant with the Red Frog Design Codes

New buildings not compliant with the Red Frog Design Codes

A "green" design policy for front and rear gardens aims to maximise the garden area capable of supporting biodiversity and acting as a carbon sink (to help mitigate against climate change and the urban heat island effect), while rear garden boundaries are encouraged to include trees. The incorporation of hedges is also encouraged, to enhance amenity, biodiversity and streetscapes, filter pollutants and minimise rain water run-off. Front boundary walls and hedges are to be retained and, where lost, reinstated, as the opportunity arises.

Other requirements include gaps between neighbouring buildings, to provide views to rear gardens and trees, and a number of key views into and out of the conservation area are designated.



In line with Camden policy, new development is to be car-free, to provide green streetscapes.



Green streetscape with trees, hedges and gardens



Garden-free streetscapes

Policy BGI: Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure

The gardens, trees and hedges within Redington Frongal constitute an important green lung for the health and wellbeing of residents. They also provide habitat for wildlife and form an important ecological network used by wildlife at ground and tree canopy level.

The area has suffered some loss of tree cover, private garden space and hedges over recent years. The replacement of green space by hard surface, in an area characterised by hills and bends, inevitably causes surface water run-off problems, places strain on the drainage system, creates blockages and reduces water availability for gardens.

Development of gardens is to minimise losses of trees, hedges and biodiverse habitat; there will be a presumption against the loss of front gardens and / or front garden boundaries. Their reinstatement is encouraged, where possible, along with front gardens which allocate at least 50% of the plot frontage to soft-surfaced front garden.

Development is to protect trees that are important to biodiversity, rear garden tree corridors, local character and / or the Conservation Area. Where appropriate, development should restore tree lines and biodiversity corridors; trees that need to be removed are to be replaced.

A high quality and green public realm is important to wellbeing and to promote a sense of civic pride. This will be achieved by retaining existing open space and providing special designation for a number of Local Green Spaces, such as West

REDFROG NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

4

Heath Lawn Tennis Club, the rear garden of Camden Arts Centre and the entire lawned and planted area of Studholme Court.



Proposals to excavate gardens for basement development will be required to demonstrate how they will not cause cumulative erosion of garden space, harm nearby trees or conceal or divert an underground stream etc. A minimum depth of 2m of permeable soil is to be provided, to sustain large trees to become veterans.

These measures will aid biodiversity, help maintain a carbon sink, mitigate air pollution and surface water run-off, provide cooling and aid health and wellbeing.

Policy CF: Cultural, Leisure and Tertiary Education, Community and GP Facilities

The greatest population increase in the Frongal & Fitzjohn's ward is projected for the over 60 age groups, placing growing pressure on the Area's infrastructure.

Community space is required to meet the needs of the large-scale residential developments being marketed on Finchley Road and in Kidderpole Avenue, the growth of the elderly population and the population of home workers. The policy therefore aims to both protect existing amenities and encourage the creation of new facilities within the Area.

Protecting existing facilities: the policy seeks to retain Camden Arts Centre, West Heath Lawn Tennis Club, St. Luke's and St Andrew's churches, Crexton Studios, UCS Active and Hampstead School of Art.



Crexton Studios

UCS Active

Camden Arts Centre Café

REDFROG NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

6

New cultural, leisure and tertiary education facilities: the Plan will support development which allocates space for music, cafe, and arts classes (for children and adults) and tertiary education classes, such as those run by the University of the Third Age.

These are important and established community facilities and are consistent with the cultural interests of residents. A civic community facility and an NHS GP practice will also be sought.

New facilities to support home working: the area has high incidence of home working. Development which allocates space for shared business / co-working space will be supported.

Community Infrastructure Priorities: improvements to public realm and greening initiatives, including on Finchley Road, will be high priorities.

Policy DS: Aspirational Development Sites

A number of sites have been identified as Aspirational Development Sites to help meet the need for housing growth and community facilities.

These range from the rear of Finchley Road retail buildings, Hampstead Gate office park and the Allied Irish Bank office block to investment sites in Redington Gardens and sites currently used for garages.

Policy FR: Finchley Road – Residential and Retail Environment

Formerly an elegant tree-lined boulevard, the streetscape has become degraded by earlier road widening, loss of greenery, high traffic volumes and the replacement of original shopfronts with acrylic signage and large expanses of plate glass.

The carriageway and footway are managed by TfL. However, regeneration of the retail section of Finchley Road can be achieved with the aid of this policy to retain Victorian and Edwardian shopfronts and to encourage the restoration and reinstatement of lost heritage features.

In the event that a Cycle Superhighway is constructed, the Forum will press TfL to excavate space for a common utilities duct to enable tree planting. Reduced traffic volumes and a greener environment will improve air quality, reduce traffic noise and benefit the many residential properties lining Finchley Road.

REDFROG NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

4

These actions, together with the introduction of planting and seating, will facilitate the development of Finchley Road as a community resource, promote walking and will benefit both Finchley Road residents and traders.



A potential Finchley Road pocket park.

Policy UWF: Underground Water Features and Basement Excavation

The geology of the Redington Frongal Neighbourhood area is characterised by aquifers, springs and underground streams.

Basement excavation causes irreversible change to the water pressures in the ground and development beyond the building footprint results in loss of green space, endangers trees and can exacerbate surface water flooding.

Specific screening measures will be expected at the earliest stage in the planning application process. This is to include a review of the RedFrog / Arup map of RedFrog underground water features. Additional information for Basement Impact Assessments will be required, in order to guard against damage to neighbouring properties, and detailed engineering drawings will need to explain how specific construction impacts will be addressed.

The Draft Policies are available to read in full on the RedFrog Forum website. For further details please visit www.redfrogforum.org


Content is updated every week so please revisit the site to keep up to date.

REDFROG NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

6

0.2.70 The meeting was additionally promoted by all-weather flyers affixed to 200 lamp posts with cable ties.

0.2.71 The youngest resident to help deliver the leaflets is pictured below, with one of the 200 lamp post notices to the right.



Launch of Regulation 15 Consultation and Underground Rivers Presentation at JW3, 28.10.18

